

# ZERO TOLERANCE

BY ALEXANDER SLAVROS



FORMATTING AND ADDITIONAL GRAPHICS BY

PARK FOREIGNER





[ALTRIGHT]  
TRIGGERWARNING  
YOU WILL BE TRIGGERED





## INTRODUCTION

In the time that it took to write this article (from December 7th 2016 to January 9th 2017) and to finalize its editing (from January 9th to the date of the article's release) a number of events had transpired that had only proven further the need for it, its clarifications and the conclusions it draws. In that period some mild, lukewarm turn towards positive developments did occur, however they have no bearing on the subject matter of this article, which represents in some ways the result of about a year's worth of our exposure to what is called the *Altright* and its various persona's and groups.

Certain things could have been avoided had there been more clarity, however, for reasons that we'll go over in this article, it is apparent that this was never an option. Nevertheless, through those unavoidable engagements and following observations we had extrapolated a complete image of what the Altright represents, and this article is the totality of our conclusions. If nothing else, this will serve as the all-inclusive one-stop-shopping masterpost answer as to why we have issues with any given group and the Altright scene in general. Perhaps, then, we won't be questioned as to why we "*can't all just get along*".

We've quite literally had enough of the Altright and everything associated with it, so we are set on moving on and leaving it behind for good, as we no longer wish to bother – this was our attitude for several months now, but let this article be our New Year's resolution, as we issue a call to all our true Fascists and National Socialists comrades – a call for Zero Tolerance.

Zero Tolerance for anyone who isn't a **Fascist** or **National Socialist**, for we march alone. This article is our final call for everyone to decide if they do share this vision, as it will be explained below, and realize that there is but one way towards its achievement. Alternatively, if they find that they have nothing in common with us, then let us part ways and hear no more talk of "alliances" and "punching right".

This article is bound to ruffle some feathers, *all* the feathers in fact. However if you set out to read it then we urge you not to ragequit a quarter of the way in, for its best if you just ignore it entirely. The article is divided into four primary parts with 3 segments discussed in the second part, dealing with the nature of the Altright. All in all this article, which was started back in December, has



# ZERO TOLERANCE

grown into a literal **Wall of Text** (or a short book, and we do plan to release this material in a PDF file format) – and the Altright are going to pay for it.

## CONTENTS:

1. WHERE WE STAND
2. THE WEAPON OF CRITICISM
  - ALTRIGHT – ENTRYISM TACTIC?
  - ALTRIGHT – HIPSTER CONSERVATISM?
  - ALTRIGHT – RACIST LIBERALS?
3. THE CRITICISM OF WEAPONS
4. TOTAL ARYAN VICTORY

One of the prime features in this article is the heavily prevalent usage of quotations from a number of notable Fascist and National Socialist Champions, as well as official NS documents. One of the primary reason for this, beyond providing substantial examples of how everything we say is in line with the hardline orthodoxy of our views, is to also preemptively nullify a very disingenuous practice that we have by now come to expect from our detractors, namely in how they misrepresent our Champions in attempts to chide us with select quotations that do not, in fact, counter our own stance. For example we are often told that **Hitler** valued the mass movement approach to which we display our uncompromising opposition. In reality **Hitler** likewise **never** believed in mass movements or any other of the tactics practiced by the Altright, as will be made very clear in the course of this article.

The other reason for providing these quotations is to help us discern who does not respect our Worldview and its Champions in the slightest despite their calls for “*alliances*” and “*not punching right,*” all the while dismissing us on the basis of nonstop quoting people who have, in fact, helped shape and bring into focus the orthodoxy of our views. It would be as ridiculous to chide Christians for always quoting Bible passages, to chide us for referring to those who had carried the Swastika Banner before us, if we think ourselves to be worthy of carrying it forth ourselves.

So then, without further ado, please proceed with reading the article proper.

[ALTRIGHT TRIGGER WARNING: you will be triggered]





We have repeatedly insisted on the Fascist Worldview being the Worldview of **Truth**, one that is rooted in seeking to know what the world is, rather than what we want it to be, rooted in a desire to know the eternal, unchanging laws by which the world is governed. We can refer to the totality of these laws, both physical and spiritual, by many names: **Universal Truth, Cosmic Order** (Natural Order or Laws of Nature referring to the physical side of the total Cosmic Order), **God(s), The Absolute, The One, Providence**, etc., however these are all one and the same.

*“The moment the plan's creator attempts to consider so-called “convenience” and “reality” instead of absolute truth, his work will no longer be a star seeking humanity and will become nothing more than an everyday procedure.”*

*“[Man] must understand the fundamental law of necessity rules in Nature's domain, and realize how completely his existence is subject to these laws of eternal battle and the struggle for dominance.”*  
-Adolf Hitler

*National Socialism serves eternal laws. This requires acceptance of the eternal laws to unselfishly serve the community and the welfare of the German nation and to live according to the eternal laws of our blood as they are expressed in the National Socialist Worldview. This is where the party member seeks and finds the purpose of his existence ultimately expressed.*  
-The Responsibilities of Party Members (Official NSDAP Document)

*“I realized that this new and wonderful doctrine of scientific truth applied ruthlessly to man himself, as well as to Nature and inanimate matter, and that it was the only thing which could save man from his own degradation in luxury, self-seeking short-sightedness and racial degeneration.”*

*“You either believe in the scientific method and the truth, and you apply it to yourself without egotism, otherwise you don't believe in the scientific method and you're kidding yourself.”*

*“Anyone so conceited and foolish as to be determined to flaunt Nature's Laws may do so but only for a limited period of time. He cannot go on doing so indefinitely.”*



# ZERO TOLERANCE

*“National Socialism is nothing more or less than NATURAL ORDER.”*

*“National Socialism, as a PHILOSOPHY, embodies the eternal urge found in all living things – indeed in all creation – toward a higher level of existence – toward perfection – toward God.”*

*-George Lincoln Rockwell*

*Let's realize that the Truth has a value in itself, and that dedication to the truth is a virtue in itself, more so in a world in which falsehood seems to rule.*

*-Dr. William Luther Pierce*

*“As opposed to today's carefree relativism, where all ideas – in principle at least – are equally acceptable and valid, National Socialism represents the unremitting effort to find the absolute truth and to make this truth the foundation of human society”*

*“... National Socialism is based on common sense, and it seeks its arguments in the real world, where the difference between truth and lie and between good and evil is determined by facts and not by wishful thinking and theoretical reveries.”*

*“Unlike all these other philosophies, National Socialism has never been invented – it has been derived from the eternal Laws of Nature, which have existed as long as the universe and which have governed all life since the first primitive organism came into existence.”*

*“National Socialism was not invented by Adolf Hitler, but is the conscious expression of the fundamental Laws of Nature governing our lives.”*

*“As National Socialists we follow no other voice than the voice of Nature and no other ethic than the ethic of Nature, and we know only one mortal sin: to try to revolt against this ethic.”*

*“If the world does not accept National Socialism as its only hope of a future, man will be facing destruction. This will be a logical consequence of his continuous violations of the Laws of Nature.”*

*-Povl Heinrich Riis-Knudsen*

*“Whatever People's perception of God, or Gods, or the motive Force of the Universe might be, they can hardly deny that Nature's Law are the work of, and therefore the intent of, that Force.”*

*“Nature evidences the divine plan, for the natural world is the work of the force or the intelligence men call God.”*

*-David Lane*

*“In its essence, the National Socialist idea exceeds not only Germany and our time, but the Aryan race and mankind itself and any epoch; it ultimately expresses that mysterious and unending wisdom according to which Nature lives and creates: the impersonal wisdom of the primeval forest and of the ocean depths and of the spheres in the dark fields of space; and it is Adolf Hitler's glory not merely to have gone back to that divine wisdom, but to have made it the practical regeneration policy of world-wide scope.”*

*“It is the acceptance of this more than human wisdom, it is this accord with the spirit of the Nature, which Hitlerism implies, or disintegration, ethnic chaos, the degeneration of man – separation from the Heart of the cosmos; damnation.”*

*-Savitri Devi*

*“Only man in his ignorance, pride and conceit, his off-base worldview with himself as the center of the universe and above*

*Natural Law, has made possible the awful mess people are living in today.”*

*“National Socialism has been the closest thing yet to approach successfully the task of putting the Truth to work in reality.”*






-James Mason

*The truth is only one. Who believes in it has to defend it with his life. And whoever does not believe that he possesses the truth, absolute and sole, cannot be a Fascist, that is, cannot challenge death.*

-Italo Balbo

If you believe in the existence of this objective, universal and absolute Truth in the world then it becomes your duty to uphold it. It's very nature excludes any possibility of compromise – the Truth is what it is and it cannot be changed, it is nonnegotiable. Integrity and loyalty to the values and principles of Truth are the fundamental aspects of those who follow it, and so they will never, under any circumstances, **ever**, agree to compromise on it, even “a little” – for what starts as a small angle of deviation invariably proceeds to grow larger the further you follow it's course.



*How could this happen to me, I made my mistakes, I've got no where to run!*

*It is far too easy to abandon our principles and values arguing pressure of circumstance. It takes strength of character, fortitude and resilience to resist the corrosive ideas of our enemies who bid us take the easy way.*

[...]

*We have only to compromise once and we are on the slippery slope that leads to betrayal.*

-Derek Holland, “The Political Soldier”

Compromise is where the Truth goes to die, because if you are willing to compromise on one thing then how far are you willing to go, how much are you willing to compromise? How much of



# ZERO TOLERANCE

the Truth remains after all the compromises have been made? Who wins in the end? Certainly not the Truth.

The Truth is that  $2+2$  is 4, and we are intolerant of the liars who claim that  $2+2$  is 6, and we will never compromise with them by “agreeing to disagree” or “agreeing to meet them in the middle” on  $2+2$  being 5.

*But natural law cannot be smashed any more than you can “smash” the fact that two plus two equals four.*  
-George Lincoln Rockwell, *White Power*, Ch15

Indeed, anyone ostensibly “on the right” would claim that they agree with the above, and stand on the side of truth, as opposed to those “deluded leftists” who wallow in wishful thinking.

Yet we know that they all have a “limit,” beyond which they will flee from the truth, for fear of endangering their own personal safety and comfort. For the “cuckservatives,” this limit may lie at racial issues. For racialists, it may be the Jewish question. For others, it may be faggotry. But the defining difference between the fascist and **all** others is precisely this willingness to make any sacrifice in the upholding of truth.

Even those who may superficially promote the same positions as we do, could still be doing so with the reserve that their lifestyle should not be negatively affected. For example, they may criticize Jews, yet stubbornly advocate ineffective (but safe) methods to combat them. They may speak harshly of homosexuals, but without casting degenerate friends (or donors) out of their lives. They might accept the principle of hierarchy, yet still favor a political system in which they would be free from control by superiors.

So, what is our experience with the alt-right? Of course, many would assure us that they are, like us, selfless seekers of truth – and yet, we are chided by the compromisers for “purity spiraling,” as if it is an insult to uphold the purity of Truth. They think that by compromising they are being clever (as clever as saying that  $2+2$  is 5), and are annoyed when we attack them for it. They toss nonsense like the “Overton window” at us, saying that at least by promoting  $2+2$  as 5 they are moving the  $2+2$  is 6 liars closer to the truth of  $2+2$  equaling 4, when in reality all they have achieved was obscure the Truth with another lie. 5 is **not** a “better” answer than 6, because they are **equally wrong**. In the end it is them alone who made an “Overton window shift” closer to the liars and further away from the Truth, not the other way around.

*The NSDAP must not be a follower of public opinion, but must become the master of public opinion. It must not be the masses' servant, but their lord.*  
-Adolf Hitler, *Mein Kampf*, V2, Ch6

*The practice of mixing Truth with lies in an effort to formulate something that the sick masses might accept without much struggle has resulted in the Right having built for itself an insurmountable obstacle of self-imposed hang-ups and restrictions.*  
-James Mason, *SIEGE*, 9.6



# ONLY DEGENERATES WOULD COMPLAIN ABOUT PURITY

Imagine if this sort of reasoning was applied in hard sciences, imagine if this mentality was in charge of constructing the building you are living in – how soon before that building would collapse on your head? In fact, would said building ever reach completion in the first place? Would you trust such “builders” ?

Compromisers will likewise scream at us about “*punching to the right*”, calling for alliances and unity, for some loyalty rooted in us all supposedly being on the same side of a relative “*spectrum*”. The very nature of the “*Left*” and “*Right*” dichotomy is that it’s a **relative** scale. It lacks any conception of an Absolute reference point – it lacks the Truth. Should we view as allies anyone “*to the right*” of Marxism? Social Democracy? Liberalism? Where is the line drawn and based on what standard if not the Absolute one? Why should loyalty or alliances be forged on a *relative premise*?

Were we to take into account that Truth, the absolute anchor point, for reference, then any spectrum is shattered and all relativism is abolished – there is only the **Truth** and deviations from it, lies, regardless if they are “*Left*” or “*Right*”. Even if we were to give the “*Right*” a more solid value as something that exists in relation to the Truth, then the only relation it could possibly have is that of being a *deterioration* of it, effectively rendering it “*to the Left*” in regard to the Truth. We hold no allegiances to any spectrum or scales, only to the absolute Truth in its purity above all else, anything less than that, “*Left*” or “*Right*” is no ally of ours.

*Back then Right wing catholic centrism had become the dominant force in the country, and soon enough, thanks to Palmiro Togliatti, and with some help from our pseudo-“fascist” leaders, the notion that “right wing” and “fascism” are the same thing took hold. Us, young fascists, despised and rejected this notion.*  
-Pier Luigi Concutelli




# ZERO TOLERANCE

## Fascism and the «Left vs Right»

The «Right/Left» dichotomy is completely relativist (with no actual anchor point of reference) and thus pointless: everyone views all other groups as either to the "Left" or "Right" of their own position. Lenin despised the Social Democrats because they were not "Left" enough and thus might as well have been Fascists, as they muddled the narrative and drove the workers into the hands of the ruling class, to the "Right" of Communism, the «ideal end goal». However, Worldview of Lies is infinite and degenerate, there is no end in sight for all the lies that can be made up and new «ideal end goals» keep appearing, thus the "Left" spectrum is ever-expanding with New "Left" attacking the Old "Left" for not being "Left" enough - see how the Progressives attack Old Liberals. In the future Progressives will be attacked by the newest New "Left" and get called Fascist as well.

This dichotomy is the natural result of the Worldview of Lies and acts as a compass in the infinite falsehoods it produces. Fascism however, as the Worldview of Truth, occupies the seat of the Singular Truth which stands in opposition to all falsehoods, as Fascism does rely on an anchor point of Universal Truth - Cosmic or Natural Order. One can't fit it into this compass as Fascism's stance exists outside of all these lies, however if it were forced to be placed into this dichotomy, it would break the infinite line on one end, by virtue of having an anchor point, while everything else would become an infinitely growing regression away from Fascism to ever expanding falsehoods (Involution, Degeneration) directed "Left" on this compass, and nothing to the "Right". The only thing to the "Right" of Fascism is the Wall.



The Truth is singular. Lies are infinite, thus the Fanatics of the Fascist "Right" can only occupy one and the same position at all times, whereas the Fanatics of the "Left" will always get devoured by the new breed of "Leftist" Fanatics who will continue to appear. George Lincoln Rockwell said: "In between the Nazis and the Communists is the great mass of non-fanatics, the TV watchers and the comic book readers." The Fascists/Nazis will forever remain as they were. "Leftists" will forever change and regress further "Leftwards", devouring each other. The "Alt-Right" is largely comprised of people who used to be "Left" but found themselves on the "Right" by virtue of a New "Left" manifesting to the "Left" of themselves, the Old "Left". These people find themselves in the great mass of non-fanatics, in-between the rooted Fascist Fanatics and the constantly emerging and devouring each other "Leftist" Fanatics.

**EITHER WITH US OR AGAINST US. EVERYTHING THAT IS NOT FASCISM IS THE «LEFT», THE ONLY THING TO OUR «RIGHT» IS THE WALL!**



You can only punch Right if you are on the Left.

That is why Fascism/NS has no need of the *Left/Right* dichotomy **at all**, it only needs the eternal values of the Truth, and the only thing we need to judge who is our ally or not is to see if in what they do they actively strive to reach that Truth, in which case we see them as potential and hopeful allies who need guidance before they join our ranks as proud Fascists and National Socialists; **or** if they pursue any other goals which invariably come into conflict with that Truth, in which case they are at best irrelevant or an obstacle, and **at worst** potential, if not outright enemies.

This problem is epitomized very well in **Plato's allegory of the Cave**: the liars and the compromisers are staring at the dancing shadows, enthralled with them, arguing and bickering with each other over their nature and value, never having seen the light of the Sun, of Truth. To them the world is a multitude of dancing shadows and shades, a spectrum with no Absolute. Those who had seen the Sun, however, can no longer distinguish between the shadows that well, thus to them there is only the dichotomy of the light of the Sun and Darkness, the **Truth** and **Lies**. Some would argue that one who has never seen the Sun is not a liar, but simply ignorant, however, his ignorance is the source of rootless opinions that serve to obscure the Truth, as they can never be correct, only wrong, therefore they ultimately amount to nothing more but lies.

How is one, who had seen the Sun, supposed to compromise with someone who had never seen it, but argues that his shadow (*and all the shadows "on the right side" of the Cave wall*) is very much the *same thing* as the Truth of the Sun?



# fascism 101

IRONMARCH.ORG FASCIST ALMA MATER INFOGRAPHICS ON THE FASCIST WORLDVIEW

## truth vs falsehoods



Modern Worldview



«The world is not black and white, it is a spectrum. all opinions are valid.»

fascist Worldview



«There is only One Truth, the rest are lies and falsehoods, opinions exist out of ignorance of the Truth and are equally valid in being wrong.»



# ZERO TOLERANCE

So why should we compromise? Why should we make allies out of the people who fight over shadows? Are the shadows beloved by the guy closest to the exit from the Cave truly any better than the shadows of the guy furthest from it? Is 5 better than 6 as the answer to  $2+2$ ? Should we prize modern “*monarchists*” as more righteous allies than conservatives? Should we count *both* as allies? **Why?**

We’ve already said that there can be those who are looking for the Truth, searching for the exit from the Cave, even if they don’t quite realize this themselves – their motives are True, and we would help them make it out of the Cave to gaze upon the Sun. However, are all our detractors and compromisers truly like that? Let us consider whom we are dealing with, these shadow gazers, and see what their motivations might be, as opposed to our own, how the vast difference between the two affects their reasoning towards actions, and how that shapes their criticism of us.

The motivation behind their criticism towards open and fanatical Fascists and National-Socialists can, obviously, either be **earnest** but *misguided*, provided that they are searching for that exit from the Cave; or **subversive** – consciously so or not doesn’t matter, since in either case it reveals their goals being entirely different to our own. The essence of our Worldview is that the world operates according to specific, objective laws, and that we have no say in the matter, we can only choose to comply with or try to reject these laws. Living in harmony with the natural order of things, with the Truth, leads to happiness and prosperity. It’s rejection leads to degeneracy, death, decay and misery. It is our goal to create a society that complies to these laws (*the Organic State*), **not necessarily** for the prosperity and happiness this brings, but because we feel **duty-bound** to serve and *uphold* the Truth – everything else is simply a *reward* for that loyalty.

*The Political Soldier is the man sustained by an Eternal Ideal who will act positively in any and all situations in the defense of what is Right, Good and True.*  
-Derek Holland, “The Political Soldier”

*The power of ideas is in that they demand one to sacrifice himself for it without promise of raised wages.*  
-Ernst Junger, “Our Stance”

*The path of faith is before each of us. Even if it is not the path of fame and honor, it is still the path of duty and of greatest happiness. To find it means to gain a part of the eternal strength that moves the worlds.*  
-Helmut Stellrecht, “Faith and Action”

*Oh, you who exalt the fight without end, be it without hope, attach yourself to what is eternal!*  
-Savitri Devi, “The Religion of the Strong”

*There has GOT TO BE ABSOLUTE LOYALTY to a higher ideal and that ideal must be THAT WHICH WILL CARRY THE MOVEMENT TO POWER OVER THE STATE.*  
-James Mason, SIEGE, 4.6

*Noble thoughts and ideals. Irrational as hell. But this provides us with our biggest advantage over our enemies; our legitimate reason for existing as individuals; our purpose in Life. Something bigger than ourselves, and certainly, as big as the universe itself. That is an experience these liberal types can never know and can never understand. Alone, it sustains us.*  
**COMBINED** with the weaponry of cold thought process, it will lead us to victory over all odds.  
SIEGE, 7.4



The motivations of our cause are selfless, they are not derived from personal or group interests. We wish to protect our Race and Nations not simply because they are **our own**, or because our individual interests are intrinsically tied with larger group interests, but because all of these things are manifestations of Truth.

We love our Family, Race, Nation. That is a great part of why we do what we do. But we likewise feel a powerful and irresistible compulsion to serve that Truth which governs the world and is present in all things – the Family, the Race, the Nation, and ourselves. This drive to serve something greater than ourselves will always lead us down the path of self-sacrifice for those things, or to simply *do that which is necessary*.

*“The arguments of blood are not convincing, they are compulsory.”*

*“We do not mule over benefit and practical gain, we have no need of comfort, we only require that which is necessary – that which fate desires.”*

*-Ernst Junger, Forward to F.G. Junger's book “March of Nationalism”*

*“At once, a great weight lifted off my soul. I knew that I had found my way to the sun at last and the days of mental darkness, searching and endless frustration were over. But at the same time, an immensely heavy burden replaced it, but in a different, even satisfying way. I knew that I had to, I must do what I could, to spread the new and wonderful idea and secure its victory in the collapsing world – no matter what it cost me, or even if I were to become a ‘failure’ to be ‘fed to the lions’ in the ‘Colosseum’.”*

*-George Lincoln Rockwell, This Time the World, Ch7*

Meanwhile, some people may only pay lip service to the idea of defending Family, Race and Nation as mere means to protect their own individual interests, and all interests are inherently contradictory to the Truth. One may be an absolutely selfish degenerate and throw in his lot with a group to which he can claim to belong to without honoring that connection, he is in it simply for the safety and strength of numbers. Faggots are throwing in their lot with our supposed “allies” “of the Right” for that very reason – they seek defense against the threat of Islam that would throw them off rooftops. **Their motives are entirely selfish** and they are using ideals of defending Family, Race and Nation as a vehicle for those selfish interests – they feel no true love for those values, and certainly feel no obligations – they simply make a calculated decision that provides them the most chances for a positive outcome.

Faggots are not the only ones who follow this logic and only pay lip service to these values, while in reality acting selfishly. The only thing that compels this sort to act as they do is a desire to maximize their individual chances of survival whilst also seeking material comfort and pleasure (*their tastes are simply not as “advanced” as those of faggots*). Hence, they will never commit to any real struggle in defense of Family, Race and Nation, for to do so would put at risk their true motives. If your motives are selfish and egotistic they are **invariably** narcissistic and thus **invariably** hedonistic. This sort will avoid the fight *at all costs* – the dead can't enjoy material comfort and pleasure.

That is why we do not automatically view people who claim to be fighting for Family, Race, Nation and etc. as being our allies – they may very well do things that on the surface may seem to serve that purpose but their ultimate goals are selfish, so their motivations will always lead away from the creation of the **Organic State** (*the goal of our struggle*) that upholds the



Truth in favor of any *mechanical system* that will simply serve their petty wants and desires. Any such system must always collapse back into the state of decay we bare witness to today (see our previous article on *The Face of Decay*), the decay that supposedly prompted these frauds to “join” our Struggle. Hence why we are not impressed by formulas like the “*White Ethno-State*”, as they are vague enough to encompass any mechanical system and any form of degeneracy, so long as all of its inhabitants are white. A “*White Ethno-State*” may very well be communist, libertarian, liberal, etc. It can be exactly the same as what already exists minus all the non-whites. That is not good enough.

This is where our supposed “*allies*” would reveal themselves as our enemies, for they will not like the Organic State we strive for any more than the Jews. If your motives are selfish, egotistic, narcissistic, hedonistic – no matter how much you pretend to the contrary, no matter how much lip service you pay – you will not benefit from the Organic State, if anything you will find yourself in great distress under it, for it’s fundamental core is one of loyalty, selfless service, sacrifice and duty to that which is greater than ourselves:

*Fascism promises neither glory nor titles nor gain – only duty and struggle.*  
-Benito Mussolini

*Modern nationalism does not wish to float in the airless space of theories, it does strive for “free thinking” but desires to gain strong ties, order, to grow roots in society, blood and soil. It does not wish for socialism of opportunities, it longs for socialism of duty, for that rigid stoic world that the individual man must sacrifice himself to.*  
-Ernst Junger, Forward to F.G. Junger's book “*March of Nationalism*”

*Each has but one proper path. To follow it makes one happy to the highest degree, even if it is a path that brings only poverty and toil.*  
*Any path that leads away from the meaning and purpose of life is death and sin. And even if the path seems ever so pleasant, you will sin every day of your life.*  
-Helmut Stellrecht, “*Faith and Action*”

*Well-being – beyond the minimum that is necessary for each to fulfill his task – does not count. Only the task counts: the quest for the essential, the eternal, through life and through thought.*  
*Attach yourself to the essential – to the eternal. And never worry about happiness – neither your own nor that of other men; but accomplish your task, and help the others achieve theirs, provided that it does not thwart your own.*  
-Savitri Devi, “*The Religion of the Strong*”

Indeed, it will be in the interest of people who are motivated by selfish interests to **prevent** the establishment of the Organic State **at all** costs. Our supposed “*allies*” will actively work against us. This is why we only readily accept as our allies other true Fascists and National-Socialists, for their open identification as such tells us that they understand the nature of the Struggle at hand and work towards the same ends as ourselves (or at the very least are close to finding the exit from the Cave), whereas the other “right-wing” “*allies*” can only be either potential Fascists and NS who will come to call themselves that in time... or underhanded rats trying to hold us back.

Us and our supposed “*allies*” are **worlds apart**. It becomes our task to figure out which of them are the potential comrades who will come to join our ranks, and which of them are the **rats**






that must be exterminated if we are to achieve Victory. The primary tool in this process is **criticism**, *both* the criticism we dish out and the criticism we hear back, for if one is a true searcher of Truth, struggling to find the way out of the Cave, he will recognize our criticism and answers as the path that leads outside and into the light of the Sun. **However**, if one's motives are anything else, that is to say if one is driven by selfish interests, wants and desires, then they will reject that criticism vehemently and will keep arguing about their shadows. Moreover, while our enemies like the **SJW crowd** sincerely believe in the shadows and think no such thing as the Sun exists, our supposed allies will reject the light of the Sun exactly *because* they understand what it is and what it implies for their interests.

Ask a selfish liar who professes his selflessness *to go ahead and act selflessly (beyond any token gestures that he is ready to practice to give the illusion of being selfless as such gestures cost him nothing)*, and he will come up with all the excuses in the world not to. These “*allies*” will reject our criticisms and solutions, coming up with any number of excuses as to why they are “*ineffective*” or “*wrong*” not because they *are* so, but because they want to discredit that which would force them to act against their petty interests. A faggot will never fight in the ranks of the vanguard on the battlefield, his *cummies* are more precious to him than anything else. However, you will find plenty of them in rear, acting as the “*intellectual*” “*vanguard*”, the “*planners*” and speakers, actively working to rationalize their presence in this group and in this struggle as being something other than them seeking security, explaining away why there is **no need** for an actual battlefield, lest they be put in that front line vanguard. The assorted “*allies*” motivated by selfish interests will act in **exactly the same manner**.

That is the great divider that helps us establish who our true allies are and who are not, who has **character** and who is only in this for their safety, comfort and pleasure. Let us now examine “*our ally's*” criticism of us and how we carry on in **our** Struggle, and examine it for the possible underhanded motivations to said criticism, which would distinguish the **rats** from the hopefuls. All the while we will reveal further and in more detail our own stance and why these criticisms are unacceptable to us, and where our own criticisms stem from.



# ZERO TOLERANCE



## THE WEAPON OF CRITICISM

Let us summarize what has been elaborated on so far in a succinct manner: we cannot accept compromise because those who have differing views ultimately have a different objective which is **not** in accordance with the Truth – to compromise with them would be **to reject the whole reason for our Struggle**. Moreover, those who disagree with our methods and ways ultimately have a different understanding of reality and laws of nature, therefore they are not seeing the Truth, but a distorted image, **a lie**.

We've also already covered a few points of criticism thus far in this article, so we'll return to them and flush them out some more as the starting point of our analysis. Namely we talked about the criticisms of “Purity Spiraling” and “Not Punching Right,” as well as the call for unity and alliances. All of these are part of the same narrative of posing a united front against a common enemy. Of course, the very subject matter of this article deals with figuring out if the people who call for these alliances are in any way our actual allies or something else, perhaps something subversive. Nevertheless let us address the call itself – **we do not approve of alliances**.

Nowadays all Fascists and National Socialists around the world form a unified Struggle against a common enemy towards the exact same goals, thus one can only call it an alliance from a *technical* viewpoint in the sense that various nationalists come together, however they make up one cohesive group, as Aryans and as fellow Fascists/National Socialists. The only alliances that we can forge are with entities that exist outside the NS/Fascist sphere, with those who are *not us*.

Beyond what we have already highlighted, namely that others either **do not** follow or **struggle to find** their way towards the Truth (*the Sun outside the Cave*), one of the fundamental reasons not to engage in alliances was highlighted by one of the great Champions of our Struggle (*who makes this observation with the presupposition that all the parties involved truly want the same thing*):

*Too many people believe that combining the strength used by the groups traveling down different roads into one unified journey would be more certain to bring success and bring it faster. But this is not the case. Nature herself, using pitiless logic, decides who succeeds by putting the various groups in competition with one another and forcing them to struggle for victory.*



[...]

*At this time, all those leaders who could not stand on their own feet united into these worker coalitions in the belief that eight lame men, arm in arm, would create one big gladiator. If there were one healthy man among the lame ones, he needed all his strength to keep the others on their feet and ended up paralyzed himself.*

[...]

*It must never be forgotten that nothing truly great in the world has ever been achieved by an alliance. It has always been through the triumph of the individual. The very origin of joint forces carries with it the germ of its own later decay. Great intellectual revolutions that shake up the world are only conceivable and only possible when they are monumental struggles by individuals and never when they are enterprises of coalitions.*

*-Adolf Hitler, Mein Kampf V2, Ch8*

*As long as the Movement remains part of the defense of something that it does not belong with then it will continue to share in the unremitting defeat of the past sixty years.*

*-James Mason, SIEGE, 7.1*

**To summarize:** the chain is only as strong as its weakest link, to form an alliance is a handicap for the strongest elements in it as they have to spread themselves out to protect the weakest elements and make up for their handicap, which nullifies the strength of the strongest. As the title of that chapter of Mein Kampf goes: **“The Strong Man is Mightiest when Alone”** .

Thus, beyond our general distrust of other groups who do not openly profess to following the same Worldview as ourselves, there is a strategic argument for avoiding coalitions. The distrust, however, stems from another legitimate issue which gets criticized by would-be *“allies”* as **Purity Spiraling** – should we join a coalition then we do so based on some *vague common denominator* at the expense of all the more specific and exact aspects of our Worldview and what it is we Struggle for, in order to avoid *“punching Right”* . The group becomes something less than what it was on its own as a result, it’s ultimate goals obscured.

*... we cannot afford any longer the stupid mistake of mixing and confusing our goals and priorities like so many Right*

*Wing types have done in the past.*

*-James Mason, SIEGE, 7.5*

**In short:** alliances come at a price of maintaining one’s own principles and goals as they are expected to simply *button up* and not bring up anything that would *“rock the boat”* of the vast variety of elements that make up the alliance. The demand of any alliance is for all involved groups to submit to a certain level of passivity and give up the freedom of action that they may deem fit to exercise when on their own. The social equivalent of this would be to host a Horror Movie fans club and then have it integrate into a larger Movie-Goers club and end up watching romantic comedies and other genres you don’t like more often than your preferred Horror genre, all the while keeping quiet and not complaining about it.



The only way we can maintain and pursue our own distinct and precise end goals, which are defined by our staunch adherence to the Truth, is to remain steadfast in our own path that stands alone from all the rest (*in as much as the Truth stands alone from the countless lies*), rejecting the idea of any coalitions and their inherent vagueness, that would **handicap us** in achieving the goals that *nobody else* in the coalition shares. Moreover, it becomes beneficial that we should *criticize* such coalitions and their vagueness in order to make our own path, with its distinct and coherent strict ideals, shine all the brighter for its clarity and consistency, as opposed to the muck that surrounds it on all sides and tries to *encroach* and *absorb* it. The radiance this produces only attracts to us the exact type of people we should hope to have join us, those who search for the Truth and who do not have agendas which are actually contrary to our own.

If we are to assume **malicious intent** behind dragging NS/Fascists into coalitions then the obvious aim of that action is to make us reject our own ideals and bolster someone else's numbers; or to manipulate us into "*temporarily*" subsiding our uncompromising fanaticism towards the promotion of our ideals and taking action that would lead to their realization. Coalitions hold **no benefit** for us even *with benign intent behind them*, and the subversive intent is realized by the very nature of coalitions with no other special effort or distinct trait by which the malicious nature of the proposed alliance can be identified, hence it becomes hard to tell if a given "*ally*" promoting a coalition does so out of naivety... or because he is an enemy seeking to anchor us down in the mud and make us submit to passivity. Either way: **we will never accept any coalitions – we cannot afford to.** There is only **one** front in this Struggle, NS/Fascists vs the rest.

*We fight against everyone. That, is Fascism.*  
-Pierre Drieu la Rochelle, "Fascist Socialism"

This, of course, prompts another criticism from the would be allies, namely that of "*why can't you just leave us/them alone? Let everyone do their own thing, follow their own tactics/path to Victory, every bit helps, we're all contributing and helping the same ends*". This argument is not new:

*Many right-wingers are sincerely concerned, I know, about my battles with men such as Maguire, Snowden, Welch, Hargis, et al., and my revelations of what they really are. "They are doing good," I am told, "why not let them go about their business their own way. They are helping. Don't hurt them". I maintain they are only giving the appearance of helping-but are actually hurting. Before a mass of people will rise up and do anything effective and forceful about a tyrannical situation, there must be built up a certain emotional pressure. A firecracker has not the force of a rifle bullet because it explodes harmlessly in all directions. But the gas from a rifle bullet cannot escape, except by forcing the bullet out at terrific speed, because it is confined, directed into useful channels. As long as Welch and all the rest of his ilk, rich and poor, can give themselves the illusion of "fighting the Jews" by exploding the pressure inside of them verbally and harmlessly, in all directions, and without ever hurting a Jew traitor, they keep the pressure we need to get mad and fight from ever building up.*

*The Jews know this, and permit these hundreds and hundreds of harmless rightwing organizations to spout endlessly in silence behind the Jewish "paper curtain". They don't reach any significant number of people outside their own group. Even when they do, their approach is so feeble and so psychologically wrong that they win only a few rare types.*

*They never, never get out into the public, into the streets, and reach the masses with an inspiring and driving masculine movement, which alone can win the hearts of the masses! They pass literature and talk only to each other.*



*If just one tenth of the money which pours every year, year after year, into such “fire-cracker” movements were to be contained, directed, and used behind an ideological bullet forced out by fighting men, the Jews would stop at nothing to crush and destroy that deadly “bullet”. Even without that money, with only a few grains of “powder,” but confined and directed with force, we have already earned the all-out hate of the Jews, the only sure sign that we are not firing the eternal rightwing “gas” at them, but the deadly bullets which they know will eventually destroy their illegal, tyrannical power.*

*-George Lincoln Rockwell, White Power, Ch12*

George Lincoln Rockwell would sometimes copy over entire segments of his autobiography, “This Time the World” into his last work “White Power”, and it is there that we can pick up the further conclusions Rockwell drew from his experience with the American “right-wing”:

*It is for political reasons, not personal animosity, that I consciously and calculatingly expose these political frauds. The doctor cannot cure as long as the patient is chasing after quacks and imagines himself ‘getting better’. The patient, our White Race, is dying! The situation is desperate, and it is viciously criminal to be a millionaire and then take the dimes and dollars of sincere little people in exchange for sugar syrup!*

*The right-wing cannot be wheedled together, but it can be driven together. This is our naked purpose. We intend to make it impossible for the fakes to keep up their medicine show, no matter how they pound their drums next to our office. Sooner or later, our mastery of the right-wing is assured. We have faced and beaten the worst the Jews have. We will have little trouble conquering and organizing the feeble right-wing. Exposing the simple truth about such men as Russell Maguire is part of that cruel but utterly necessary conquest. No matter how we are cursed and hated by the short-sighted, we will win all sincere Americans and White Men, when they see that we have done what they have so long prayed for: united the right-wing and driven steel into its backbone. The process is never easy or pleasant, but we mean to save Our Country and Our Race.*

*The hurt feelings of a few millionaires, hobbyists and incompetent leaders will not deter us from our holy mission.*

*In addition to trifling with a deadly danger, as these people do, the phony and feeble leaders and tightwad millionaire ‘patriots’ also have a fearful effect on the real leaders who might otherwise lend their talents to the effort to save ourselves. DeWest Hooker is now working in Italy with a bottling company. He is disgusted and discouraged. His experiences with Maguire and the others, the same experiences which have made life so miserable for me and my family, have driven him back to the arms of the Jews and their money. We can’t afford this, Americans! Every day I am told breathlessly what an indispensable leader I am, and how the movement needs me, and how terrible it would be if anything happened to me. This is indeed true. To the devil with phony modesty! Without me, there would still be only babbling and whispering and sneaking and publishing and hoping in America, while the Jews counted their money, pushed the Blacks into your schools and homes and made token gestures of attack from time to time as such feeble ‘anti-Semites’.*

*Three years ago, I wrote the prediction that a spearhead ‘Nazi’ attack would revive the whole right-wing, by giving it courage — and it has! The Jews are revealing that we have given them the ‘heebie-jeebies’ by spewing forth more anti-Nazi lies and hoaxes than ever before!*

*But Hooker is one of the men who could have led fighting young men, as I am, in a fight to save America! The ‘nice’ people who back such ‘wake up America’ ‘patriots’ as Maguire drove a great White leader into the arms of the Jew money-masters! How many more Hookers there are is a tragic, unanswerable question.*

*No, America, it is not wicked to expose and attack Maguire and his ilk. Such phonies have been wrecking the movement they are supposed to be creating for many, many years. Until these ‘patriots’ pitch in with their money, their brains, their guts and their blood, they are frauds, and I intend to drive them out of our way.*

*-George Lincoln Rockwell, This Time the World, Ch11*



# ZERO TOLERANCE

To summarize: we cannot accept *the very existence of other groups* that may be diverting the forces and energies of potential and hopeful, *but so far unrealized*, Fascists and National Socialists away from the path they are meant to follow, the path that puts them in our ranks, *and into the arms* of various quacks and frauds that for all intents and purposes puts them into a passive, catatonic state, if for no other reason than that they have to do so in order to play nice with others in the alliance of muck they had been dragged into. These strong men, whose might would be allowed to unfold fully within a Fascist/NS group, are instead subjected to the rule of the weak chains with whom they had linked up.

It is imperative to our Victory (*and the best way we can honor Commander Rockwell's legacy as one of the Great Champions to our Cause*) that we must leave **no more room for frauds and quacks**, as such the “*right-wing*” spectrum is once again of no use for us as it exists **exclusively** as a premise and rallying cry for unity and alliances of these very frauds and quacks.

There is only Fascism/NS *and the rest*, (*invariably “to our Left”*) and the part of the rest that exists *solely* as a **cancerous tumor** that diverts the lifeblood of our Struggle – the so-called “*right-wing*,” which exists simply as a last ditch “*easy way out*” that potential and hopeful Fascists/NS waste their time on; or as a playground for the worst kind of frauds – **must be cut away**.

Rockwell had personal experience with all of these same arguments and calls for unity that we hear today. The aptly named “**50 years of Failure**” chapter that we quote above from “**White Power**” refers not to the period from 1950s to 2000s but to the 50 years leading up to Rockwell setting course on an openly National Socialist activism in the late 50s. We already **showcased how little has changed in the 50 years since Rockwell**, amounting to **over a 100 years of failure total now**, yet our would-be “*allies*,” namely those carrying the mantle of the “*Altright*,” still insist on being something new and original, rather than walking down a beaten path to obscurity.

There is only two or three ways to really judge what the Altright is:

- either it is a continuation of the **Third Position** and **New Right** trend of “*being a nazi while avoiding being called a nazi*” entryism tactic bullshit, which puts them into the **earnest idiots** category for us,
- a continuation of American conservatism (*read: liberalism*) via a new brand that appeals to a younger demographic (*conservatism for millenials*),
- or it is a bunch of **liberals** (*i.e. American conservatives*) who suddenly realized *that they don't like niggers and Jews*, but have otherwise **not** given up on all their liberal values and just want **more of the same**, just minus the niggers, Jews, mexican and muslim immigrants.

So let us go over each possibility and discuss them in some detail.





## ALRIGHT-ENTRYISM TACTIC?

If the Altright is the continuation of the Third Position and New Right trends, then we are dealing with the now 60 years old argument of “*you can’t be an open Nazi!*,” an argument that our “*allies*” will bring up even if this particular assessment of the Altright is invalid (*and by all accounts this possibility is the least likely, though the Altright nevertheless obviously attracts the same old gang of Third Position, New Right idiots and other “sneaky nazis” into their ranks*).

The notion that you can’t be an open Fascist/National Socialist has existed ever since the end of the Second World War. It owes itself to the perceived totality of our enemy’s victory, if for no other reason that we perceived the enemy to be a singular dominant force orchestrating everything, thus its totality would surely bring us down by force again should we ever label ourselves as Fascist/NS again. This is the “*retribution from above*” side to this argument, that the powers that be will attack us directly with full force.

We are likewise repeatedly told that we can’t openly proclaim ourselves to be Fascists/NS because of the “*bad image*” that entails with the general public who’d never support Fascism/NS as our victorious enemy gets to write us as the villains of history for the masses to accept as gospel through education and mass media. This is the “*lack of support from below*” side of this argument, that the masses will never aid us in our fight.

This argument was discredited and utterly destroyed by **George Lincoln Rockwell**, and if you have read GLR’s “**This Time the World**” and “**White Power**” then you should be well familiar with the incredible **outrage and desperate, exasperated agony** one feels when they discover that nothing has changed, that the same non-arguments have existed unchanged for decades. Moreover one feels a great sense of **shame** before the man who has done so much for the Struggle, a true Champion of our Cause, and yet we haven’t moved beyond the same old bullshit that his supposed “*allies*” threw at him.

*“We can never win with open adherence to National Socialism and the Swastika,” these gentlemen explain feebly. “The Jews have taught people to hate them too much,” they add. “If we use the Swastika and praise*



# ZERO TOLERANCE

*Hitler too openly, they will throw us in prison or kill us!” And did they not throw ALL makers of revolutions, including the Jew makers of the Red revolution, in jail – and even kill some of them? Are we National Socialists to be more fearful and cowardly than a gang of Jews?*

*The very persecution and bloodshed such irresolute characters seek to avoid is the \*sine qua non\* of our victory!*

*These are not empty words. I have personally proved their truth here in America, the power center of world Jewry, by being beaten, by going to jail and the insane asylum, losing my dear family, and living like an animal. Twelve days from today, as I write this, I face jail again. These things are unpleasant and even heartbreaking – but they **MUST BE!***

*I have risen in two years to a commanding position in the worldwide fight for the White man, starting as a penniless, unknown and unaided single individual like millions upon millions of others – simply and solely because I have gratefully and lovingly used the precious names and symbols which have been bathed and soaked in such oceans of blood and tears – the Swastika and the name of the Leader, Adolf Hitler.*  
-George Lincoln Rockwell, “In Hoc Signo Vincas”

See more quotations from the same GLR article [in this image](#).

For other relevant GLR quotes from “White Power” see [this image](#).

The nature of this argument, if anything, was far more potent *immediately* after the war, when the majority of the people alive world wide had actually been contemporaries to that period of history, when the anti-nazi propaganda was part of their daily lives in a far more definitive and intimate way than it is today. Indeed, the “you’re a nazi!” accusation had been put into practice almost immediately after the war to make the “right-wing” toe the line the Jews wanted them to toe, it was a fear tactic that would leave the “right-wingers” rendered as helpless as a turtle on its back, cooking in the sun. It doesn’t matter if one truly is a Fascist/NS trying to conceal it, or if one is a lukewarm conservative who tentatively opposes Jewish plans, the accusation will be thrown out there regardless with the express intent of putting the accused on the defensive.

One of the best contemporary examples of this tactic and its outcome in practice, is that of **Nick Griffin’s** humiliation on **Question Time**, when he ended up stuttering and tried to say something about *European Law*, after being confronted by a strategically placed Judeling in the audience, as to his past statements on the validity of the Holofoax. Video below, timestamped.

“I cannot explain why I used to say those things [...] because according to *European Law*” gets a rightful reaction of “are you fucking kidding me?” from the audience, and one doesn’t need a carefully vetted crowd of conformist lemmings, or an electric board flashing hints to the audience when they should laugh or gasp or boo, to get such a reaction to that reply. It was *weak* and *pathetic*, leaving Griffin to sweat on his back in the heat until he is thoroughly cooked.

To the conservatives and the rest of the “right-wing” who have to deal with the “you’re a Nazi!” accusation we can only say one thing: **“tough shit”**.

*As Commander Rockwell said in the 1960’s, if you’re racist and aware of the role of the Jews and are willing to discuss it, then they’re going to brand you a “Nazi” regardless of what you may call yourself or your group. You can even try cursing the Nazis and they’ll STILL call you a “Nazi”.*


-James Mason, *SIEGE*, 6.19





Of course they will call you Nazis, moreover, you *might as well* be a Nazi to them if you don't keep up with the ever shifting goalposts of "progress," for such is the nature of that relative Right/Left spectrum that gets so much credit – if one were to look "to their Right" they would inevitably see down the line, at the very end, Fascism, where the constructors of this spectrum have put it. So if you move an inch "rightwards" you might as well go all the way – this is their equivalent of the *slippery slope* attitude: "if you don't allow transrights today you'll be gassing Jews and abolishing all freedom tomorrow!"

However it does seem like the American "right wing" managed to come up with a counter to this by trying to *redefine* the spectrum, as well as Communism and Fascism all at once, **placing them together** on the "far Left" (defined as NO FREEDOM) end of the spectrum, with Anarchy on the "far right" (defined as TOO MUCH FREEDOM) and "good ol' conservative US of A values" in the **fucking middle** (JUST THE RIGHT AMOUNT OF FREEDOM!). Never mind that American conservatism, i.e. classical liberalism, **has more in common with Anarchism** than Communism and Fascism have in common – this is simply a defensive strategy devised by American conservatives against the "you're a nazi!" accusation, which in practice amounts to the following: "**No, YOU'RE THE NAZI!**"



The "NO U" defense – flawless victory!

Simply *brilliant*. Actually, in some ways *it is*, as it tries to usurp the accusation and use it against the accuser, trying to fight the enemy with his own major tool. Given the conditions the "right wingers" find themselves in this was probably the best they could have ever hoped for. **Moreover**, us actual Fascists and National Socialists didn't have any big issue with this beyond the ridiculous claim that Fascism and Communism are the same, as in effect this still fed into one of our major principles, namely driving away unwanted elements (*more on which later*) as the "Left" and "Right" played hot potato with the Nazi label.

Going back to our primary point, **Rockwell** had proven the "you can't be an open Nazi!" argument to be entirely *false* and he had proven it false back when the anti-Nazi sentiment was still far more intimate for the majority of people alive at the time.



# ZERO TOLERANCE

*I was determined, of course, to set up a program which was essentially National Socialist – Nazi – but for a long time I toyed with the idea of ‘disguising’ it, as do most other right-wingers, by using some other name and a slightly different symbol. At that time, an openly ‘Nazi’ party seemed too fantastic even to think about.*

*But then I began to reflect that the ultimate smear of the Jews was always, “You’re a Nazi!” And I wondered what it would be like to answer, “You’re damned right we’re Nazis, and we shall shortly stuff you Jew-traitors into the gas chamber!”*

*At once I had the answer! By being an open, arrogant, all-out Nazi, not a sneaky Nazi, but a Nazi with the Swastika, stormtroopers and open declarations of our intentions to gas the Jew-traitors (after investigations, trials and convictions), I would not only make an end of the filthy ‘silent treatment’ – for they could never ignore Nazis with Swastika armbands and talk of gas chambers – but I would also force the Jews to publish my propaganda in their press! Every time they would howl that I advocated ‘gas chambers’, people would be shocked, but they would also lose a tiny bit of their ‘fear of the Jews’ as the Bible calls the filthy terror inspired by these ‘apostles of tolerance’.*

*If millions of people kept reading in the Jew press about a man who was not only an ‘anti-Semite’, but an open Hitlerite, a Nazi – and survived as such – the myth of Jewish invincibility would be smashed. The timid little people all over the country who have been silently and fearfully reading all this material designed to ‘wake them up’ all these years would begin to creep out of their closets. While the Jews were desperately busy combating me, the little fellows would become bolder and would begin to act more like their American forefathers.*

*-George Lincoln Rockwell, This Time the World, Ch12*

Commander **Rockwell** had proven that (at least in the US) being an open Fascist/NS does **not** lead to the kind of immediate retaliation against all the people involved with the label on the spot, leaving them free to operate in some capacity.

This effectively proved that our enemy, despite indeed having total control, is not so monolithic and unrestrained that it can act directly, openly and with impunity. In reality our enemy presents a vast multitude that simply shares a common opposition to our goals, meaning they have their own internal conflicts and rivalries. Moreover, they have to follow their own rules either because they believe in those rules or because they **need** the lemmings to keep believing and playing by those rules. Both of these revelations would be expounded upon by future Champions of our Struggle that would come after **Rockwell**, namely **Dr William Luther Pierce** and **James Mason**.

Even beyond the US, however, this holds true, as even in countries with the strictest of laws aimed to hinder and suppress Fascists and National Socialists our comrades manage to keep carrying out the Struggle while openly proclaiming who they are and what they stand for. This effectively puts to rest the “**retribution from above**” side to this argument. Some, however, will argue that the situation has changed since the 50s and that our enemies and the Jews have a much stronger death grip on the world today – while it is true that many things have gotten worse, this lesson from **Rockwell** still holds true and is self-evident in how many openly Fascist/NS groups get started and operate today.

That is **not** to say that we do not face retribution and suppression from our enemy, however it renders obsolete the idea that openly proclaiming oneself a Fascist/NS would have *immediate consequences*, meaning there is no particular unique danger or obstacle in doing so as opposed to trying to hide one’s true convictions, if anything there are more benefits to it, whereas hiding it puts



one on the constant defensive against the enemy's accusations. All the hurdles that exist beyond that point are essentially the same regardless of what one calls themselves – if you don't keep up with the progressive agenda you'll be branded a Nazi regardless, as we've explored earlier.

The only other dangers that exist beyond that point deal with how much **success** one achieves in the Struggle and how desperate our enemies are to stop us, in which case **they will readily resolve to killing**, regardless if one is an actual Fascist/ NS or not. However, as we'll explore further, only Fascism and National Socialism present any real danger to them, specifically because of our unyielding and absolute, fanatical nature and the success we have thanks to said nature, as opposed to our "allies".

Decades have passed and the initial societal shock worldwide that Fascists and National Socialists do, in fact, still exist after World War 2 and openly, boldly proclaim their loyalty to the vision of Adolf Hitler and other Champions of our Worldview, had subsided. The existence of Fascism/NS in the post-war era became more accepted and lost some of its potency *on its own*.

Strong leaders would always breathe new life into the struggle and make themselves noted by the world, which would proceed with its usual indignation at this *audacity*. Recent history, however, has ushered in the next stage of societal collapse and involution. A new generation of easily offended progressives has revitalized that panic *the mere idea* of the existence of Fascism and NS in the post-war history would elicit immediately after the war. 50 years ago the reaction was most likely "how can there be Nazis, how can you call yourself a Nazi, we **JUST** defeated them!!!," whereas now we hear "how can there **STILL** be Nazis, it's the current year, I literally can't even!!!"

The other side to this argument, the "**lack of support from below**" has been likewise discredited in part by **Rockwell**, but even more so by **Dr William Luther Pierce** and by **James Mason** and many other of our Champions all the way down to **Hitler himself**, if we consider the reasoning behind seeking "support from below". The desire for that support comes as a package deal with our "allies' " criticism that we shouldn't be driving people away, that we should follow a mass movement approach, that we can't achieve anything without the masses. Fascists and National Socialists give a resounding refutation of these points and proclaim **the primacy of quality over quantity**, an eternal aspect of Truth that holds itself true even when dealing with movement politics!

*This does not mean that the membership has to continue growing indefinitely, quite the contrary. Only a limited portion of mankind possesses the energetic and bold qualities a movement needs, so any organization that continues to increase its membership indefinitely would one day become weak and inert. Organizations that continue to grow their membership beyond a certain number gradually lose their fighting power. Then they are no longer able to take the offensive which means they cannot support their propaganda, and if they cannot support it, they cannot benefit from it.*

*The greater and more revolutionary an idea is, the more active the membership becomes. The revolutionary power of the doctrine spells danger for its ambassador. This danger keeps away the small, cowardly members of the middle or privileged- class. Privately they may consider themselves followers, but they fear to confess their beliefs to the public by openly becoming members. This is how the organization, promoting a truly revolutionary idea, takes in members—only the most active of the followers who have been won over by propaganda. This process of receiving only the most revolutionary membership into the movement, which is a result of natural selection among its followers, guarantees it will actively spread the message in the future and will fight successfully to make the idea a reality.*



# ZERO TOLERANCE

*The greatest danger any movement faces is when the membership grows abnormally fast after a large success by the movement. All the cowards and petty selfish onlookers will shun a movement when it is engaged in a bitter struggle. However, once the movement has either gained a big success or such a success seems likely, these people will usually try to join at that time. The last-minute-joiner is the reason many movements, which are victorious in a battle before they achieve their final success, or rather before the final completion of the idea is achieved, suddenly retreat. They develop a vague, inner weakness, which forces them to suspend the fight and finally the movement dies. Their first victory drew so many bad, unworthy and particularly rotten elements into the organization, that these unworthy creatures eventually superseded the fighting strength of the movement in an effort to force the movement to serve their own interests. They reduce it to their own level of petty heroism and do nothing to achieve final victory for the original idea. The fanatical goal has been erased from their minds, the fighting strength becomes crippled, or as the privileged-class world would rightly say in such cases: "Water has been mixed with the wine". When this happens, indeed, the trees can no longer grow up to heaven (meaning great things cannot be achieved any longer; the tree has grown as much as it can and decline will follow).*

*Therefore, it is essential that a movement interested in self-preservation cease adding members as soon as it has become successful. From that time on, it should exercise the greatest caution before allowing any membership changes and should examine the situation carefully before enlarging its organization. This is the only way it will be able to keep the nucleus of the movement pure, fresh, and sound. It must also be sure that this nucleus is the only group to lead the movement or decide on the propaganda, and as the center of power, this nucleus will perform all actions necessary to make its ideals a reality.*

[...]

*If we allowed people like these, who lack the spirit of revolution, to join our Party as members in the early days, then we would be nothing more than a pious brotherhood because we would no longer be a young movement filled with fighting spirit. The spirited and daring form that I gave our propaganda back then established and guaranteed the radical nature of our movement ever since. From that time onward, only radical people, with a few exceptions, were willing to become members. Nevertheless, our propaganda was so strong that, after a short time, hundreds of thousands not only agreed in their heart with us, but wished for our victory, even though they were personally too timid to make any sacrifices for it and some were too timid to even argue on behalf of it.*

*-Adolf Hitler, Mein Kampf, V2, Ch11*





As a Party,  
we had to remain in the minority



in order to mobilise those with a  
fighting spirit and a sense of sacrifice.



# ZERO TOLERANCE



*This World-Concept does not build on the idea of majority, but rather on that of character.*

[...]

*If the National Socialist program sought to crowd out individuals and replace them with the masses, that would mean National Socialism itself was already eaten away by the poison of Marxism, just as the world of our privileged-class political parties are today.*

*-Adolf Hitler, Mein Kampf, V2, Ch4*

*Most of all, it is our duty to restrict admittance to the party to those we are certain belong to that minority that by virtue of their value, always wrote history.*

*-Adolf Hitler*

The subsequent Champions who came after **Hitler** only reinforced his warnings on the cowardly masses that would swarm and suffocate the movement from within, and expanded further on how their cowardly nature makes them absolutely useless to our Struggle, the most notable contribution being the Lemming Principle as defined and explained by **Dr William Luther Pierce**:

*“Revolution is a spectators sport. The majority will sit in the stands and watch the factions fight. At the end they will choose side with the team that is winning.”*

*“So we are ideological and idealistic fanatics; just like the Communists by the way. In between the Communists and the Nazis is the great mass of non-fanatics: the TV watchers and the comic book readers.”*

*-George Lincoln Rockwell*

For more relevant GLR quotations from “White Power” [see this image](#).



*The masses, or the “mass” as a whole, can only be looked upon as a coward.*  
-James Mason, *SIEGE*, 2.20

*Only after the System is **BROKEN** and **DISCREDITED** will there come a hope of mobilizing the masses of Whites to tackle the job of winning what will soon enough assume the characteristics of a civil war.*  
*SIEGE*, 2.24

*The reasons why no mass movement has existed in this country on the part of Whites to defend themselves and what is theirs have been extensively dealt with by Movement scholars, probably more than any other single topic. There of course is the media brainwash which not only denies all Truth, but pumps the head full of lies and poison and robs the thinking and decision-making processes of any chance to function. There is the comfort corruption which softens and saps the spirit and will. Then there is also dysgenics which means, though a person is nominally “White,” he is so only in color, there is nothing underneath. This is the product of fratricidal wars and total lack of breeding: the creation of a race of boobs. These are some of the reasons... they may help us to understand but they excuse no one.*  
*SIEGE*, 4.10

*A skillful hypocrite (i.e., “good citizen”) in a sick society, one that really knows how to assimilate or conform and generally doesn't rock the boat, is a disgusting System Suck. I can have more respect – if that's the right word – for the rabid liberal or Red than I can for the System Sucks and the Establishment Creeps. In any event, to go from one to the other is a national pastime and involves nothing more than a change of clothes, an expensive slick new hairstyle, and that certain mercenary acquisitive drive. But a good solid anti-social streak born right in the **BLOOD** cannot be changed by anything: physical suffering; brainwashing; disillusionment; discouragement; defeat... absolutely nothing. It's been rightfully referred to as the common denominator of **ALL** “True Believers,” that is, of all fanatics.*

[...]

*We can't quit. Nor can we be thinned-out or watered-down. Our very guts are on fire. We are the only real revolutionaries!*  
*SIEGE*, 7.1

*As revolutionary National Socialists, we denounced and abandoned the so-called “mass idea” as worthless.*  
*SIEGE*, 9.16

They then built on the legacy of **Adolf Hitler's** work, utilizing the negative stigma created by the Jews around Fascism and National Socialism to only *further reaffirm its radical nature* that would attract only the radical sort to our ranks:

*By being a Nazi, with the Swastika, I would also gather the only kind of people I wanted around me: the tough, dedicated idealists ready to fight for those ideals and give their lives, if necessary. And even more important, I would automatically scare off the millions of blabber-mouths, cowards, fools and crackpots which infest the rest of the ‘movement’. The Swastika would probably not bring me many supporters, but those who came would be men.*  
-George Lincoln Rockwell, *This Time the World*, Ch1

Yet some of our critics insist that this way we can only attract the “wrong kind” of people, their definition of wrong, of course, depending on their own sensibilities, though in the case of our would-be “allies” they will accept most anyone in their ranks, except for us unless we toe their line and pipe down – “not punch right.” Some of them will lay down the claim that we'll attract only social outcasts and unstable psychopaths. This criticism is likewise not new, and was, in fact, a point



of contention between George Lincoln Rockwell and Dr William Luther Pierce, yet in the end Pierce had recognized the truth of what Rockwell had experienced for himself:

This touch of Hollywood in Rockwell's approach to revolutionary politics always was a bone of contention between him and me. I argued that the uniforms, flags, and theatrical behavior — even the name “American Nazi Party” — made it difficult for serious people to take him seriously. His medium got in the way of his message. He replied that if he put away the flags and armbands, wore a business suit, and shunned theatrics, the news media would ignore him and no one would hear what he had to say.

His aim, he said, was to make people pay attention to his simple core message of the need for rebuilding a White, Jew-free America based on the principles laid down by Adolf Hitler in *Mein Kampf*. When he had tried to present that message in a sober, serious way, no one had paid any attention to him. The newspapers and television stations wouldn't send reporters to his press conferences, they ignored his press releases, and the public didn't even know he existed. But as soon as he raised the Swastika banner, the news media went crazy and swarmed all over him. He was seen on all the TV channels and what he said was reported in the newspapers.

Yes, I answered, the theatrics get attention for you — but your message gets badly distorted. The media try to make you look like a madman and a clown, and to a large extent they succeed. The result is that most of the people attracted to you are losers, social outcasts, freaks. If you want to attract winners — serious, competent, idealistic people — you need a serious image.

Rockwell responded that it is the losers, the social outcasts, who make up the ranks of every revolutionary movement. They're the ones who are available, the ones who don't have anything to lose by becoming associated with a politically incorrect cause.

Individually they may not be very impressive but large numbers of them, organized and disciplined, would make a revolutionary army. He had tried appealing to what I called the winners: to the teachers and professors, to the doctors and lawyers and engineers, to the writers and artists, to the businessmen and the craftsmen, to his fellow military officers, to the careful, responsible men and women with steady employment and stable families. And he had found that while many of them agreed with him in principle, almost none had the moral courage to stand up and be counted among the righteous.

He had given speeches to groups of these people under the cover of several ostensibly conservative organizations. They would come up after his speeches, shake his hand, and tell him they admired him for saying what they also felt. But the merest suggestion from Rockwell to one of these people that he ought to participate in an effort to take America back from the Jews and their collaborators would send the fellow scurrying away in fright. They were too comfortable, too corrupted by good living and materialism, too unaccustomed to taking risks and facing opposition. Only in the masses, Rockwell had finally concluded, were the recruits to be found that he needed to launch a political campaign to take America back — and the masses could be reached only through the mass media.

I still had serious doubts as to whether the type of people Rockwell was attracting with his flamboyant tactics could be disciplined and used to build an effective organization, and these doubts made me hold back from a whole-hearted support of his efforts. We collaborated on the publishing of *National Socialist World* and we continued to argue about other things. I gradually found out, however, that Rockwell was dead right about the moral cowardice and the servile conventionality of the great majority of Americans. Most of them would rather lose an arm and a leg than be suspected of thinking a politically incorrect thought, and as I worked and argued with Rockwell, my appreciation of his own courage and idealism grew.

-Dr William Luther Pierce

Thus, by taking a position and making statements which seemed extreme and even ridiculous to the “average citizen,” he could entice publicists to quote him widely, thinking thus to discredit both the man and the philosophy with these average citizens. What they failed to understand was that before the Movement could profit from any mass appeal, it had to appeal to a large number of very un-average citizens—fearless idealists who could form the National Socialist cadre.





And these men responded in a very different way to Rockwell's message than did the liberal publicists or their average audience. They saw beyond the superficial "ridiculousness" of his message to the kernel of deep truth that it contained. While the average citizen, incapable of thinking beyond the immediate problems of the day, found Rockwell's message "too extreme," just as the publicists intended, those who could extrapolate in their minds the developments of the present to the consequences of tomorrow – and of a century hence – saw the compelling necessity of his demands. But such men are rather sparsely distributed throughout the population, and to reach them Rockwell needed to cast his net very wide; this the publicists helped him do while they thought to smear him. Rockwell also understood that the image of him being erected in the minds of the masses, while a liability now, had a value for the future, when conditions had ripened so that at least some of those masses were ready for an "extremist."

-Dr. William Luther Pierce, "A National Socialist Life"

And to be sure, **there are** those among the social outcasts who **can** become fanatical and disciplined warriors for our cause. It becomes simply a matter of *first* attracting the outcasts and *then* **filtering out** the ones who are beyond redemption, that is to say, those who do not find salvation in the Worldview of Fascism and National Socialism. For not all social outcasts are such because they are degenerates, some are social outcasts because **this society is degenerate**. Those among them who will join our ranks will become disciplined warriors in time, for they will then serve something greater than themselves.

*[...] we should be aware that in a sick or even mildly mediocre society such as exists today, it is a badge of honor to be possessed of an anti-social streak. It will keep you safe and high above the trash and poison of the milieu – right from birth – where no amount of "proper education," "good background," "upbringing," etc., could.*

-James Mason, *SIEGE*, 7.1

*Discipline comes from within yourself. You accept it because you follow a higher will.*

-Helmut Stellrecht, "Faith and Action"

The major problem of this world is the spiritual depravity that has left people atomized, bereft and alone, dispossessed – this can bring down and suffocate even the strongest of men, especially with the growing amount of material luxuries and self-indulgences to keep one distracted. Indeed, one can find **drugs** more readily available and **socially acceptable** to deal with their **spiritual syphilis**, than the real answer to their loathsome state of affairs that answer being the Fascist/NS Worldview of Truth. *Should* one stumble upon it and truly grasp, understand and internalize its message, and **if** they possess the kind of character that is of **absolute necessity** to brave and champion our ideals in an open struggle, then they will no longer be outcasts of a dying and degenerate society, but fanatical fighters in the Struggle for their people.

These are the people that we are looking for among the outcasts, to whom we issue our provocative and radical message, those who belong in our ranks, even though they may not even realize it yet themselves.

*Character is not acquired, it is given unto man at the whim of divine unjust fate, recognized by nationalists and denied by liberals.*

-Ernst Junger, "Character"



*One does not become a National Socialist. One only discovers, sooner or later, that one has always been one — that, by nature, one could not possibly be anything else. For this is not a mere political label; not an “opinion” that one can accept or dismiss according to circumstances, but a faith, involving one's whole being, physical and psychological, mental and spiritual: “not a new election cry, but a new conception of the world”— a way of life — as our Führer himself has said.*

*-Savitri Devi, Gold in the Furnace, Ch9*

*I can think of nothing more formidable than a band of malcontents who know exactly who they are, what they want and how to go about getting it!*

*-James Mason, SIEGE, 7.1*

And Rockwell had proven himself right, one only has to read the “*Spiritual Syphilis*” chapter of **White Power** to see the example of a discovered Aryan Viking warrior, once he was cleansed of the chapter's namesake, that had turned him into a social outcast and burnout who was desperately searching for himself. And that cleansing process is **exactly** what we must adapt in order to discover these hidden warriors and keep out the undesirable degenerates who seek to join our ranks for whatever other reasons they may have.

*The guy at the door of Nazi Headquarters was the living embodiment of the national suicide I have set forth in chapter 1. He seemed young. But you couldn't be sure, because he was wearing a matted red beard. He wasn't wearing clothes just a raggedy blanket and sandals. “Shades” (sun glasses) covered his eyes. Unkempt hair covered much of the rest of his face.*

[...]

*I have often found that I learn most, not from books and literature, but from people and events themselves. And this guy looked like a whole encyclopedia of everything degenerate.*

*I invited him in. We talked. He couldn't stay still, but kept moving around the room, seeming to float a few inches above the floor. (I later learned that he was on pills and narcotics.) After an hour or so of talking, he began to change a bit. He appeared unsure of himself in the presence of something he'd never experienced before – men who were sure of themselves and had a purpose. A look of unbelieving wonder came over his blue eyes, even through the “shades” as I talked to him of what we really were and why we had given up everything of fun in life to fight for our nation and White Race. Little by little, I began to get the story out of him. He was only seventeen years old, and had lived an entire lifetime. He'd done everything, tried all kicks, and was already bored to death with an empty life. He'd made a mistress out of his art teacher, he'd run a den of degeneracy and debauchery called “Mule's Pad” where the local beats and wild crowds did anything, including enjoy dope. He'd shot a man, gotten off, and lived as fast and hard as he could until finally, he contemplated suicide in utter despair of finding ANYTHING worth doing any more all this at seventeen! Before he committed suicide, he told me, he decided to come to see the Nazi “cats,” figuring it might be one last kick. What he found, unexpectedly, was what every human being needs to survive this life a PURPOSE – something which gives life more meaning than a constant search for more pleasure and kicks. He actually convinced me he wanted to try to be a Storm trooper!*

*As a matter of policy, whenever I hear that (as I do every day), I do all I can to discourage the applicant. We want no dabblers, but dedicated, fanatical fighters who will STICK through hell itself. With this crazy character, I went even further. I made fun of him. I told him he'd never make it, that we'd run him off the first day.*

*He rose to the challenge.*

*“You name it, and I'll make it!” he said.*



*Strangely, I could sense a fiercely burning WILL behind the words. I told him he couldn't come up to try life as a Nazi Stormtrooper until he was eighteen. He left, vowing to return in a few months. He did return – without the beatnik get-up. He turned out to be a blonde, young Viking, built for combat.*

*We poured it to him.*

*There was no place left inside for him to sleep. So he was assigned to a wrecked car out back. It was still winter and cold. But the kid moved into the wrecked car with a couple of blankets. We put him to work cleaning the toilets, and yard.*

*He worked.*

*Spring came, and then a broiling summer. He was still in the wrecked car, eaten alive by mosquitoes. I tried him on the printing press, and never saw such a bear for work. He was all dried out of booze, off the pills and dope, exercising plenty, and showing every sign of 'making it.'*  
*-George Lincoln Rockwell, White Power, Ch2*



“Get the fuck off my porch, lemming!”

To summarize: we must avoid bloating our ranks for nearly the same reasons as we must avoid alliances, **as their effects are the same**, difference being only between Fascists and National Socialists being subdued into passivity *from without* by the coalition “allies”, and the Fascist and National Socialist spirit being extinguished *from within* the group they had built up to advance our common ideals by the dead weight that was allowed to join its ranks. Even when you look back all the way to **Hitler**, the necessity for the movement, group or party to consist **exclusively** of fanatics and be a vanguard, rather than a mass movement, has been made obvious, **with clear warnings given against falling to the allure of quantity.**

It is our **duty**, if we wish to achieve Victory, that we accept **only fanatics** within our ranks and keep the passive supporters outside the boundaries of whatever groups and movements we wish



# ZERO TOLERANCE

to establish, outside the nucleus of the Party. If, that is, passive supporters are allowed to join it's rank and file, with nothing expected of them in return beyond their passive support.

The fastest way to grow in mass is to grow **fat**, but if you are fat you will not be winning any races or fights, **let alone** competing against a real runner or fighter, who trained to get only the kind of muscles he needed for the given task. And as everyone knows, fat and muscle of the same weight have a vast difference in their volume. Mass movement is the approach of growing **fat**, cowardly and lazy (*as even the members of your mass movement might be literally fat, cowardly and lazy themselves*), a fanatical group of idealists, on the other hand, is the approach of growing **muscle**, there will always be *less*, but it will be capable of **packing a punch** and getting the **job done**.

These Champions have shown us how we can successfully build our movements by simply being *honest* about **who we are** and about our intentions, all the while pushing unwanted elements, who weren't scared off by our honesty, **out** and *away* from our ranks. We are not beggars to ask every passerby to join us, we are not choosers to pick out the least bad out of available options, **we are Demanders** who will not allow in **anyone** who is not up to snuff, *who is not a fanatic*, **moreover** a fanatical and **true** Fascist/NS.

We thus **reject the very idea of mass movements** and with it the idea of *a need for popular support* in order to engage in activism – we must instead *drive away* the vast majority of the people from joining us, and put those who **do** wish to join us to the test, so that only those who are **true** may stand with us. **In the end**, should we remain fanatical and pure in our vision of this Struggle, we will retain the potency and energy of our groups, which is the key to success and our inevitable victory, and **that** will be what will drive the masses to support us and rally around us.

*When you rise and stand up and the masses see what a man of FORCE looks like, they will love you, as they now imagine they hate you.*

*-George Lincoln Rockwell, "In Hoc Signo Vinces"*

Those who handicap their actions to *first* gaining mass appeal effectively shoot themselves in the foot before even making their first step on the treacherous path ahead, and immediately stumble and fall over in inactivity, as the bloated mass defuses the fighting spirit of the group. For truly, if the lemmings could actually be engaged in action against our enemies, *wouldn't they have done so themselves already? Would they have allowed the enemy enslave them in the first place?*

This should be enough to successfully showcase the futility of the **"lack of support from below"** side to the *"you can't be an open Nazi!"* argument. **We must now conclude**, that if the Alright is actually a *"Nazis in hiding"* entryism tactic, then this tactic has been proven to not only be based on false fears of immediate *"total annihilation"* retribution, but also futile in its attempts to appeal to the masses, as they instead elicit negative emotions from the public. This is because they appear to be **weak** and **cowardly**.

Moreover, this approach is not new and has been proven to be an **abysmal failure** in practice numerous times.



*Though what a dreary, tiresome task it would be, someone should try to catalog the number as well as the names of all the microscopic “groups” which make up the galaxy loosely referred to as “the Movement”. A study in futility and impotency. Every one of them “disguised” as something or another and with all their effort going toward the upkeep of the disguise rather than the expressed purpose. Commander Rockwell referred to these types as “Sneaky Nazis”. Mostly, they knew and understood what National Socialism was and is about but had no stomach or self-discipline to join the real fight in earnest.*

[...]

*Who are we trying to hide from? What are we trying to conceal? Who are we trying to kid? We, after all, are the ones representing Life, we have the answers, we have what it is everybody needs and wants. So why should we sneak? (If a person likes to dress up in a 1930's German uniform, for example, I can understand the need to sneak and hide.) But we go forth among our fellows ready at any time to impart Truth to whomever seeks it or will hear it. Second, the “Sneaky Nazis” are several steps below “open” Nazis because they are aware of what they are doing and yet lack the courage and honesty to correct themselves.*

[...]

*Do not deny your prophets, your heroes and your saviors. As long as there is any breach of faith anywhere, nothing positive can ever proceed. It is something that the ignorant and the stubborn will have to live with.  
-James Mason, SIEGE, 9.20*

See more relevant quotations from George Lincoln Rockwell’s “White Power” in this image.

The only “positive” argument that is promoted in favor of the “sneaky nazi” approach (as opposed to the negative argumentation in its favor based on fear of **immediate retribution from above and lack of support from below**) that we’ve come to hear quite often is simply that “we’ll wrestle power back from the Jews the same way they took over, with a sneaky long march through the institutions.”

The hilarious absurdity of this argument is that showcases *exactly the same kind of naivety* that permitted the Jewish take over **in the first place!** The Jews managed to sneak their way in not only because they are natural-born sneaks, but because the decadent societies that allowed them in were *gullible* enough **to trust them!** Thus the premise of this argument is that the Jew will not only be *just as gullible* as the people whom he swindled, but that he’ll **be an absolute idiot** on top of that and **fall for his own swindle!** “Sneaky nazis” are in for a rude awakening when the Jew either calls their bluff or actually *plays along* with it long enough to cause **untold** amount of damage.

By all accounts, being **honest** and **direct** Fascists and National Socialists yields greater results than being *sneaks*.

*You can't out-sneak the System. But neither can any number of sneaks, or scum, or whatever withstand the onslaught of berserk, Viking warriors!  
-James Mason, SIEGE, 6.4*

This, of course, says nothing about open and honest Fascists and National Socialists engaging in possible sneaky tactics of our own, of which you can learn from such materials as **SIEGE** or **Colin Jordan’s “Uprising”**, but we will **never** turn them into the definitive *modus operandi* and primary front *for our entire Struggle*.



# ZERO TOLERANCE

Lastly, on top of the “sneaky nazi” approach being ineffective in its intentions, as its practitioners always find themselves on the defensive and make themselves appear weak, pathetic and cowardly, had this approach actually ever *worked* it would **still** be a most despicable and reprehensible act. Once the deception is discovered or made known to the public it would carry over an immediate backlash from both the masses *and the immediate supporters of the actual group* that utilized this method, assuring the group’s immediate downfall through disintegration, splintering and subterfuge carried out by the disenchanted and angry followers. The “sneaky nazis” would rightly become scorned as nothing more than **backstabbing liars** and **terrible, untrustworthy leaders**, as they promise their followers *one thing* and then deliver *something else entirely*. Public opinion will always yield to whatever is the dominant force, but the human disdain for weakness and cowardice is instinctual and unyielding through time. The very underhanded nature of this notion speaks of one’s **ill, weak and tiny** character that is by definition incompatible with the Fascist and National Socialist Worldview.

*Of course, today, we face the destructive barking of our nation's enemies at home. But we National-Socialists should never be swayed from our course of proclaiming what is absolutely necessary based on our inherent convictions. It is true that we must endure the flow of public opinion, which has been misled by the cunning Jewish exploitation of German thoughtlessness. It is true that sometimes the waves around us roar with evil fierceness, but those who swim with the current are more easily overlooked than the one who swims against the current. Today, we are just a rock sticking out of the flowing current. However, in only a few years Fate will elevate us to become a dam which will direct the flow of the current into a new riverbed.*

*Therefore, it is necessary for the National-Socialist movement to be recognized and established in the eyes of the rest of the world as the representative of a definite political idea. Whatever Heaven may have in store for us, let everyone know who we are by the caps we wear.*

*-Adolf Hitler, Mein Kampf, V2, Ch14*

However, we don’t actually believe the Altright to be “sneaky nazis” in the least, although we, again, can’t discount the high probability of those existing beneath the Altright’s colorful “big tent” circus top, albeit they are likely to be but a small fragment of their total mass.

Let us then move on to the other possibilities.





## ALTRIGHT-HIPSTER CONSERVATISM?

When the Altright first began to gain more traction it created a distinction between itself and the mainstream American “right wing” of the conservative Republican Party, hence the name “*Alternative Right*”, as in an alternative to Republican conservatism, which has been discredited for cucking on its principles and giving into liberal “left wing” demands and narratives, thus earning itself the denigrating title of “*cuckservatism*”. This term was used to further draw the line of distinction between the Altright and the mainstream American “right wing”. There had later been talk of **substituting** mainstream conservatism and the Republican Party, with supporting memes of “*Look at me – I’m the GOP now*”.

If we take this **alone** into consideration then we do indeed get an image of the Altright as a kind of “*hipster conservatism*” or a “*conservatism for millennials*”, a new and *hip* brand that revitalized old conservative values for a younger generation, making it *fun*. In the overview of the last possibility of what the Altright might be (***Racist liberals***) we will look at what it **actually is**, as there is more to it than just this. However, when we take only the highlights above into consideration, as well as their implications, we still get a legitimate insight into certain events which we will address later.

The irony here is, of course, that the inception of the Altright apparently owes itself to what our “*allies*” criticize ourselves for repeatedly, namely “*punching right*” – the whole reason the Altright exists is because it punched the GOP for either cucking/betraying conservative values, or apparently for not being “right wing” “*enough*”. However, the bigger issue at hand is that American conservatism has never been “right wing” by European standards – *again* we must deal with the relative nature of this Right/Left dichotomy. **Moreover**, conservatism itself is a relative concept with no fundamental values to its name, something we explored in quite some detail in another previous article: **Con/Cuckservative, Reactionary, Revolutionary**. One can certainly ascribe



more definitive traits to a given form of conservatism by giving it a clarifying denomination, such as *American Conservatism*, as per our primary discussion venue, – and yet when you do that you are still left with something that takes its origin in what was called “left wing” in Europe, namely in the model of **classical liberalism**.

Thus American Conservatism is nothing more than the defense of classical liberalism on which the United States of America had been originally founded, with Enlightenment and Rationalism values at its core, including the germ of that poisonous idea of **equality**. Whatever hopes the United States had to escape those values in favor of an **organic national identity**, instead of a *fake paper identity* issued by the State and reinforced by one’s adherence to its liberal values, were repeatedly and thoroughly **smashed** by our enemies, including the Jews. **This was elaborated on in some detail by Francis Parker Yockey.**

*“America began its independent political existence as a creature of Rationalism. Its politicians agreed to the proposition—externally—that “all men are created equal,” and even said this was “self-evident.” To call it self-evident, and thus dispense with proof, was easier, and perhaps wiser, than to prove it. Proof would have spoiled what is actually a tenet of a Faith, and thus above Reason. The religion of Rationalism dominated America in a way that it was never able to dominate Europe. Europe always had resistance against Rationalism—based on tradition until the middle of the 19th century, and after that based on anticipation of the coming anti-rationalist spirit of the 20th century — as exemplified in Carlyle and Nietzsche. But America did not possess the first because it had no tradition, and had not the second, because Cultural impulses and Culture-forwarding phenomena come from the Mother-soil and are thence radiated outward, as the Rationalistic religion of America came from England, through France.”*

*“America, as the country most completely disintegrated by Rationalism, exhibited the least understanding of the nature of the Jew, while there were always some people in Europe— for instance, Carlyle— even during the 19th century who realized the total, and not merely political, alienness of the Jew. But in America, with its complete lack of tradition, there were no Carlyles, no de Lagardes. Thus America decided, in the middle of the 19th century, that a Chinaman born in the United States thereby acquired exactly the same American citizenship as the white native population of European derivation. Characteristically, the decision was not made in a responsible fashion, but as the result of a lawsuit. This was in pursuance of an American custom of deciding political questions in a pseudo-legal form. Obviously a regime which did not differentiate between Chinese and native American would oppose no political barrier to the Jew. And so, by 1928, the French writer on historical and world-political topics, Andre Siegfried, could say that New York City had a Semitic countenance. By the middle of the 20th century, this development had gone further, and New York City, the largest city in America, perhaps in the world, was almost half Jewish in population.”*  
-Francis Parker Yockey, *IMPERIUM*

As such, the entirety of the mainstream American “right-wing” is **by definition no** ally of ours, as it is rooted in everything that we vehemently oppose and see to be at fault in the world. In fact the entirety of the American State exists as a **direct enemy** to our values and goals. The only valid Fascist/NS action in America is that of the **absolutely leveling of the existing State, state culture, it’s values** – in short, the **total destruction of “Americanism”** as such. Only then can something organic (and thus Fascist/NS) be grown in its place.

*Here the sickness has been coming along, unabated, for at least three generations, and probably more. It's their own baby and they love it. They wouldn't be parted from it. It's part of “Americanism”. This sickness is home-grown and it is from the inside out.*  
-James Mason, *SIEGE*, 3.9





This concept has been promoted as “American Futurism” . The entirety of James Mason’s SIEGE deals with the very goal of leveling the American System, to which he referred as the “Beast System, a thing more loathsome and evil than any which appears in the Bible” .

*The enemy today is the U.S. Government itself and it is, by every standard of measure, the most evil thing that has ever existed on earth. This, once it has sunk home, should be a good enough indicator of the sort of struggle we have ahead of us.*  
-James Mason, SIEGE, 2.2

This should only further illustrate how little relative terms of “Right/Left wing” mean, and exactly why we will **never** accept calls of allegiance on that premise. We judge things based on the values they promote – if those values are not our own, then we are enemies.

Even if we were to be convinced that the United States were at one point founded on something real and worth preserving, we would *still* call for an **all out attack** on its institutions, for Victory of the Race will not be achieved in defense of some *dying* and *decrepit* structure, but only through life-affirming **ATTACK** and **CONQUEST**.

*The very word and idea of “conservatism” guarantees that the victims of this delusion will merely try to “conserve” what is already GONE (such as the Constitution, etc.), thus condemning themselves to a pitiful, rear-guard DEFENSIVE action.*  
-George Lincoln Rockwell, White Power, Ch12

*Nazism replaces the collapsing “conservative” defense with vigorous ATTACK.*

*And when a people are as near to historical death as the whole White Race, attack is not only the best defense, it is the ONLY defense.*  
-George Lincoln Rockwell, White Power, Ch5

*The whole basis of the Right Wing was to try and “hold,” defend a shrinking perimeter, shouting “Never!”, anti-this and anti- that. One can only be shoved over the brink so many times, or trampled and annihilated up to a certain point when one must admit that, if it was a defensive struggle that was being waged, it was lost a little while ago.*  
-James Mason, SIEGE, 2.1

*The job is to build a world of our own, not to pull someone else's chestnuts out of the fire. Not a defense but an attack! To borrow a revolutionary press statement by Ed Reynolds, “We don't want to rock the boat, we want to SINK it!”*  
-James Mason, SIEGE, 7.1

*Fascism is not Tory Reaction in a black shirt or brown shirt, but the steel piston of realist revolution.*  
-William Brooke Joyce

Everywhere else, where there exists the natural, organic national and racial identity, nationalists, *let alone* Fascists and National Socialists, realize that the State is a separate thing that exists as an *expression* of the nation, as part of its cultural expression, one that is organized towards manifesting the collective will of the nation in action for both internal and external matters.



*The State is the form of a nation for action.*  
 -Francis Parker Yockey, *IMPERIUM*

However, through decay the State may cease to be an expression of the Nation, it may grow rigid and mechanical, very much in accordance with **Oswald Spengler's** concepts of *Culture* and *Civilization*. When this happens, nationalists have no qualms with laying to ruin the decadent and mechanical state along with all of the symbols which once expressed the pride and glory of the national culture. For when the state grows decadent and mechanic all symbols and institutions become worth no more or less *than the physical materials through which they were expressed* – institutions become *just buildings*, banners *just colored rags*. They may retain their cultural value in the memory of the nation, memory of the times when those institutions and symbols still retained their national essence, but they cannot become a value in of themselves, worthy of defense at the expense of the nation's essence.

**In short:** organic identity has nothing to fear in the loss of what it had produced itself in the first place, the Nation takes primacy over the State and thus the **Nation can always build a new State**, but the State can **never** revitalize national health and restore the Nation's spirit **if** the State itself had already ceased to be an expressive force of that Nation. If the spirit and will of that identity, the essence of the Nation and its character, still retains its vigor and vitality, then it will express itself anew.

Nationalists **can** destroy the State in the name of their Nation, so that a new, once again truly *National* State may be built on the ruins, and a new flag unfurled to signify its rebirth. New in form and appearance, yet in reality but a new manifestation of that Nation's distinct character, **the expression of the eternal Truth in its blood.**

*Our flag is neither red, nor black-red-gold, nor black-white-red; it is the flag of a new, vast reich that resides in our hearts, attaining in them its gestalt. The day will come when we will be able to unfurl it.*  
 -Ernst Junger, *Forward to F.G. Junger's book "March of Nationalism"*

*As sacred and dear as the old and beautiful colors must be, especially when they were fresh and youthful, to every decent German who has fought for them and has seen the sacrifices of so many under those colors, that flag is not the symbol for a battle of the future.*

*In contrast to the privileged-class politicians, I have always maintained the view in our movement that it is a real blessing for the German nation to have lost the old flag. What the new Republic does under its flag is unimportant to us. But we should thank Fate from the bottom of our hearts that it was merciful enough to protect the most glorious battle-flag of all times from being used as a cover for the most shameful prostitution. The present Reich, which sells-out itself and its citizens, must never fly the heroic black-white-red flag of honor.*

*As long as the November disgrace lasts, let it wear its own outer garment and not steal one from a more honest past. The conscience of our privileged-class politicians should tell them that anyone who desires the black-white-red flag for the current State is stealing from our past. The old flag really was beautiful, but only for the old Empire, just as the Republic has chosen a new one that is suited to itself.*



*This was the reason why we National-Socialists could not consider raising the old flag as a symbolic expression of what we were working for. After all, we did not want to awaken the old-dead Empire, which was destroyed by its own faults. We wanted to build a new State.*

*-Adolf Hitler, Mein Kampf, V2, Ch7*

However, USA is not an organic nation, as we've already stated, it was founded not as an expression of an organic nation's character and will, but instead an idealistic experiment of Rationalist thought, rooted in Enlightenment values. Here, the State takes primacy over the vast array of people of different national and racial backgrounds, and imposes on them the values on which it was based, artificial values created from the imagination of men. **The American State is a product of Intellect, not of Blood.** There is no space for any organic nationalism here, only for the liberal civic nationalism of the nationstate with its paper identity of citizenship, permitting *anyone* to become an American. As a result the "American" identity is *one* that has been artificially grown in accordance to State interests; *one* that disregards the organic national and racial backgrounds of the people who came to settle and populate USA; *one* that has been planned and directed in its growth by those in power, and if you take to heart what **Francis Parker Yockey** said in **IMPERIUM**, then this identity has also been *the plaything of the Jews since the 1930s.*

Thus Americans are by far and large no more than *civic* nationalists and patriots, and whoever tries to walk outside that mold is immediately chastised for being *un-American*. To be an American is to wave the State flag and to praise the piece of paper on which this artificial construct was founded (*The Constitution*) as well as the men who had concocted it (*The Founding Fathers*). The latter two elements hold *no more intrinsic value* than the **Communist Manifesto** and "*The Founding Fathers*" of Communism, **Marx and Engels** – USA might as well have been set up on those grounds and then it would have been *un-American to not praise the Manifesto*. There is **no** national character to fall back on because there is *no one single nationality that can lay claim to the US for its conception*, moreover there is **nothing** to fall back on **period**, because Americanism has for the most part effectively wiped out any and all national and racial identity and conscious heritage of its European settlers.

*What sort of Movement would it take to represent the will of the American people today? With some variations, several are already on the scene and, I might add, none of them remotely resemble anything National Socialist. Then too, there is no "American People," only Whites who, for the most part, have lost all racial pride and identity. But they are only reflections of what their rulers, the upper classes, have made themselves into. In a plutocracy, which this is, the wealthy decide and control the mould of the society, how the future generations will take shape. And, like any god, they can predictably be expected to fashion the people of the future in their own image. Meet the future today as it was envisioned forty and fifty years ago! And tomorrow?*

*-James Mason, SIEGE, 3.9*

As a result Americans are heavily dependent on their State/paper identity, which goes a long way to ensure its survival, as the American "right-wing" by definition stands in defense of that, on which the State relies for its power and control of the populace. Whatever "alternatives" get formed **continue** to rely on that same premise and thus invariably handcuff themselves to the System, as they effectively do not wish to fight and destroy it, but rather come to control and *change* it. Hipster



# ZERO TOLERANCE

conservatism does the same thing, but makes it “*fun*”, leading the American youth to further *comply* with the fake paper identity of the State as they put on their *MAGA* hats, though from everything that has been said so far it should be clear why **no** Fascist or National Socialist will **ever** agree that America *had ever been great*. An American Fascist/NS, *if he is truly NS*, cannot agree either, to him **American Futurism** and **SIEGE** are the **only ways** to liberate the people from the clutches of the System, in order to foster something *truly organic* in its place. With the destruction of the System in America, the ensuing conflict and strife will *by necessity* give rise to something organic and full of vitality, capable of establishing its own State as the expression of that new organic identity, full of life and creative force behind it. What that new entity will be cannot be predicted any more than one could predict what the Germans, French or English would grow to become at the dawn of their inception, for that mystery of their blood, imparted on them by the Absolute/Truth/God etc, had not yet come to express itself.

**To conclude:** Fascists and National Socialists, including American ones, have **no allies** in the american “*right-wing*” scene as they are hopelessly enslaved to an entity that is fundamentally contrary to everything we stand and fight for, hence they are incapable of even mounting an effective attack against it, let alone of pursuing the same goals as us. This only compounds the criticism of the American “*right-wing*” that has already been presented via the **Rockwell** quotes earlier. Alright as hipster conservatism simply falls into the same category as old and tired mainstream conservatism, just younger and “*hip with the times*”. The only path American Fascists and National Socialists have before them is that of American Futurism.

Still, as we said at the start of this segment, we do not believe that this *alone* is the original Alright, however the Hipster Conservative Alright has come into existence once the term Alright had reached mainstream notoriety, of which we will speak more in the next segment dealing with the **real** nature of the Alright.





## ALRIGHT-RACIST LIBERALS?

We come to the final possibility as to the nature of the Altright, and by all accounts we find this one to ring the *most* true. Taking into consideration the same initial premise as the one introduced in the previous possibility, namely of the Altright appearing as an “*alternative*” to the mainstream American conservatism, which it had accused of betraying American conservative values, the core from which the Altright label had sprung *also* contains elements of *vague racialism*, including *some sort* of awareness of the Jewish Question, and **no less vague white nationalism**.

In total we find only **two** definitive elements to the Altright: it’s adherence to Americanism and, ironically, its **vagueness** when it comes to the **very issues on which we supposedly share some common ground with them**, which prompts their calls for “*alliances*” and “*not punching right*”. The incredible vagueness that is the definitive trademark of the Altright is due to it’s “*big tent*” approach when it comes to both what people make up its followers and the people it finds itself in alliance with. For all intents and purposes the Altright is the **quintessential example of everything that our Champions had warned us against**. Just go back and read their criticism of alliances and mass movement approaches that we presented earlier in this article, you’ll find that the Altright has been consistent in ignoring all those warnings.

By trying to amass itself as many followers as possible the Altright has made itself too vague to identify, only things that it definitively advertised were that it is “*Right wing*”, a “*new alternative*” to mainstream American conservatism, and that it seeks to establish a “*White Ethnostate*” (*yet more vagueness*). However, in its pursuit of becoming something “*hip*”, as is even advertised by the use of the Alt prefix itself (*Alt is the new New/Neo/Post/Meta*), it had delved into contemporary youth and internet/meme culture, becoming that “*edgy*” Hipster Conservatism for millennials. This method in theory sounds oddly similar to the American 90s marketing craze for appealing to teenagers with “*XTREME*” themes.



# ZERO TOLERANCE



“No, this isn't your grandpa's conservatism, this is the new RAD AND WIRED ALTRIGHT BRAND XTREME CONSERVATISM!!! WE HAVE FUN, MEMES AND MICROMACHINES!! R U XTREME ENOUGH TO HANDLE IT?!? GET YOURS TODAY!! PEEHPAAAY!!!  
warning:micromachinesnotofficiallyaffiliatedwiththealtrightandaresoldseparately.”

Of course, despite the labels and the appeal to internet culture, Altright is still deeply rooted in Americanism and thus in **liberal logic**, but combined with vague racialism, in effect becoming nothing more than *Racist Liberalism*. The Altright simply feels that Americanism has been “ruined” (*vehemently denying that it was never any good in the first place*) by non-whites. Indeed the ranks of the Altright are full of former liberals and libertarians who had been shocked into their newfound racialism by the new progressive generation of SJWs. Now to protect their beloved Americanism they wish to arm themselves with that vague racialism and the goal of a “*White Ethnostate*”.

We've already covered the issue of Americanism extensively in the previous segment, so now let us discuss this vague racialism and what it effectively amounts to. The vagueness of the Altright racialism is present throughout its entire narrative and is defined by the end goal of establishing the “*White Ethnostate*”, which holds no more specific aspects to it other than that it would be a “*homogeneous society*” and that alone is the key to success. As a result the Altright requires only **just enough racialism** to prove how a homogeneous society is better than a multicultural one and... *that's it*. Anything else becomes just icing on the cake after the fact, depending on what kind of racilists the Altright had managed to attract into its fold, thus the degrees of racialism varies.

*There was a reason the young movement settled on a definite program and avoided using the word “Racist” in it. The concept of “racialism” is unclear and indefinite which makes it impossible as a basis*



*for a movement. It also does not offer any standard to judge what the members actually stand for. The more vague this concept is and the more open to interpretation it becomes, the more it appeals to everyone. Anyone can see whatever they want in the movement.*

*Injecting an idea into a political struggle that is vague and capable of many interpretations will ultimately destroy the solidarity and lead to harsh fighting within the fellowship. A political movement cannot survive if the individual is allowed to decide on his own what he believes the movement stands for. It is outrageous that people are running around today with the “Racial” symbol on their hats and how many of them have created their own definition of what the idea means.*

[...]

*Everyone interprets the idea as he happens to understand it. Such a wide variety of opinions is unacceptable as a basis for a fighting political movement. I am not even referring to their separation from reality and particularly the ignorance these people display when it comes to the soul of the nation which is commonly seen in these racist movements, these John the Baptists of the twentieth century. The value of these people is clearly illustrated in the way they are treated by the Left-Wing parties. They find them ridiculous so they let these people rant and rave and just laugh at them.*

*Anyone in this world who is not hated by his enemies is worthless as a friend to me.  
-Adolf Hitler, Mein Kampf, VI, Ch12*

There's the crime statistics, the IQ charts, the comparison of cultural achievements, white flight, all sorts of arguments that to Fascists and National Socialists are entirely **secondary**. We'll use them, but they are not our primary and definitive arguments, which further showcases how much our goals and those of our Altright “allies” differ. Their “White Ethnostate” goal amounts to little more than a **white liberal gated community** expanded to the size of a whole country, something we've already outlined in **one of our previous articles**. No wonder they are excited about Trump's promise of a literal big wall – it is the ultimate, *yuuuuge* picket fence around their neighborhood, now they just need to get the whole of society to agree with them on keeping the Jews and darkies out of the neighborhood with their *Overton Window petition*.

What happens, is that the Altright finds itself between the average contemporary Americanism that doesn't mind nonwhites and “*treats everyone as individuals*” (the mainstream American “right wing” to which it poses itself as an alternative), and the progressive liberal SJW crowd that are taking Americanism to its logical self-destructive conclusions (the mainstream American “left wing”).

The altright wants *exactly the same as the former group*, minus the non-whites and the kind of whites that promote the latter. They fail to realize, that the latter are a **direct product** and *result* of the liberal mentality of the former, the SJW scene is the **logical progression** of the kind of Rationalist/Enlightenment principles on which the US was founded. The altright attacks the *late-stage symptoms of natural death compounded by disease*, not the **reason** for natural death, and is therefore ineffective against the opportunistic disease itself as well, as their solutions are always **half-measures**, an attempt to freeze the decay and disease *at a certain stage* of their development in perpetuity and proclaim **that** to be their ideal.

Their conflict with the American political mainstream, both “left” and “right” is premised on the same notion: “*nonwhites get out, we don't want to share the “fruits” of liberalism*”



# ZERO TOLERANCE

they're all ours, OURS! REEEEEEE DON'T TOUCH MY TOYS SHITSKIN!!!," whereas the American "right" does want to share on "equal" and "fair" terms, and the American "left" is willing to sacrifice whites in order to give all of it up to the nonwhites. Sure, the nonwhites ruin the "fruits" of a liberal society and break all of the toys, however those things were **no good to begin with**. A further interesting point to highlight would be the prevalent opposition to **socialism** that exists within the Altright, including the Socialism of National Socialism, and not just Marxist Socialism or its successor, Cultural Marxist Socialism. **OG Marxist Socialism**, which aims towards Communism, pursues the goal of the formula "from each according to his ability, to each according to his needs"; **Cultural Marxist Socialism** develops that formula further into "from each **white** according to his ability, to each **non-white** according to his needs".

However the Altright likewise opposes **National Socialism**, the entire foundation of which is, of course, **duty** and **service**, of which the liberal mindset wants **neither**, just as much as it opposes the idea of having to give up its cummies and general liberal frivolities for redistribution among other whites or giving them all up to nonwhites. "Comfortable and safe suburban bourgeois **Life, Liberty from responsibilities and duties, and the pursuit of Happiness**, i.e. hedonistic pleasures and cummies" – **that** is the true slogan of Americanism, and thus the slogan of the Altright, which only attaches to that a sign reading "no niggers, Jews or other nonwhites allowed!" It comes then as no surprise why so many in the Altright worship the American 50s, when Americanism was strong and the nonwhites were fewer, but they ignore that the 60s had come about as a **direct result of the previous decade(s)**.

*If this society were not ripe for death, would it so willingly harken to the Jewish and liberal song of death?  
Would it cooperate so readily?  
-James Mason, SIEGE, 7.19*

*It is not physical lacks or hardship which bears down on our people and drives them unconsciously toward national and racial suicide.*

*It is a SPIRITUAL failing, a DISEASE of the spirit, which has our people down and beaten.*

*Our people are rotting from the inside, no matter how the outside gives the appearance of prosperity and happiness.  
-George Lincoln Rockwell, White Power, Ch2*

Fascists and NS, on the other hand, want to eradicate the very core underlying problem, hence there is no room for idolizing the 50s or Americanism in general – it's all **wrong**. We cannot be allies with those who are employing *half measures* for, what is to us, *half-goals*. Therein is hidden the **lie** of us having the same goals based on the idea that both sides are rooted in racialism. *Sure*, we have some similar starting point if we bring everything down to a common denominator of actually recognizing that races exist and matter to social organization, yet our "racialism" is anything **but** vague, and when taken to its **logical ends** it will necessitate the **destruction** of liberalism, even if it calls itself *Americanism*.





The Altright wants to stop the train halfway to its final destination – by addressing some symptoms of the degeneracy, while leaving the core of modernity intact. We want to go **all the way**, so a conflict between us for the train controls is inevitable. To call us “*fellow travelers*” would be as delusional as it would be to say that a priest going to church is a fellow traveler with a sodomite who is going to a gay bathhouse located halfway down the same road.

As we deal with absolutes of **Truth** and *Lies* and not **practicality** for the sake of an “*all-white-liberal-playground*”, our own “*racialism*”, goes far beyond the limits of what the Altright requires for their goals. Moreover, Fascism and NS in reality **hold a monopoly on racial values** as it was only the Fascist and National Socialist Worldview that took the fundamentals of racial principles to their logical conclusions and stuck with them as the end goals, ready to not only promote but to **fight** and **die** for them. **Only** Fascists and National Socialists can declare themselves to be **real** proponents and defenders of racial values (*moreover, to be their sole champions*) as opposed to the big, vague tent that is the Altright and its intellectual “*racialist sleepwalkers,*” whose idea of “*active struggle*” is as **laughable** as it is *sad* evidence of the current state of affairs.

*If National Socialism is to conquer, it must declare this set of ideas absolutely and exclusively as its own. Here too it has the duty and the right to stress that any attempt to maintain the race-based idea outside the confines of the National-Socialist German Workers' Party is pointless and impossible, and in most cases, such claims are outright fraud.*

*If anyone today accuses the movement of acting as if it “owned” the race-based idea, there is just one single answer: We not only own it, but for all practical purposes, we created it.*

*Nothing that previously existed under the name racialist was fit to influence the destiny of our people in the slightest because these ideas all lacked clear, unified formulation. In most cases, these ideas were a collection of mere isolated, disconnected convictions, which varied in their soundness. They often contradicted one another and never had any cohesion among themselves. Even if this cohesion had existed, it was too weak to build a movement from. This is what the National- Socialist movement accomplished alone.*

*-Adolf Hitler, Mein Kampf, V2, Ch5*

Indeed, Fascism and National-Socialism are the **only logical conclusions** to any **legitimate racial values and racial thinking**, whereas Altright “*racialism*” has more in common with the kind of “*redneck racism*” imagined by mainstream liberals.

*Redneck racism was based on hate, fear, ignorance and plain snobbery, etc. Hitler's kind of racialism – and Manson's – was, and is, based upon Natural Order.*

*-James Mason, SIEGE, 4.19*

With the Altright the intellectual “*racialist sleepwalking*” is a **product** of their redneck racism. They simply hated other races for immediate emotion-driven reasons (“*not that there's anything wrong with that,*” as *this is an instinctual manifestation of a deeper Truth*) rather than by virtue of having any fundamental reasons rooted in a definitive Worldview (*of which there is only one, that of the Truth, of Fascism and NS*).





Redneck racism is fine by us when it comes to the average folk, however if you wish to lead your people with racial values you are obliged to know the fundamental underlying reasons for this instinctual behavior and turn it into a social doctrine.

They utilized racist thinking for pragmatic and utilitarian reasons *alone*, to justify their emotional drives after the fact, without ever taking that thinking to its logical conclusion (*Fascism/NS*). Again – they need it only in as much as it helps them create their *all-white-liberal-playground ethnostate*, where they don't have to deal with nonwhites at all and can wallow in liberal degeneracy that **fully comprehensive racial thinking** would **fundamentally oppose**. *Their racialism* serves the goal of excluding nonwhites from white societies and **that is it, merely a means to an end**.

Fascism and National Socialism take racial thinking to its logical conclusions and uphold racial values **AS VALUES** in of themselves within the model of the Organic State. Where a vague racist can *at most* be simply **proud** of having been **born** a certain race, in the Fascist/NS Worldview being born into a certain race **is but a premise**, as that alone **it is not enough**. To be born Aryan **is not enough** to justify pride, it is a life-long **obligation of duty** and **service to live up to the destiny of your Aryan blood**. When racial origin is taken for *granted* with a sense of *entitlement*, it will inevitably lead to **decay and racial ruin**. Instead one must view it as a **mighty responsibility** before all those who *came before*, those *alive*, those who *will come after*, and to the Truth itself. There is **no room** here for egotistical pride and conceit. *This* is the meaning of the medieval chivalry motto “Noblesse oblige” – “nobility obliges”, meaning that one's noble ancestry constrains to



honorable behavior, thus privilege entails to responsibility, NOT conceit and entitlement. This notion has existed in literature as early as Homer's Iliad.

*When we say "Romanian Nation" – we understand it as: all romanians, alive and dead, who lived on this land since the start of its history and those who will live on it in the future.*

*-Corneliu Zelea Codreanu, For My Legionaries*

*The nation is a being of a higher order, existing independently of those individuals of whom it is temporarily composed. It is not a physical being, with a head, arms, legs, eyes. It is a moral and historical entity, which lives and acts in human history, carrying its national symbols.*

*-Dimitrije Ljotic*

*I am not free to think as I wish. I can only live in relation to the dead of my race. They, and my country's soil, tell me how I shall live.*

*-Auguste-Maurice Barrès*

*Once we let self-interest become the ruler of a people, the bonds of social order are broken. When man focuses on chasing his own happiness, he falls from Heaven straight to Hell.*

*-Adolf Hitler, Mein Kampf, VI, Ch11*

Meanwhile the attitude of our would-be "allies" has been elaborated on in the same older **article that we are now linking to for a third time**. Yet let us go back to a claim we made just a bit earlier, namely of the methods employed by the Altright and how **laughable** and **sad** they are. The Altright's insistence on it and its methods being "new" leads them down the path of the predictable claim that since they are new they are *untried* and "prove" themselves to be "successful" by claims the true consequences of which will be made apparent only sometime in the future, *had we not already seen this "new" path been done to death before*.

If one is to *actually read* the works of **George Lincoln Rockwell**, the parallels between what had been advertised by his "allies" at the time, and what the Altright advertises **now** are **so great** that we have released **a bait and switch article** a couple of months ago, publishing excerpts from Rockwell's books and substituting some names in there for those relevant to the contemporary situation. How is it that the entirety of Alrights schtick has been around since the 50s and yet they imagine themselves to be "new" and "innovative" ?

Frankly, the only difference between then and now is the appearance of the internet, which, however, is but a new tool or medium for the same old methods, methods that we have already discredited earlier in this very article. Mass movement approach **doesn't work**, mass conversion via propaganda **doesn't work**, purely intellectual appeal **doesn't work**, presentability and respectability of the suit and tie look **don't matter**, and it **doesn't matter** if you have a new tool to play with if *the very premise* of these methods is faulty. The new tool may be well and good but the way and the goal for which it is used renders that tool **useless**. Why hasn't anyone learned their lessons and continued to repeat the same mistake for decades?



# ZERO TOLERANCE



What repeating the same stupid mistakes for 50 years looks like. Keep watching the gif for the next 50 years for the full experience.

*These know-it-alls cannot understand that being “dignified” or “refined” or “reasonable” has not helped any of the rightwing movements so far to SUCCESS.*

*-George Lincoln Rockwell, This Time the World, Ch20*

The most likely primary reason why these mistakes had formed an inescapable loop, is that these very practices always lead a group to a quiet death in **obscurity** and **irrelevance**, thus nobody in the next generation of would-be political activists *even realize* that there used to be this one, two, *ten*, **thirty** groups over the decades that have attempted this song and dance already. Each new generation succumbs to these same rationalistic “reasonable” ideas as the default “logical” path to take and are not aware of the existence of the **mass grave** of forgotten groups and organizations that had died on this road, with the only warning being a sign with a Swastika, saying “turn back” – yet none will listen. Nobody is willing to learn from the experience and vast knowledge of our Champions as they are **dismissed out of hand** for the same rationalistic “reasonable” mindset, that upon seeing the Swastika immediately jumps to the same tired assumptions that we had already criticized here earlier. “Dumb nazis, this isn’t how you win, I know best, so I’ll do this NEW and GENIUS in its SIMPLICITY approach” – yet they never seem to wonder why this self-evident and simple approach “hasn’t been done before”, for if they had, they would have discovered the mass grave **right beneath their feet**. Instead they go ahead and venture forth to assured failure.

**To summarize:** a group appears on the scene, does the “respectable, presentable entryist mass movement “we’re not nazis plz stop saying that!” mass appeal big tent” song and dance, dies



in obscurity and departs from the fringes of public consciousness where it was trapped for the entirety of its existence. New group, ignorant of all this and naive, repeats the exact same steps and ends up the same way. Rinse, repeat, over and over for the last 50 years. An accursed loop perpetuating itself from sheer arrogant ignorance and naivety.

This is, of course, exactly why the Altright **poses no threat to the System and the Jews** – it's already *seen* this before and *knows* how it will go. To paraphrase an earlier Hitler quote we've given: *"The value of these people is clearly illustrated in the way they are treated by the System. It finds them ridiculous so it lets these people rant and rave and just laughs at them."* And let us be honest here, there is plenty to laugh at:

## Spencer at Texas A&M

First thing we hear when watching the Spencer talk in Texas is *"You went to a startrek convention"* – shit, must have clicked something else by mista... no wait, this is the Spencer talk. Surprising at first, but with the benefit of afterthought it does seem fitting for just who Spencer is.

*"You like to wear sweatpants, it's all... .. wool!"*

-SPENCER! SPENCER, SLOW DOWN THE CRINGE ENGINE!

-NO CAN DO CAPT'N, I'M GIVING IT ALL SHE'S GOT!

*"These are elective identities"* – being a "trekkie," yes, perhaps, if you're a complete loser. But wearing sweatpants is an elective identity? Who the hell wears sweatpants as a definitive part of who they are? It would appear that Spencer can only communicate with those like himself – utter losers and cringy imbeciles.

The way he talks, like he's almost whispering in that faux soothing tone, just making him look like that much more of an emasculated creep, like he's trying to emulate Mr Rogers, with a vest instead of a sweater.

*"As a European"* – you're an ameriburger, lad – *"I can tell the story of the Greeks and the Romans..."*





**Richard B. Spencer**  
@RichardBSpencer



Follow

Homosexuality has been a part of European societies and culture for millennia. It's not going away, not something to get worked up about.

RETWEETS  
6

LIKES  
8



10:59 PM - 31 Oct 2014



6

8



He sure can tell some bullshit stories alright.

“... about the coming of Christianity” – but you’re only a self-professed “cultural Christian,” Spencie, you don’t know jack shit about actual Christianity. Maybe if you listened to some **Mysterium Fasca**s you’d know a bit more.

He takes long pauses, allows the hecklers to shout some quip and replies to it in that same passive, soothing fashion “no, you’re wrong, sir” – you’re in enemy territory Dickie, nobody there is your friend no matter how much of a **passive pussy** you present yourself as, which isn’t hard, it’s in your nature. Dickless Dickie allows the people in the crowd to direct the talk by responding to every heckler he hears, like this wasn’t a planned talk but a heart to heart conversation between a councilor and some troubled youths (*channeling Mr Rodgers hard*), and perhaps that was exactly the angle Spency was going for – however that angle is weak, pathetic and completely ineffective. The *Sesame street* approach may seem appropriate in that modern college students are easily triggered little children with designated “safe spaces” decorated like baby rooms, however they are trained to be mindless and foaming at the mouth attack dogs whenever presented with anything that challenges their delusions. Either way the constant distractions to talk to hecklers renders this entire exercise in futility unworthy of even being called a talk or presentation.

It’s Spencer trying to be the “nice guy” with SJW’s. Why, however? For the fame and popularity? Is that all this is for Spencer?

“Oh, he’s a professor, so that means he must be correct” \*chuckles\* – further cringe. To which the audience, predictably, reacts, but what is truly interesting is how Spencer reacts to the crowd:





GHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAY!!!!!!!



“Tee hee, don’ t hit on me you silly boys!”

How does one manage to be a bigger presenting faggot than an outright faggot like Milo?

More talking to hecklers. Also note all the weird pauses. One lasts up to 9 or 10 seconds straight as he stands there awkwardly.

Joke about a fat clown that Dickie himself is just so fucking giddy over that he laughs, like a little boy who just said a naughty word, into the mic with a huge grin on his face.

All of these horrible hindrances dominate the presentation or whatever the hell this trainwreck is supposed to be. Some might argue that he made a few good points, but this is a backwards logic as you make up excuses for single, miniature instances, which are more or less okay or moderately good, which are obscured by and large by the overall spirit of awkwardness and weakness. This is a downward spiral, for while NPI conferences were cucked and weak, here Spencer presents himself not only as that, but also *as a joke*.

*>listens to heckler*

*>does weird hand gestures*



# ZERO TOLERANCE

>"alright, let's cool down the autism a little bit"

>GIGGLES

\*OHEEEEMGEEE I SAID DAT INTERNET MAYMAY IN REAL LIFE LIEK OHMYGOSH GIGGLE\*

>"Just joking!"

The mentality is amazing: *"I did something naughty and it was so exciting but now I should cuck myself over it"*. In the meantime his supporters in the back go bananas over hearing a meme *\*offline\**. And it is an utter joke, cringy as all hell, but apparently this is what deserves praise.

This defines the entire next segment as well, as Spencer finally gets to deliver actual points, but at the end, in another pause, replies to a heckler *"I'm not paranoid, they're just out to get me"* effectively undoing all of what he said prior. Instead of driving home those points on globalization and rootlessness by showing how the leftist/sjw narrative says some of the same things in different words, he effectively painted himself to be an awkward cook with that *'joke'*. Another pause.

He goes back into the actual meat of the presentation only to engage another heckler over some sign that he is shown and each time Spencer readily takes the bait and addresses it and makes a long spectacle of it which brings him back into that awkward cringe territory. In effect it is not Spencer running the crowd but the crowd controlling Spencer, which is easy because he is very responsive, too slow, makes long pauses, leaves all the possible ways in for hecklers and the crowd to exploit and it is truly pathetic how much of a hapless leaf in the wind he is during the entirety of this event. And his reaction to the hecklers is always the same thing: awkward pause analyzing what is happening - replying or explaining what is happening - then giving it a soft chuckle or making a *"funny"* *"joke"* or *"maymay"* and then giggling to himself like a schoolboy.





Finally the SJW part of the bored-to-death crowd decided to add some kind of excitement to the even and a lot of commotion happens with a crowd obscuring the view of the stage. “*Let’s sit down and talk with each other!*” – so talking to the hecklers was always part of the goal for this “event”. “*I’m about to finish up and will give everyone a chance at Q&A*” – **THAT’S IT?** You did nothing BUT reply to them anyway, where is the actual talk? We’re **25 minutes** in and half, if not most of it was lame memes, awkward pauses, answering hecklers and Spencer giggling at his own jokes and how clever he thinks he is. But that is most likely Spencer’s strong suit to talk *with* people rather than talk *at* people, he’s certainly a failure at the latter.

Spencer’s whole style at this event and the way he tries to calm down the crowd makes it look like he is going for an “*SJW-whisperer*” counterpart to Cesar Millan, however lacks any success, so he finally tries raising his voice momentarily, before once again returning to the real points and manages to keep going alright, until he is once again distracted by a heckler, to whom Spencer responds: “*This world needs a better class of heckler*” – proof to the contrary Spency, as you take the bait and allow him to control *you*. Every time Spencer does something that is moderately alright – his talking points being already passe within our own circles, their delivery being fairly flat, though steady – he immediately stumbles and ruins everything on demand of some random body in the audience, reacting in a way that makes him look like a fucking buffoon: “*You know who I am!*” – wtf was that? Was that another reference? In some half-assed delivery of a whisper-yell?

He wraps up and does a wide wave into the audience like a 5 year old. The Q&A goes along in the same pace, it feels more dynamic as it is conversations but ultimately it’s just more cringe.

#### Richard Spencer vs Roland Martin

Richard Spencer Speech at NPI Conference

Richard Spencer at the AltRight Conference

*The fakers know why they are fakers. They have too much to hide, too much to protect, too much to lose. The attraction of any real talent or genius or personal drive is a direct threat to them as it threatens to “take away” not only their livelihood but also rob them of their “star” status.*  
-James Mason, SIEGE, 8.21

We can already hear the rushed objections of our Altright “*allies*” as they hurriedly try to present examples of their “*success*”. Namely, they legitimately seem to think **that they “memed” Trump into the presidency of the United States.** The absolute absurdity of this claim is indescribable. The Altright had not even registered in the widespread american public consciousness **until Hillary Clinton had put it there** with her Altright speech, which likewise ushered the birth of the *Hipster Conservatist Altright* without the racialism aspects, that we had explored earlier.

**Time for an overdue reality check:** the Altright did **not** make Trump possible, *but the exact opposite*, which is precisely why they have been riding his coattails online via memes, podcasts and some articles, which apparently in their mind amounts to “*activism*”.

In reality, as per the **Lemming Principle**, the masses, including mainstream conservatives, simply did not speak out in force against the progressive liberal SJW bullshit as it was the *dominant narrative* that was unopposed in the public discourse at the highest level, hence nobody felt safe




criticizing it. *Until Trump*, who legitimized that criticism and thus carved out a “safe space” for lemmings to voice their discontent (*which they would have never voiced otherwise, further showcasing their cowardice*) – however the wide masses and overwhelming bulk of Trump support did not share in any sort of racist thinking whatsoever, instead falling back on the mainstream conservative individualist fairness principles “*of each man being able to make his own way regardless of skin color*” .

It were **these people**, *the average conservative lemming who isn't racist in the slightest but does not support progressive liberalism and feels betrayed by the Republican party*, that got Trump elected, **not** the Altright, which presents only a fringe element of Trump support. The **actual** figureheads of this core of Trump supporters who had contributed to his victory are actually figures like , outlets like **Rebel Media** and **Info Wars** (*who had overtaken The Young Turks in their subscriber count*), as well as various “*rationalist*” YouTubers like **Sargon of Akkad**. These are the *real* sample representatives of the core of Trump's supporters who got him elected, **not** the Altright.

They often self-identify as the “*new media*” that is rooted in American conservatism, **including** Sargon of Akkad, even though he says he is a center-left liberal, since that translates from European to American “*right/left*” scale as something pretty close to American Conservatism. So if *anyone* got Trump into office then it was this “*new media conservatives*” . Hell, even **Campus Reform** had done more for Trump's victory than the Altright with such real life actions as the one in the video below, though let us be fair and admit that lemmings stick to their bias *regardless* of what facts are presented to them (*which holds true for both Trump and Hillary supporters*), so you can safely bet that most of them voted for Hillary regardless of having been made to look like absolute idiots.

These people have been actively, visibly and publicly campaigning for Trump ever since he started, whereas the Altright, with its online “*activism*” was known to nobody outside the fringe political circles. It gained any level of public recognition **only because Hillary Clinton had attempted to use the Altright as another way of attacking Trump to discredit him**, just as it was done when he was pressed about his apparent KKK support-base (*now imagine if the KKK claimed that it was them who got Trump elected – it is just as farcical and laughable as the Altright claim*). In the long run one could say that the Altright actually owes far more **to Hillary** for their meager level of publicity than to Trump. **Mark Dice** (*another representative of Trump's real support base*) Video below timestamped.





Search results for Altright starting from Trump's announcement that he'll be running for President and ending with his victory in November. The spike coincides with Hillary's speech about the Altright. "Oh yeah we got Trump elected, fam!"

And what had effectively happened as a result of that speech? The Altright brand was somewhat fractured, as all the Trump supporters took on that mantle and started skewing it from its original sources and its racist aspects to just refer to the Hipster Conservatism for millennials, so now you have pointless and moronic videos on the issue of the hijacked brand name, like the one where **Red Ice Radio** talk about **Paul Joseph Watson** of **Info Wars** misrepresenting the meaning of the Altright. Hipster Conservatives be like: "Look at me - I'm the Altright now." Now the OG Altright is being pushed out even further into the category of an unfortunate and unwanted fringe, whose existence can be explained away in the same fashion one negro Trumpist explained away Trump's KKK support-base:

"**Altright** and people like that, they come out from under the rocks all the time around this time, that got nothing to do with Donald Trump, we're all Americans." This is the definitive attitude of the majority of Trump's support, *the actual support that **did** get him elected.* They regard the Altright, to be but a fringe element that is irrelevant. And to add insult to injury, with the appropriation of the Altright label they can now relegate the OG racist Altright as a fringe element of the "real" Hipster Conservativist Altright. Now go back and read **once again** Hitler's warnings about mass movements and alliances and how they would defuse a group and render it immobile, not that the Altright had much going for it in the first place anyway.



# ZERO TOLERANCE

And while the Altright is **absolutely harmless** to the System, for it practices the same, time and again **failed** approach, they **do** give it a fair share of coverage, however it is not done out of fear of the group itself (*indeed they manage to successfully mock it nonstop*), but for the exact same reason that **Hillary** brought it up in the first place: they are trying to use the Altright to smear Trump, which is of course completely futile, but it gives the Altright itself a false sense of its importance and achievements. They **love** telling the media that **they** got Trump elected, a delusion that the media is only **too glad** to capitalize on, regardless if they realize the truth of the matter, because that claim *fits into their own narrative*. The Jew-run media doesn't actually attack them as a credible enemy, it only uses them to try and discredit Trump. *What's more*, the Lugenpresse would have utilized the Altright for its narratives in either Trump or Hillary victory. With Trump's victory they showcase how "*evil racists had been emboldened by it*" hence all the fake attack stories on Hillary supporters that have become so prevalent. With Hillary's victory they would have instead talked about how "*evil racists are lashing out against Trump's defeat*" (*even the term "whitelash" would have still existed in case of Hillary's victory*), each time using the Altright as an avenue of attack on Trump.

The fantasy world in which the Altright lives is a fantasy world that the Jew media is **only too happy to fuel**, as they *both* pretend that the Altright is something more than what it actually is, which makes it all the better for the Jews when they easily beat it down with sheer mockery. The Altright *huffs* and *huffs* but cannot produce a single **puff**, but the Jew giddily pretends to be walking against a powerful gale, to look all the more *heroic* for it. *Although*, there is always **another additional** possibility as to why the Jew media pays so much attention to the Altright, *which is likewise rooted in its harmlessness*:

*During all their direct attacks against the staunch patriot, the Jewish 'lovers of sweet reason' employ two equally dirty indirect plays: They build up sincere, but harmless anti-communist outfits, like the John Birch Society, by showering them with publicity to draw off the growing hordes of maddened Americans from any real and therefore dangerous activity and, secondly, they open up a heavy media bombardment of lies about Hitler and National Socialism, in order to destroy by discrediting 'Nazis' like ourselves, without giving us any publicity.*

*-George Lincoln Rockwell, This Time the World, Ch12*

Yet the Altright insists on having "*memed*" Trump into office, using nothing but their online presence, of which the wider masses were not even aware of until that **Hillary** speech, and even thereafter the interest dropped again as real core of Trump's support regard the (*racialist*) Altright as nothing more than another weak lying press attack on his persona. All this online "*activity*" and the power of the internet could not do *jack shit* to transform what the Altright was doing into anything tangible, and what they were doing was producing content for the immediate consumption of their own, already existing audience, and not the wider masses, despite their praise of the mass movement and "*Overton window*" approaches. The Altright racist sleepwalkers had in effect done **nothing** other than partially substitute the intellectual methods of message delivery for the meme method.

This whole line of thinking stinks of the kind of **weak character types that are afraid of any real action** and seek the **easy** and **comfortable** way out, and what can be *safer, easier* and more *comfortable* than "*memeing*" your "*victory*". These very same people, our would-be "*allies*"



wanted us to in effect do **nothing** as well, for anything that we, Fascists and NS, promote and do, they decried as “*larping*” or as something that “*pushes the masses away*”. Or perhaps they do it because it effectively makes them *look bad* and forces them to face the reality of their own **irrelevance** and **weakness**. Yet they have the nerve to claim themselves to be the “*brains*” to our “*muscle*”, the *presentable* and *respectable* vanguard of the *thug* rank and file, all the while their sole “*claim to fame*” is the “**silent work**” of online “*activism*”.

*Therefore the friendship of these people for our young movement was not only worthless but downright dangerous. In fact, that was the main reason we chose the name “Party” in the first place. We hoped that this would scare off a whole swarm of racist sleepwalkers. This is why we also described ourselves as the National Socialist German Workers' Party.*

*The word Party scared off the fanatics who live in the past, as well as the big talkers who spout meaningless phrases about the “racial idea”. The other part, National Socialist German Workers, freed us from a whole parade of knights of the “intellectual” sword and all the ragged misfits that carry “intellectual weapons” as a shield to cover their cowardice.*

*Naturally the most violent “attacks” on our new movement came from these racials. Of course the attacks were not physical, but only with their favorite weapon of pen and ink, just as you would expect from such racist pen heads. To them, there was something revolting about our principle which stated, “If a man offers us violence, we will defend ourselves by violence”. They criticized us profusely claiming we possessed a rude type of worship for the rubber club and that our ranks lack any form of intellect. These quacks fail to realize that in a meeting of racials, a Demosthenes can be silenced by just fifty idiots who rely on nothing but their lungs and their fists if those idiots do not want to let him speak. The inborn cowardice of the racist always keeps him out of any such danger. He does not make noise when he works and he never steps out of the crowd – he is always careful to stay silent.*

*Even today I cannot warn our young movement strongly enough against falling into the trap of these “silent workers”. They are not only cowards, but they are all incompetents and do-nothings. When a man knows something is going to happen, and he realizes it is a real danger, and he sees, with his own eyes, the mere possibility of solution, he damned well has the duty and obligation to act. He must make a public stand against evil and openly work for its cure, not work “silently”. If he does not do so, he is a miserable weakling who has forgotten the meaning of duty and a failure either through cowardice or through laziness and inability. The great majority of the “silent workers” merely pretend to know what Heaven knows. None of them has any ability, but they all try to fool the whole world with their smoke-screen. They are lazy, but with their alleged “silent” work, they appear to be enormously active and productive. In a word, they are swindlers and political dayworkers who find the honest work of others disgusting. When one of these racist moths prefers the darkness in the valley of “silence”, you can bet a thousand to one that in the dark, he is not producing but stealing and stealing from the fruit of others' work.*

*In addition, there is the arrogance and conceited disrespect that this lazy, night owl crowd dumps on the work of others. They constantly complain about the works of others in a condescending way, and in so doing they actually help the deadly enemies of our nationality.*

*Every last follower who has the courage to stand on a table in a tavern surrounded by his enemies and boldly and openly defend his views accomplishes more than a thousand of these lying, treacherous sneaks. He definitely will convert and win over one man or another to the movement. His achievement can be tested and proved by the success of his activity. However, the cowardly frauds who boast of their “silent” work and hide themselves in disgraceful anonymity are worthless and may be considered in the truest sense of the word useless when it comes to working for the revival of our people.*

*-Adolf Hitler, Mein Kampf, VI, Ch12*



# ZERO TOLERANCE

*As far as this type of "racialist" fighter is concerned, I can only say to the National-Socialist movement and to the German people most sincerely, "Lord, guard us from such friends and then we can easily deal with our enemies".*

*-Adolf Hitler, Mein Kampf, V2, Ch10*

As for the very few of their figureheads and groups that had managed to actually **go out** and attempt real life activism, we had already provided some criticism of their conduct earlier in this article, but there is still more to be said and more of their own points to be refuted.





## THE CRITICISM OF WEAPONS

One of our primary arguments is that today we are left *no other* viable options to achieve our goals but **Revolution** and thus we promote education in revolutionary activity, foremost with **James Mason's SIEGE**. It is the timeless and inevitably repeating truth of the world that **violence is the last resort**. The only ones to believe differently are those who had *never* actually experienced a situation in which they have been deprived of any other way of achieving what they want, *and if they had*, such people are most likely to *bend* to the will of whomever holds power to deprive them of what they want. In our struggle, however, if you bend even a little then you might as well **bend over and take it**.

*If good men abandon and denigrate force, then bad men will take it up and beat us to death with it.*  
-George Lincoln Rockwell, *White Power*, Ch13

Nevertheless, our would-be “*allies*” still delude themselves with notions of legal victory.

We've already criticized and thoroughly discredited entryism, mass movement approach, mass propaganda “*Overton window*” approach, and the “*meme*” “*victory*” of the Altright. The only straggling argument they may have left in their employ is that they are not attempting entryism, but are trying to be *open in their own way*. After all, we did concede that the Altright are **not** “*sneaky nazis*” and that they **do** in fact stand on some *vague racialist* notions, about which they are absolutely open.

Frankly, the only reason we've had as many encounters and arguments with the Altright as we did was entirely their own doing. In their attempt to be as big of a “*big tent*” as possible, and through adoption of internet culture, they had taken on a presentation that is supposedly welcoming of Fascists and National Socialists, but, as we've outlined earlier, only if we toe the line and button up about our principles, as our principles are incompatible with the inherent liberal (*Americanist*) values of the Altright. In fact, the only aspect of Fascism and National Socialism they had truly welcomed was none of its core and fundamental elements, but a modernist byproduct of them – **memes**. Internet culture had a prevalent layer of *ironic Nazism* for quite a while now, used in the first place simply for



trolling purposes, and this is the only sort of Nazism that the Altright **actually** welcomes – *when its just a meme.*

They're actually utilizing this element of internet culture as a means of self-defense against the accusation of being actual Nazis. As opposed to the mainstream conservative self-defense of **“NO YOU ARE THE NAZIS!”** that we've discussed earlier, the Altright's defense is not to throw the accusation back at the accuser, *but to defuse it into a joke*, an accusation that they feel they *unfortunately have to deal with*, but would ideally prefer **not** to. When accused of being racists they say *“racism is not a real word, it is made up by leftists, its only used to shut down an intelligent conversation when we are winning”* – we do not *fear* being called racist, we openly declare ourselves to be **Racist**.

In the same vein some of them literally and in all seriousness say that Nazi is the **“white n-word”**, which exposes their true attitude towards National Socialism. Still, they're ultimately trying to cope with the accusation and use the benefits of internet culture to their advantage: *“Yeah I guess I'm not totally on board with democracy, that is what Fascists are like right? Guess I am a little fashy, teehee!”* This *“dumb but cute”* high-school girl routine is, of course, infuriating to any *real* Fascists and National Socialists, as well as the words *“fash”* and *“fashy”* which convey that same ironic, disingenuous attitude, coined by some degenerate bitch **Rachel Haywire**.

We have likewise tapped into internet culture to advance our ideals, however this resulted in the formation of our own *post-ironic Nazi humor*, when our apparently ironic presentation is nevertheless used to deliver the **earnest** message of our ideals. We **do** believe in what we promote, it is **not** a meme, it is **not** merely a means of defense, it is **exactly who we are**.

*While I have always been an atheist, Hitler, to me, is larger than life – an immortal if there ever was one. To HELL with any who think differently! He is my life's inspiration and shall always remain so.*  
-James Mason, *SIEGE*, 8.24

Thus our conflict with the Altright was all but inevitable as they insist on using ironic Nazism in a political environment only to then proceed and ignore anything that deals with true Fascism and National Socialism, all the while calling on us to join them as **“allies”** **but only so long as we are not authentic in our beliefs**. *Hell*, it would seem that some of them don't even understand that **we truly are “literal Nazis,”** that we are not larping or memeing nazism or 1930s NSDAP, but that **we are true believers** and **fanatics** of the National Socialist Worldview, a Worldview that transcends time and national boundaries, to whom **Adolf Hitler** is **not** just some *unfortunate burden of history not to be “counter-signaled against”* for merely **pragmatic** purposes, but a **Champion** of what we believe in and whose name, among others, is a crucial element of what it is that we do and fight for. **For example:**

“People place you into the caricature of the emotional unstable ugly-neo-nazi, so you ought to just own up and become that caricature!”





What a ridiculous misrepresentation of our actual criticism towards “sneaky nazis” which is premised on the people being criticized **actually being nazis** (or at least insisting on being such) and trying to hide it. When dealing with the Altright, however, our criticism is rooted in the fact how **they** are the ones who insist on using Nazism as an ironic meme, and the point of our criticism to them is simple: if you are **not** actually Fascists and National Socialists then **stop using Nazism as a meme in what you do**, and if there **are** Fascists/NS among you or at least those who *claim* to be such, then **stop pretending to be something else**. And the “emotional unstable ugly” part is of course derivative of the notion that our approach can only attract this type of people, a criticism we had likewise addressed and refuted earlier, as was much of the rest of the article we link to above for reference. One is more than welcome, however, to see what was the general reaction from other people who openly and sincerely declare themselves to be Fascists and National Socialists.

In fact, the only way in which the Altright is **seriously** interested in something Fascist/NS is for liberal reasons, making the proposed calls of alliances **even more** laughable! The classic liberal model has always been that the state is supposed to be no more than a “guard dog” of society, maintaining internal lawful order and external military security, without interfering in societal and economic affairs with regulations and oversight. And what do our would-be “allies” want? “Fashy borders” – a strong state limited **solely** to its function of a strong picket fence around their liberal shithole society that keeps the nonwhites out **and that’s it**. What they want is a “fashy guard dog state” for their suburban bourgeois swamp of decadence and decay.



# ZERO TOLERANCE



Libertarianism, i.e. rebranding of classical liberalism (and also very EXPLICITLY JEWISH, but nah it was “implicitly fash” ),  
 would work JUST GREAT if it was all white! FASH THE BORDERS! LIBERATE THE INTERIOR! NO NIGGERS ALLOWED IN OUR GAY BATHHOUSE!

So let’s recap real quick: the Alright is a Racist Liberal variety of Americanism that seeks to gain power by legal means, acquired through a mass movement approach and a big tent alliance of the “Right wing” (unless they are cuckservatives or purity spiralers) and a shift in public consciousness with mass propaganda as per the “Overton Window,” in order to create an all-White-



liberal-playground, putting up a presentable and respectable image of an intellectual vanguard, all the while utilizing internet meme culture to appear hip with the times, and using ironic Nazism specifically to deflect the “*You are Nazis!*” accusation.

That is actually our objective and impartial assessment, as our more earnest description would relegate their legalism, respectability and presentability to **cowardice**, and their intellectualism to **stupidity**, or to be more exact “*smart idiocy*”. We’ll talk about those aspects more thoroughly further along, but right now we’d like to highlight how the Altright’s use of memes and internet culture stands in *direct conflict* with their attempts to look intellectual and presentable. One would imagine this contradiction to be blatantly self-evident, as internet trolling is by definition of its nature informal and is utilized to upset, including upsetting the status quo that the Altright *at the same time* tries to emulate for its look of presentability. One can think back to any number of great trolls that had been used to make fun of the System status quo, including **Baneposting** and **Ben-garrisoning the news**.

This is what the Altright attempted to tap into for its “*X-TREME*” appeal, seemingly trying to form a kind of counter culture, yet at the very same time they are hard at work to be palatable to the masses with a professional suit and tie look. This mainstream notion of respectability and presentability is directly dictated by the System status quo, hence why the masses of lemmings uphold it, yet they wish to at the same time utilize something that is inherently used to disturb established conventions, as a result the Altright looks at best inconsistent and **at worst** like sad nerds trying to be cool.

**Spencer** is *the biggest offender* in this regard and one can go back to our mockery of him to see exactly what we mean. You **cannot** hold a serious, respectable, mainstream style press conference and at the same time talk about “*PEEEHPAAAAY!*” considering that the reaction you will get from the press is exactly the same confusion as the one in the video above. The guy who shouted “*PEEEHPAAAAY!*” at **Hillary Clinton’s** speech (*who most likely served as the inspiration for Spencer’s “PEEEHPAAAAY!” screeching*) did so *anonymously* and from the ranks of the audience, which is why it **worked**, it was a random bomb that hit everyone present with confusion, to pick up the pieces of its implications only so much later, adding to the hilarity. That confusion is of course the exact thing trolling is built on, to drop it on, or to make the status quo repeat something like that without knowing the context or understanding its nature, thus mocking them. However when **you** are the one openly delivering that meme *live* and are the object of everyone’s attention in an audience of people who are just as clueless, all you do is make **yourself** look like a *cringy idiot* who is chuckling at his own jokes awkwardly – you are only making fun of **yourself**, not the status quo. You’d do better saying a holocaust joke and laughing at it out loud yourself to emphasize the deadpan silence of the shocked audience. The only people who get excited over real life memeing by **Spencer** are other idiots who get giddy over hearing a meme “*offline*”, looking like a bunch of underage boys laughing at a naughty word being spoken out loud in such context. Of course Spencer ends up being called a “*Nazi Dork*” – **YES** to the latter, **HELL NO** to the former.



So let us go back to the initial premise of this segment, namely of Revolution being the only path left open to us. We've already elaborated on much of the reasons why the legal way will never work by means of countering the mass movement approach and other points covered thus far.

It's arguable that **Rockwell** was the last chance America had for any kind of legal revolution and yet he did this **without** banking on presentability and respectability as it were defined by the status quo that he was opposing. That is something that our "allies" can't seem to quite grasp as they are banking on a suit and tie presentability in their presentation as it is defined by our enemies, the System/ZOG, as the sole approach available, which is likewise why they cannot afford to be associated with actual and earnest Fascists and National Socialists, not unless we *pipe down* or take the "sneaky nazi" approach for which their big tent acts as a vehicle – as if Fascism/NS needs to hide behind the **skirt** of the Altright.

**Rockwell's** approach was always meant to be a multi-leveled one, so as to appeal to people of different kinds, but **never once** did that mean **sacrificing** being an *open* and *honest* National Socialist, nor did he allow himself to be trapped in complacency of safe routine to avoid further development and progress of his movement. Rockwell's Three Phase plan coincided quite heavily with his great understanding of propaganda:

*As mentioned before, the first two phases of Party activity overlapped to a large extent, and the transition between the two was marked primarily by a shift of emphasis. Phase one was the "Nazi" era of the Movement. Phase two is the beginning of the National Socialist era. In line with this re-emphasis, the American Nazi Party officially became the National Socialist White People's Party on January 1, 1967, and that date can reasonably be considered to mark the transition. Six months earlier, the appearance of National Socialist World was a major step in this direction. And six months after that date – in June, 1967 – a historic reorganizational conference of the Party leadership was held in Arlington. There Rockwell set the Movement on its new course, explaining the need for a total professionalization of every activity, from fund raising to propaganda writing, in order to meet the severe demands to be expected during the long period of growth and struggle ahead.*

*-Dr. William Luther Pierce, "A National Socialist Life"*

*If each of the men in the fable about the blind men and the elephant were required to construct a model of an elephant, there would be three very different models. The blind man who felt only the tail would build a model as he described an elephant in the fable – as "a sort of rope." The blind man who felt the leg and said an elephant was like a tree would produce a tree-like "elephant," while the man who felt only the trunk would construct his "elephant" like a snake.*

*Most men I have met in politics consider themselves automatically experts in the field of propaganda. But almost all of them make the same type of basic error in their propaganda as did the blind men in describing and reconstructing an elephant; both suffer from insufficient experience with the subject.*

[...]

*In almost every case he produces propaganda which he likes, completely forgetting in his political excitement that the art of propaganda (and advertising) is not in producing that which one likes and admires one's self, but that which will produce the effect desired – sales in the case of advertising and political conviction in the case of propaganda. Because he is able to think, he presumes that his audience is also able to think – a completely unwarranted assumption. Because he himself is repelled by crudeness and exaggeration, he makes his pitch factual, logical, and usually subtle. In addition to this foolishness, he also forgets that the average man in the street is emotionally assaulted during all his waking hours by advertising brilliantly designed by experts to capture attention through the most powerful kind of psychological impact.*



*The average right wing piece, crowded onto a page, verbose, and dull, is not only not able to win the attention of the average man amid all this competition, but positively repels him.*

[...]

*Does this mean that the Birch Society's high-level appeal is a total waste? Should all their propaganda be like that of the Klan? An elephant is neither all leg nor all tail nor all trunk. A complete, whole elephant needs all of these parts to live. The Jews, masters of the art of propaganda that they are (unlike the right wing), have understood this fundamental truth and have organized their "pitch" to appeal to all levels.*

[...]

*Hitler had Julius Streicher's Der Stuermer, full of the wildest and wooliest sensationalism, designed to smash its way into the consciousness of the masses, as it did. He also had the regular party press, designed to reach and convince the great middle class. And, for the university community, he had the esoteric material of Alfred Rosenberg, Gottfried Feder, et al.*

[...]

*When I began, I purposely made my propaganda as brutal and shockingly rough as I could, simply to force attention. And I have kept everlastingly at the business of building a simple and direct image of all-out hostility to "Jews and niggers" in the minds of millions of Americans, regardless of the costs in other respects. (And when I have the rare opportunity to use some mass medium, as was recently the case when I gave a long interview to Playboy, I am forced to walk a careful line between what I should like to say and what the enemy would like to hear me say. Unless I deliberately sound at least halfway like a raving illiterate with three loose screws, such an interview would never be printed. This is another thing that most people fail to understand about my "Nazi" technique.) After I had become known to most Americans, I published the Rockwell Report at a somewhat higher level than my previous material to begin to recruit some of the brains and funds we needed to proceed. When this had begun to bear fruit, I used the talents obtained with the Rockwell Report to get back down to the people's level and produce a publication designed for the masses, for the "average" man, the comic book reader, kids: the Stormtrooper. As planned, this is now our most popular and largest-circulation publication. And were it not for the Jewish ownership of the news distribution business, we could sell Stormtroopers literally by the millions.*

[...]

*With a base of operations established and with successful publications directed at both the lowest and the middle-class levels, the movement is finally in a position to afford the relative luxury of a publication directed exclusively at the academic intellectual-professional class. The National Socialist World, now in your hands, is designed not only to reach but to move people in that category. Perhaps our material is not what you, personally, enjoy most. But our aim, and the aim of the World Union of National Socialists, is not to produce material to please our friends – but to win over millions of those who are now our enemies or who are oblivious to both sides. The years of success with the Stormtrooper and the Rockwell Report give me confidence that the new National Socialist World will also do what it has been carefully designed to do – that National Socialist World will beat its way into the highest intellectual circles just as the Stormtrooper smashed its way into the minds of the juveniles and working folks.*

*-George Lincoln Rockwell, "From Ivory Tower To Privy Wall: On The Art Of Propaganda"*

Rockwell's approach and methods followed a distinct path of elevating his organization to a more professional outfit on the back of what he could achieve through propaganda, doing this without ever letting go of all that is National Socialism, the swastika would remain, the criticism of Jews, niggers and traitors would remain but the form of criticism would depend on the propaganda outlet utilized towards a specific stratum of the populace: from crude to common sense to intelligent.



Starting off with crude propaganda for mass spread by Jew media the Party would attract the fanatics and outcasts, of which only the tested and true would be allowed to join.

Once this was done and with the ANP becoming a group well-known to the public consciousness even without the Jew media coverage, the propaganda efforts were shifted towards a more “average” audience, and from there to the intelligent propaganda of “Ivory Towers” – all beneath the sign of the Swastika and the words “Nazi” or “National Socialist” .

It is self evident, that expanding into intelligent propaganda did not mean abandoning the crude approach, which likewise meant that the Party would not abandon the boots and uniform presentation, but if anything, have it stand with the suit and tie look. **Rockwell’s** strategy was thus entirely viable for taking power legally through elections, as the cowardly masses would at least have enough courage to cast a secret ballot for the Nazis, once they were swayed with its radical message, whichever approach of propaganda that was directed at them specifically, and the ANPs actual readiness to engage their enemies in direct physical confrontations.

That is also how Hitler has done it. And this is what our critics would often like to bring up to argue against our contemporary revolutionary strategy: “Hitler won through elections, Rockwell wanted to win through elections, so we can win through elections as well!” **Two crucial facts are forgotten when this criticism is issued:** in Hitler’s time society was in disarray, there was no strong System in charge of society, holding onto power with a death’s grip, if anything it was a *mad political free for all frenzy* where the Jews simply enjoyed and exploited the chaos without having to engage too much in the kind of total control that they employ today.

Yes, they still infested, corrupted and manipulated society, but they did not guard the avenues of power directly, instead they merely bribed and manipulated the existing political forces vying for control. In short *there was no System at all* as we know it today. Under such conditions, with the wider masses themselves abandoned, and not just a few social outcasts, the NSDAP had much better conditions to take over. The Jews simply didn’t even understand **WHAT** they were dealing with. Since then, however, they know *exactly* who their **true** mortal enemy is, and have declared “**Never again!**”

“*Never again!*” was the name of the bullet that killed **George Lincoln Rockwell** – that was the Jewish System’s final answer to the danger that this man had posed to it. As we have said, violence is always the last resort, and the Jews know this too. They dragged Rockwell through the streets, through the courts, through the jails and even a mental asylum – **AND HE HAD BEATEN THEM EVERY STEP OF THE WAY!** Until they finally had found a useful pawn to pull the trigger for them to stop him.

*There is no question that a man who has survived all these attacks will be killed, if possible, by the Jews or their agents. The Jews have no choice. They are too guilty to permit anybody to expose them and organize any effective resistance against them. Traitors cannot survive such an exposure. With such as the Jews, it is kill or be killed.*

*-George Lincoln Rockwell, This Time the World, Ch12*



*Adolf Hitler had shown the way to survival. It would be my task on this earth to, carry his ideas and his living example to total, world-wide victory. I knew I would not live to see the victory which I would make possible, but I would not die before I had made that victory certain.*  
*-This Time the World, Ch25*

The legal path is closed to us because today, having learned from the past and with USA having been under **total Jewish control** since as early as the 1930s, as per **Francis Parker Yockey's** assessment, they will simply not allow **anyone** to undermine them by legal means *again* as **they** are the ones who define what is legal and target all legal means **against anyone** who would *upset* or resist their plans *even a little*, let alone try to stop and expose them outright.

*The game board is rigged against us and so we are constrained to kick over the gaming table itself.*  
*-James Mason, SIEGE, 8.15*

The only countries where some measure of legal approach may still be viable are the ones where the System's power is **not** absolute, where the majority of people live dispossessed and are not provided for. This is exactly why the **Golden Dawn** is so successful in Greece, having gone through many of the same steps as **Hitler** and **Rockwell**, all the while staying true to the Worldview of Fascism and National Socialism:

*We are the faithful soldiers of the National Socialist idea and nothing else.*  
*-Nikolaos Michaloliakos, 1987*

*What would the future of Europe and the whole modern world be like if World War II hadn't stopped the renewing route of National Socialism? Certainly, fundamental values which mainly derive from ancient Greek culture, would be dominant in every state and would define the fate of peoples. Romanticism as a spiritual movement and classicism would prevail against the decadent subculture that corroded the white man. Extreme materialism would have been discarded, giving its place to spiritual exaltation.*  
*-Ilias Kasidiaris, 2012*

The viability of the legalist approach **does not** hinge on how *presentable* one looks or how *intellectual* one sounds, as we have consistently proven in this article, but in how *affluent* and **decadent** a society is (*which is the second crucial fact that is forgotten*), and on how strong the Jewish System's grip is on the institutes of power. If one is to look at the United States then one finds the homestead of the System, a monstrous behemoth just as **James Mason** described, one that will never allow anyone even remotely close to its assets. In reality the System was already too strong for the legal approach in the 50s, but society, the people themselves, had not yet been as **sick** as they are today.

*Hell, things were so comparatively healthy in Weimar Germany, as opposed to what they are here, that Hitler was fully able to work within the framework of that system and WIN! No way in hell we can do that here today!!*  
*-James Mason, SIEGE, 3.7*

And yet our "allies" still want to pretend that the legal approach can work, all the while repeatedly shooting themselves in the foot every step of the way. One particular Altright flagship organization would do well to learn from **Rockwell's** lessons on propaganda as they have been



repeatedly engaged in the kind of mistakes he highlighted in the article we've quoted some passages from. We've repeatedly criticized **Identity Evropa** for their vague as all hell Greek statue posters complete with obscure messages like "Become who we are". These are the definitive example of what **Rockwell** calls "making propaganda that you yourself will like and enjoy" and you will be doing so alone. Moreover, it is the *only sort* of propaganda that they are making, *like a the blind man describing an elephant from just holding his trunk*.

The other repeating issue for this group is their logo, and for all appearances it would seem that they have been bullied into changing it from an incomprehensible set of squiggles... to a generic triangle logo that is overused as a mobile app icon, gaming logo or hipster tattoo – that is to say, the thing is so generic that it means **absolutely nothing** to a passerby without context. However, considering the nature of the group, even in context the logo wouldn't mean **jack shit** to a passerby.

Of course when it comes to symbolism we get shots taken at us as well for the use of the **Swastika**. We've already covered some of the major aspects as to why the Swastika is effective via a number of previously provided **Rockwell** quotations, but we will provide a couple of additional points on the matter. For one thing, the Swastika is brilliant in its simplicity and the hypnotic power with which it conveys strength, even without its Fascist/NS context, which is of course of great necessity in a symbol, which is only emphasized ever more the weaker and more degenerate a society grows:

*The liberal pacifists and humanitarians, with their momentary power of the press and dollar, HATE all symbols of strength and masculinity and they therefore seize upon all the strongest, most outstanding of these very things and hold them up to ridicule.*

*-James Mason, SIEGE 3.1*

*Symbolism, the more basic the better, is the only thing that can penetrate the mass mind in this mass-opinion society.*

*-SIEGE, 6.14*

The other point is that the Swastika, just as Adolf Hitler's name and visage, elicit an immediate array of emotions and ideas, most of which have been instilled in the masses by the Jews, true, however we have covered earlier how this only plays further to our advantage over them.

*It was once said of Hitler and the Swastika that they represented the "threshold of anger." At the very mention most will curse you, a few will join you, none will remain neutral.*

*-James Mason, SIEGE, 7.3*

Moreover, association with these things as well as the clear profession of one's NS and Fascist views immediately does most of our marketing the job for us and we only need to educate further *those who come to us* and are accepted into our ranks, while the masses will come to know who and what we truly are through our **actions**.

Meanwhile our would-be "allies" are constantly engaged in a brand war with literally everyone, hence the appearance of the various peripheral names around Altright such as *Altright* or *Altkike* and so on – they have to fight to put a specific image of themselves in the minds of the masses all the while fending off the "You're a Nazi!" accusation. And that, while fighting to preserve what





they want their brand to mean as it either gets hijacked by the Hipster Conservatives or gets divided up from within. All of this is due to their vague big tent approach. No wonder the Altright has NO strong symbols to its name.

There is also a conjoined argument we often hear, that the Swastika is a “German NS” symbol and that overall one should never associate with “German NS” unless they are German themselves. This argument can only come from people who either don’t yet grasp the full scope and nature of the Fascist/NS Worldview… or from cowards. We come back to the starting segment of our article, “**Where we Stand,**” to reinvigorate this point and once again shed light on the monumental difference between us and our would be “allies.” Again, the difference between us and them is **greater** than the difference between *them* and what they insist is our *common* enemy. That is to say our “allies” have more in common with **our enemies** than with *us*, as they are rooted in the same Liberal and Rationalist thinking.

They share the same ultimate goals, namely those of **human safety, comfort and affluence** and thus invariably and inevitably **hedonism**, all these things powered by **egoism**.

All the arguments of social and economic organization are predicated on the goal of serving these selfish and merely human wants and desires. Our “allies” are simply concerned with the spread of these things to a lesser scope than our humanist multiculturalists enemies, focusing on a specific group. Which is to say, the group that they *happen to belong to*, because their own individual prospects of achieving these goals rely on their group’s success. In all likelihood, had multiculturalism not interfered with liberalism they wouldn’t have any motivation to adopt whatever vague racist thinking in the *first place*.

*All society, all “civilization” that proceeds from the same aspiration to human well-being above all, to well-being or human “happiness” at any price, is marked by the seal of the Powers of Decadence, enemies of the cosmic order of the play of forces without end.*

*-Savitri Devi, “Religion of the Strong”*

And what are our goals? To ensure the global dominance of **Truth** on Earth, **worldwide**, *without exceptions*, manifested in the form of **Organic States** that are rooted in selfless service and duty – it is rooted in a loyalty to something more than human and thus eternal and unchanging. Fascist/NS economic and social concepts are thus not derived out of utilitarian concerns for material goals and the human imagination trying to produce the best working system, but only from the eternal laws of Truth, which dictates only one possible solution in all matters, and Fascism/NS is the sole force on earth to be motivated by these eternal laws.

*Anyone who today assumes that a race-based National-Socialist state is only differentiated from other states by emotionless qualities, such as better construction of its economic system, does not have the faintest idea what a World-Concept really is. If they think it is created through a better balance of wealth and poverty, or a greater voice in the economic process for the broad masses, or by more equal pay through the elimination of excessive wage differences, they have been blinded by the superficial aspects. Everything I have just described offers no security and does not guarantee our permanent survival. It offers even less of a claim to greatness. A people who become bogged down in these outward reforms would not have the slightest chance of victory in the struggle for the nation's existence. Any movement that believes balancing the social classes and promoting equal economics, though noble, are the sole core of its mission, will not produce any great*



*results or any true reform of existing conditions. All of its activity eventually becomes wrapped in showiness and totally preoccupied with appearances, without giving the people the inner preparation that they need to finally overcome this I say with certainty, those weaknesses we suffer from today.*

*-Adolf Hitler, Mein Kampf, V2, Ch4*

Indeed, the true and full scope of the Fascist/NS Worldview would become apparent only close to or after the end of WW2, namely that Italian Fascism and German National Socialism were not just new man-made ideologies seeking mere material goals, but a singular force for the restoration of Truth in human affairs, as perceived by Italians and Germans respectively.

*This world-wide appeal of Adolf Hitler shows sufficiently that, although in its modern form it originated in Germany — and could not possibly have originated anywhere else — the National Socialist doctrine transcends Germany. As I have said, it is the everlasting truth about the laws of life and the evolution of human races, apprehended from the angle of the Nordic race.*

*-Savitri Devi, "The Philosophy of the Swastika"*

We've elaborated on this in the Year One Anniversary Issue of NOOSE, in the exclusive "History of IronMarch" article and will repeat here:

*Fascism and National-Socialism appear as the latest militant vanguard of Truth in a world of Lies, carrying the torch passed on to us by countless other men who fought this same Struggle long before us, beneath different banners, carrying other names, and which will be fought long after we are gone, by new men beneath different banners still. Yet now, right now, is our time. Italian Fascism, German NS, Spanish Falangism, Romanian Iron Guard and all the rest – these appeared as individual rays of sunlight, piercing through the pale of Modern Ignorance, induced by the Age of "Enlightenment," and as they dispelled that dark shroud it became clear that they all come from the same source – the brightly shining golden Sun of Truth. All these groups appeared different on the surface in as much as their respective nations and cultures differ from one another, and yet in all of them exists the all-pervasive spark of Truth, bonding them together as the same force in a common struggle. This is all the more proven by the legacy left behind for us by the Champions of our Worldview, as in their works we see a common thread, we see, written in their own hand, how they all fought for the same thing.*

There is indeed clear evidence that German National Socialism specifically had realized the full nature of its mission within the scope of our Worldview during the war, shifting from being simply something in the service of Germany to the surface of a higher ideal.

*In a speech delivered at Poznan on 4 October 1943 Himmler spoke about the SS as of an armed Order that in the future, after the elimination of the Soviet Union, would have to stand guard for Europe against the 'Asiatic hordes' on the Urals. What is important here is that a certain change of perspectives had taken place at this juncture. The Aryan was no longer identified with the German. The plan was to fight, not for an expansionist National Socialism based on a unilateral racism and for 'Pan-Germanism,' but for a higher idea, for Europe and a European 'New Order.'*

*-Julius Evola, Notes on the Third Reich*

**To summarize:** the variety of Nationalist/Fascist movements that existed across Europe after WW1 and until the end of WW2, were the manifestations of **the same exact Worldview**, one that believes in the existence of an **Absolute objective Truth** in accordance to which all must live, however these movements only *partially* expressed different aspects of this Worldview, aspects that most spoke to their respective national character, which created the different forms of expressions of



this same Worldview in these different movements, *much like how the same ray of light creates a variety of colors once it passes through a prism.*

However the followers of these ideals would only *fully* comprehend the full scope and nature of their common Worldview during or most notably after the war, which served as a *cleansing fire* that **removed** their particular national and historically- conditioned expressions, leaving behind knowledge of the **eternal core** from which these aspects originate, and how they all relate to one another in a **single Truth**. Italian Fascism, German NS, Spanish Falangism, Clerical Fascism of the Romanian Iron Guard and etc – **all form coherent individual pictures**, all the while acting like *pieces of a single puzzle*, one only has to be capable of realizing that they fit together and comprehending the larger image.

*Fascism is preoccupied by the clothing (namely the forms of state organization), National-Socialism by the body (namely the racial eugenics), whereas Legionarism is preoccupied by something much deeper: by the soul (namely by its strengthening through the cultivation of Christian virtues and its preparation with final salvation in mind, salvation dealt with by the Christian Church in the most perfect fashion).*  
-Corneliu Zelea Codreanu, “The Nest Leader’s Manual”

That image being the Worldview of Truth, otherwise called *Worldview of Tradition*, one that **we** name after the **Champions** who had pioneered the fight for that Worldview in the Modern World we know today, *hence when we say Fascism and National Socialism we do not mean specifically Italian Fascism and German National Socialism, but the much grander image to which they belong and to which they lend their names in this day and age* – that Worldview of Truth.

So while there **is** a *German* National Socialism and an *Italian* Fascism, different inasmuch as Germans and Italians are different by national character, there are likewise the *Eternal* Fascism and National Socialism, which **are one and the same** and transcend **all** limitations of time and space. **This** Fascism and National Socialism had existed since before time itself, and in reality what they are has **no permanent name** and **no** word of **any** language will ever be truly apt to describe it, though we have listed all the other names for it at the start of this article. However, *here* and **now** it bears the names **of the only force loyal to it to have come about in this day and age.**

And just as this force had elevated these names, so it too had elevated the symbol of the **Swastika** to be the universal symbol of our struggle, the symbol of that eternal Truth. And one can very well suspect providence having been at work behind the choice of this symbol over all others by **Adolf Hitler**, as its deeper original meanings that predate the appearance of German National Socialism and their use of it, in fact, convey that very eternal idea for which we struggle. A **solar** symbol, a symbol of **pole**, a symbol of the **immovable mover**, a symbol of **eternity** and all its laws.

This is why we do not shun away from the Swastika despite all criticism, for it represents the very essence of our struggle and our goals, moreover it is a symbol that is widespread among those of Aryan heritage (*throughout all of Europe and among Slavs*) and in areas of original Aryan expansion and conquest (*certain areas of the Middle East, India, China, etc*). If one shies away from the swastika then it is most likely that he is a coward or not an actual Fascist/NS.



Mind you, we do not believe that every Fascist/NS movement worldwide should use the Swastika as their symbol; each movement needs its own logo and brand to stand out and be recognized for itself. However, we are talking about two different types of symbols: symbol of the movement or group and symbol of the struggle. There are plenty of groups that do not utilize the Swastika *and yet they do not shy away from it in fear*. Such was the case with **the now banned National Action**, whom we have always extended our full support to. The same can be said of **Golden Dawn** and the **Nordic Resistance Movement**.

We often hear the criticism that since Hitler lost the War and Rockwell was killed then clearly Fascism/NS had failed, but the war was instead a cathartic experience which served to reveal the full scope and nature of their mission. Fascism and National Socialism have not failed, for our ideals and mission are eternal and thus the Struggle itself is eternal, and their triumph is not dictated by any given defeat.

*Every negative event in the world can be turned into a positive one. Every defeat may father a later victory. Every lost war may be the cause of a later resurgence. Every distress may inspire a new surge in man's willpower. A new spiritual rebirth may spring from every oppression, but this can occur only as long as the racial blood is kept pure. The loss of blood purity by itself will forever destroy inner happiness and forever lowers man. The result of impurity can never be eliminated from man's body and spirit.*

*-Adolf Hitler, Mein Kampf, VI, Ch11*

*In the eyes of others we were losers. Vagabonds without a homeland. The minority against the majority. However such perception was not in line with my own. I did not see myself a loser, more importantly, I wasn't one. I was on the side of the defeated. Those who fought, and not those who gave up without fighting.*

*-Pier Luigi Concutelli*

*So what if these warriors did not get to experience external success? Heroic values are not the values of merchants, they value principles, not success.*

*-Ernst Junger, "Mechanized Warfare"*

*Hitler's and Germany's 'crucifixion' was all according to the inevitable workings of this unknowable Scenarist. Even the eleven hanged disciples in Nurnburg were not without significance! The most hated and dreaded idea two thousand years ago was Christianity, and the most hated and cursed man on earth was Jesus Christ. His followers were bitterly persecuted and murdered by the 'good', 'sensible' people who could see that anybody in his right mind recognized Rome and the Empire as the solid, substantial reality. I realized that today's Marxist-Democratic world is another sprawling 'Roman Empire', and today's Nazis the early 'Christians'. What is going on is far more than a battle for political supremacy in the present social and political situation. it is the utter smashing and destruction of a society which has become so rotten that it will tolerate and even love its own Marxist destroyers, just as it hates, despises and fears the slowly-growing Nazi society which will replace it. Such mighty, awesome thoughts come to a man but once in a lifetime, if ever, and when they do, that man changes for all time.*

*-George Lincoln Rockwell, This Time the World, Ch7*

*But this ritual [consecration of new Swastika Banners with the "Blutfahne"], to which many others can be added, would never have sufficed to give Hitlerism the character of a religion, if it had not already been a more-than-political doctrine: a Weltanschauung. And above all, it would have been unable to make it a true religion, if, at the base of this Weltanschauung, there had not been eternal truths and a whole attitude which was not (and does not remain), in last analysis, anything other than the quest for the eternal even in what changes — the traditional attitude par excellence.*

*These words may seem strange in 1969, more than twenty-four years after the defeat of Hitler's Germany on the battlefield and the collapse of its political structure. They can seem strange, now that one would seek in*



*vain, in the whole geographical region covered by the Third Reich, a visible sign of the resurgence of National Socialism such as the Führer intended it, and that the majority of the organizations which, beyond the old frontiers of the Reich, claim they would rescue the condemned Movement, are just pale imitations without heart, or just lamentable caricatures, sometimes in the service of other goals. But the value of a doctrine — its truth — has nothing to do with the success or the failure of its members on the material plane. This success or failure depends on the accord or discord of the doctrines with the aspirations of people at a given moment of history, and also on the fact that its adherents are or are not, from the military point of view, the diplomatic point of view, from the point of view of the art of propaganda, able to impose themselves — and consequently do impose themselves — on their adversaries. The fact that the doctrine is or is not an expression of cosmic truth is of no account here. But it submits in the long run, right or wrong, to these doctrines, in the sense that a society that refuses to accept a teaching in harmony with eternal laws and prefers untruths works for its own disintegration, in other words, damns itself.*  
*-Savitri Devi, "Religion of the Strong"*

We have been defeated before, yes, but we have not lost, and in many ways that defeat had only served to reveal to us our true path and make us all the stronger for it when the final confrontation arrives. As our goals are in loyalty to *that which is eternal* we can never be dealt a final blow so long as those of **pure blood** and **strong character** still exist, unlike the meager, temporal and merely human goals of our enemies and "allies" alike, which will always condemn themselves to defeat and *damnation* as their goals invariably oppose what is eternal and serve only one's own weakness.

This is exactly where we see our would-be "allies" – in a place of service to their own weakness, which leads to **cowardice**, and "**smart idiocy**," far away from our eternal goals. The idiocy is well on display as they attempt legalism, which will not work for them either, because the **Jews** decide what is *legal* and they have the entire System at their disposal to keep you out. Moreover their legal tactics are subpar and in error compared to the ones utilized by **Rockwell**. In fact, he criticized exactly these tactics 50 years ago and **nobody learned a damned thing since!**

The cowardice is manifest in their refusal to move on to the only recourse left, namely **Revolution**, which *of course* betrays the fact that they have **no** common ground with us, for cowards are such *out of fear for their own hide and well being*, whereas heroes are those who will **sacrifice themselves** in the name of the eternal. **Behold** our would-be "allies" that dare chastise us: **cowardly idiots and racist liberals!**

*We shouldn't limit ourselves to exclusively "legal means" either, which are not of the least importance. Only mediocrity and weaklings cling to them, meaning their defeat is assured.*  
*-Ernst Junger, "Unite! Final Word"*

*Men will talk about almost anything. Men will fight for very few things.*  
*-George Lincoln Rockwell, White power, Ch14*

*If a man isn't willing to take some risk for his opinions, either his opinions are no good or he's no good.*  
*-Ezra Pound*

*Stupid people are more dangerous than any time bomb.*

[...]

*Regardless whether they are with you or against you, stupid people are equally disastrous to have around.*



# ZERO TOLERANCE

-James Mason, *SIEGE*, 2.36

*More often, these days, it is intrigue and guile which decide the course of the future. To be undone by a bastard who has not declared himself your foe, or who has actually painted himself as a "friend," is one of the worst fates imaginable. If just that much can be avoided in a person's life, or in the life of the Movement, then we will be halfway home to victory.*

-*SIEGE*, 4.18

*... I was frequently attacked by people whose total nationalist convictions consisted of a mixture of stupidity and showy displays intended to enhance their self image. These were the people who shouted with others because it gave them a thrill to suddenly act like a "nationalist" without putting themselves at risk. I considered this "united front" as the most miserable and most ridiculous demonstration possible and history has proven me right.*

-Adolf Hitler, *Mein Kampf*, V2, Ch15

*Who dares chide us because our eyes are open?*

-Helmut Stellrecht, "Faith and Action"

Considering that the real motivation of our "allies" is the pursuit and defense of materialist, liberal comforts then it stands to reason that their ranks are made up of people who already have something that they want to keep and are afraid of giving up (afraid of the niggers coming over and taking away their toys from them), hence their sudden racialism. Once again we reiterate that our supposed "allies" seem to be little more than racist liberals who are afraid of losing the benefits of liberalism that they are presently enjoying or wish to enjoy in the future, and idolize the 50s suburban liberal "utopia" but **refuse to recognize** how it was exactly that mentality that allowed multiculturalism, Jews and niggers to advance as far as they did. These are what **Hitler** had called *privileged-class idiots and patriots*.

*[The National-Socialist movement] must never let itself be influenced by the privileged-class idiots who think they know something about everything but have tossed away a great State along with their own existence and the dominating control of their own social class. They are enormously smart, can do anything, and understand everything. There is only one thing they could not do. They could not prevent the German people from falling into the arms of Marxism. Here they were a wretched and pitiful failure. Their present conceit is caused by their cockiness, which is well known to be the companion of stupidity. Ignorance is the mother of audacity.*

-Adolf Hitler, *Mein Kampf*, V2, Ch6

*We National-Socialists must be especially careful not to be taken in by our Jew-led privileged-class patriots who prefer to battle with words. Our movement will be in trouble if it indulges in protest speeches, like they do, instead of preparing to fight!*

-*Mein Kampf* V2, Ch13

However their inherent motivation prevents them from conducting any true action that involves risk to themselves or can result in them losing their toys as a result of System punishment. Hence they will **never** conduct **any** true attacks on our enemy and will rationalize away any calls for such action as being wrong on some fake premise or because "it makes them/the movement (which movement? Alright? NS? Speak only for yourselves!) look bad" .



*Some have said and still say that these are “pre-revolutionary times”. That’s a pretty good intellectual cop-out for a professional faker.  
-James Mason, SIEGE, 4.12*

No wonder the System does not fear these clowns and only utilizes them as ammo in some petty internal power struggle of its own, the ultimate outcome of which brings us no closer to our own goals. The Jews know the Altright will never step out of line and if push comes to shove they will **run away**.

*Big Brother loves talk, loves debate, adores paper pushers but is frightened to death by ACTION! Even more than that Big Brother is terrified by the kind of action that the White masses might identify with!!  
-James Mason, SIEGE, 6.11*

This weakness is apparent even in the propaganda employed by other groups. The entire narrative of “white geNOcide” is **weak** and **pathetic**! Arguments like “Africa for Africans, Asia for Asians, White Countries for everyone” and “We have a Right to Exist” is akin to walking up to the Jews that run the world and pleading with them, “please massah, it’s not fair, can’t I have the same rights as the nonwhites? Please massah!” Crying about injustices **to your enemy who wants to destroy** you is the height of cowardly *non-action*! Are we not **AT WAR** with the Jews and *their* System? And it doesn’t matter if you are aiming that propaganda at the powers that be, or at the masses, or are trying to convey the hypocrisy of the powers that be *to* the masses because **THAT** is the mental image being produced in their minds, of *pleading* and *rationalizing* what you want and trying to gain it by pure rhetoric rather than declaring your intention of simply taking it.

*It is not the half-hearted and neutral who go down in history, but those who take on the fight.  
-Adolf Hitler*

*Do not make fools of the rest of us by complaining to, pleading with, or barking at the System.  
-James Mason, SIEGE, 4.11*

How can there be any talk of “injustice” when our sworn Enemy holds all the power? What can you expect from a mortal enemy other than to try and wipe you out, any way and all? There is no middle ground, no one to go crying to. There is only ourselves and our Enemy...

[...]

*Let there be no more talk about injustice. Let there only be talk of WAR!  
-SIEGE, 7.8*

*WE ARE AT WAR WITH THIS SOCIETY...  
-SIEGE, 7.3*

And of course, being cowards *but not wanting to admit to that*, one is inevitably going to hear all sorts of **disingenuous** “arguments” against Revolution from our would-be “allies”, which are **purposely designed** to make that prospect sound either *absolutely hopeless* or *ridiculous*. They



will come up with the stupidest example of revolutionary activity done wrong in every way possible. Of course, if these “arguments” are *sincere* and *genuine*, then all it does is prove further that we are dealing with **utter imbeciles** who are a liability to be around. If there is any benefit in these “arguments”, then it must be that it at least tells us exactly what sort of mistakes and dumb things to avoid.

*There are no such things as desperate situations. Only desperate men.*  
-Adolf Hitler

*STOP rationalizing a situation you know to be deadly serious. STOP temporizing with halfway measures in a situation that screams for decisive action. STOP using business, social etiquette, family and security as an excuse for downright cowardly behavior. If you hesitate very much longer, the fight will be over-and the White Man will have lost!*

**STAND UP AND FIGHT!**  
-George Lincoln Rockwell, *White Power*, Ch16

*Blueprints for successful revolutionary movements have been set down for us from Hitler to Rockwell to Tommasi and any number of other great revolutionaries not of the National Socialist spirit. Why then has the Movement continued to attach itself to the decrepit and utterly ridiculous Right Wing and chosen a course that has perennially doomed itself to failure?*  
-James Mason, *SIEGE*, 4.7

Some might even try to dissuade us from Revolutionary activity by “scaring us” as to how “hard” it will be.

*You neither do, nor refrain from doing, anything because it's “easy” or “hard” or because everybody else is or isn't doing it. You instead follow your instinct. As revolutionaries, we have it easier than most in determining our own actions.*  
-James Mason, *SIEGE*, 7.7

*Our struggle is hard, because we are fighting for something great, and great things are not lightly or easily gained.*  
-Sir Oswald Mosley

And of course, the last bastion of excuses against Revolution is always *how powerful* our enemy is, that there is simply *no way to topple it by force*, because *its own force is greater*, it has all these *technological advancements on its side* and the *conditions will never be good enough for revolution* – all excuses made by those who wish to stay inactive, for the old saying is true: **where there is a will there is a way!**

As far as creating the appropriate conditions, as well as almost all extensive and **sober** points on NS revolutionary activity are concerned, one would have to read the entirety of **SIEGE** and not just some of the meager scraps we had provided in this article. Technological advancements of any given age have always been to the benefit and bane of both revolutionaries and the state they had to topple, so in that sense we are no worse off than any other successful revolutionaries of the past, however **the hardest truth** that cuts through all other *whining* and *pleading* for avoiding commitment to Revolutionary action is the fact that **Race War is simply inevitable**. And the most recent events,





namely the kidnapping and torture of a White kid by a gang of niggers, stands as further evidence of that.

*Ahead lies all-out world race-war...*  
 -George Lincoln Rockwell, *White Power*, Ch14

Regardless if one wants a Revolution or not, the choice will inevitably be out of their hands, for the NS Revolution and Race War are events joined at the hip, only question is which one will come first and usher in the other: will the NS Revolution happen first and begin the Race War *or will the niggers in USA and Muslims in Europe start the Race War and force the NS Revolution?*

The former scenario is only possible if it is actively worked towards by *dedicated and fanatical* National Socialists who prepare themselves and act accordingly to their own strength, slowly building themselves up and undermining the System in as much as their means and preparation permits. And if the Race War happens first and not as a result of their own revolutionary action then they will be at least already somehow prepared for it and ready to take the fight to the Jews and their pawns!

The latter scenario, however, if one has not engaged themselves in revolutionary activity at all and persisted in their suit and tie approach, then they will be caught with their pants down and unable to put up any resistance, but then again such cowards would run for their lives, as their entire political engagement was only ever about their own benefit. **Those who wear suits can't fight** – they might *ruin* the suit and it was *expensive!* **Moreover**, even if the Revolution and Race War won't happen in *our* lifetimes we must do everything in our power to hasten the process *regardless* and make sure that we have left plenty to work with for the next generation of Fascists and National Socialists who will finish the job – to do otherwise is clean cut betrayal.

*Only our descendants can finish what we didn't have the time to.*

-Ernst Junger, "Differentiation and Connection"



"Tell me your relation to pain, and I will tell you. who you are!" -Ernst Junger, "On Pain" . Those who wish to avoid pain are cowardly hedonists. Those who wish to overcome pain in the pursuit of higher ideals are heroes.



# ZERO TOLERANCE

Whom would you believe were ready to fight in the streets against **niggers** and **muslim rapefugees** once the Race War hits? *The presentable gentlemen on the left?* Or the “*delinquents*” and “*social outcasts*” on the right? **When** Race War kicks off and the System will topple, White people won't be running to the protection of some vague, presentable suit and tie group that they barely remember or understand, **no**, they will remember “*those evil Nazis*” who have been engaged in real action and looked violent and tough, and so the normal average folk will see the Swastika Banners as their only salvation and the former “*Nazi thugs*” will become their most venerated protectors.

*Those out front, putting themselves on the line and taking the action, as Tommasi wrote, are in fact the real LEADERS and are at the top level of the struggle. All else pales to insignificance...*  
-James Mason, SIEGE, 9.5

Let us make a quick detour on the issue of suits and ties and talk about **aesthetics**. Our suit and tie “*allies*” seem to fully believe in the notion of “*normalizing*” “*our*” views, however in the case of their vague racist Americanism there isn't that much to be normalized to begin with *anyway* as it coincides so much with the System status quo. We've already essentially criticized this kind of thinking at the very start of this article when we made our more “*mathematical arguments*” – you are **not** normalizing  $2+2=4$  by taking a step towards the  $2+2=6$  crowd and saying  $2+2=5$ , you are just **compromising** and thus **surrendering** to their demands and their lies. In a world where everyone buys into the lie that  $2+2=6$  and *THAT* is the “*normal*”, to tell the Truth is a **revolutionary** and *despised* act.

However the actual ideals that we fight for are not as simple as basic math, you can make **all sorts** of *elaborate, intellectual* arguments and the lemmings will *still* refuse to go along with you or else they'll just nod their heads and agree for the moment but *revert* back to their conditioning the very next hour. To “*normalize*” yourself with this gray mass is to *blend in* and be forgotten as part of the status quo. This of course manifests itself in the aesthetics one employs.

Throughout history aesthetics have been a powerful tool that exerts influences on the masses and in order to shape and organize society, in particular to separate the normal from the special. Aesthetics are used to designate the boundaries between what is casual and everyday, from what is formal and celebratory. Religion utilizes aesthetics to separate the profane from the sacred. To submit to the demands of the existing status quo is to say that there is **nothing** special about you, which seemingly contradicts the intentions of our “*allies*,” or rather betrays *further still* how much they have in common with our enemies.

Our struggle carries a transcendental character, we fight for a higher ideal, the ultimate ideal of **Truth** in a world of **Lies**. Here lies and falsehoods are the norm, thus complying to what is considered “*normal*” in a world of lies is as good as in all seriousness claiming that  $2+2$  is **5** or **6**. Aesthetics have always been self-evidently important to Fascists and National Socialists. Some might argue that the foremost pioneer and facilitator of Fascist aesthetics had been the Italian poet **Gabriel D'Annunzio**, who **in his city state of Fiume** had created a whole new world of his own that heavily



utilized **impressive theatrics that stood out as absurd to most**. Overall the Italians had a brilliant knack for opposing the tired social norms of their time in favor of something new, radiant and odd, such as the work of the Italian Futurist movement for example. Soon enough all Nationalist/Fascist movements of Europe, including the NSDAP, utilized aesthetics in brilliant ways, to demonstrate that they represent *something else, something different* to **anything** else that contemporary society had to offer.

Their particular aesthetics were genuine, honest, which is why they worked. Some people mistakenly take to outright copying these Champions of our past and for the most part look ridiculous for it. **Rockwell** had made his uniforms work because he didn't copy them completely, and because he too was authentic and genuine, unlike the LARP uniform cosplaying of **NSM** who never could look the part of true and dedicated Fascists/NS as the fatness of their uniformed members betrayed lack of any discipline or willpower that are a cornerstone of our Struggle.

*There are those who deck themselves out – not in serious, dignified National Socialist attire – but in authentic World War Two German costumes complete with decorations, awards and insignia rank of that period. I don't know which is worse, rendering themselves utterly ridiculous or insulting the memory of genuine heroes.*

*-James Mason, SIEGE, 4.5*

The contemporary Fascist/NS groups likewise have to utilize aesthetics to demonstrate fully how they stand **APART** from the Modern World of lies, not try to *integrate into it*. One of the most successful examples of doing that in recent history has been, again, **National Action**, who have pioneered a new trend of aesthetics which are now being successfully used by our **Lithuanian comrades in SKYDAS**. Their use of the skull mask had made it a more widespread piece of unofficial Fascist/ NS attire that serves our purposes and our Struggle perfectly. Overall, to be **APART** from this contemptuous society of lies, skull masks, boots, swastikas and military casual style of clothing is infinitely superior to suits and ties. We are revolutionaries not only because we promote a revolution to topple the System but because we promote **a spiritual revolution**, and thus nothing about us should feed into the Jewish status quo.

Perhaps *once* we have **won** there will be a place for suits and ties, just as there was a place for them after Fascists came to power in Italy and National Socialists came to power in Germany, however *before those suits* there were **blackshirts** (*in both Italy and Great Britain*), **brownshirts**, **greenshirts** (*Iron Guard*) and others. All of them had been social outcasts and rogues of some description or another, however once they came to power they dictated what was normal and what was to be cast out, for real respectability is earned through **power**, *not image alone*.

Let us return then, to the subject of Revolution. Here we must bring up one point that should be clear to anyone with half a brain and goes without saying: *Revolutions are always bloody*, and we expect that. The bloodletting will be **necessary**, however, not only the blood of our enemies, but of our own people.

*The most fundamental rule of such a cataclysmic social upheaval as a revolution is: "The blood of the martyrs is the seed of the church!" Perhaps it sounds cruel and brutal, but it is nevertheless true, that the*



# ZERO TOLERANCE

*greater the proportion of human upheaval aimed at, the greater quantity of blood and torrents of tears which must be poured out in vast quantities to gain the goal. The kind of unprecedented, colossal movement which can alone reverse the suicidal trend of the Western world, and usher in even another thousand years of survival for the White man, can never be launched – let alone won – in any safe, painless, or easy way. Even ordinary sufferings and martyrdom are too minuscule for the kind of movement we must set aflame to survive. Everything about the current deadly battle for world mastery is and must be Olympian, and we cannot shrink from Olympian AGONIES if we are to hope to win.*

*-George Lincoln Rockwell, "In Hoc Signo Vincas"*

*But there is no way we can destroy the System without hurting many thousands of innocent people – no way. It is a cancer too deeply rooted in our flesh. And if we don't destroy the System before it destroys us – if we don't cut this cancer out of our living flesh-our whole race will die.*

*-Dr. William Luther Pierce, The Turner Diaries*

Our disingenuous “allies” will hold this against us, trying to assess that we are not *truly* fighting for the well being of our people if we are willing to subject them to the horrors of Revolution and accept the inevitable casualties as exactly that, inevitable. Frankly they will criticize us in the same vain for our insistence on the validity of the *Lemming Principle* alone, as they think it to be false in some description or deprecating of White people.

Of course, this criticism is likewise fueled by the inescapable fact that our “allies” are very much the same as our enemies. They refuse to recognize that the liberal, rationalist, Americanist goals that *they* fight for are a sickness, a disease that must be destroyed. They relegate *everything* to simply the presence of nonwhites who ruin these “great” things by afflicting the stability of a homogeneous society. Their attitude is that “*the problem with liberalism/libertarianism/Americanism is all these niggers and Jews everywhere! Without them this would work perfectly!*” as opposed to our attitude that “*the problem with liberalism/etc is LIBERALISM*”.

It is the initial decay introduced by conceit and weakness of well-to-do bourgeois Whites that allows for the Jew to weasel his way in and fester until he has the power to open the borders all the while getting the decadent whites to agree and enable him **every step of the way**. Had the Jew **not** appeared this society, it would **still** be developing *all manner of degeneracy and depravity* and just dying a *slow and quiet* death. What is essentially the contention of our “allies” is that the Niggers and Muslims are ruining their *idyllic and hazy* slow death with the prospect of a more *violent* one. *As if these people are not dead inside already.*

*A country has the Jews it deserves. Just as mosquitoes can thrive and settle only in swamps, likewise the former can only thrive in the swamps of our sins.*

*-Corneliu Zelea Codreanu, For My Legionaries*

*The more materialistic the Culture became, the more it approached the Jew, and the greater was his advantage.*

*-Francis Parker Yockey, IMPERIUM*

*The most deadly enemy of the Jew is order and national health. [...] Jews can't prosper in a healthy, well organized, ordered society.*

*-George Lincoln Rockwell, White Power, Ch6*

*A healthy state will expel – or kill – the Jew; a decadent one will take him to its bosom.*



*-James Mason, SIEGE, 2.14*

The situation in many countries is desperately bad, far worse than what was going on in Weimar Germany, because *back then* the decay and degeneracy was complimentary with a weak System and decrepit social and economic order. However, *today* degeneracy is propped up as a wonderful *benefit of affluence*, it is polished and **sold** as a commodity that most people **do**, in fact, **buy**. The fact is that the USA in particular is a hotbed of degeneracy among White people, and all these degenerates hardly deserve any consideration beyond what they represent in the crudest sense possible: **genetic material**.

*Any time that a man of good race, cheerfully integrated into “consumer society,” disappoints you, tell yourself that he does not count as a conscious individual; only his blood counts. See in him only what the breeder of horses or dogs considers in his subjects: his pedigree. Let us be frank: what he says, believes, and thinks is of no importance.*

*-Savitri Devi, “The Religion of the Strong”*

*Take a look at the armies of mouth-breathing knuckle-draggers on these streets and realize that they are what pass as “White”!*

*-James Mason, SIEGE, 2.21*

*To us they are merely the unconscious, unwitting, and unwilling carriers of the genes that can, under the proper care and leadership, re-achieve greatness and pull this planet out of its quicksand.*

*-James Mason, SIEGE, 2.15*

*When an opponent declares, “I will not come over to your side,” I calmly say, “Your child belongs to us already... What are you? You will pass on. Your descendants, however, now stand in the new camp. In a short time they will know nothing else but this new community.”*

*-Adolf Hitler*

It is no use crying and protesting that this is somehow harsh and cruel. What is truly cruel is the completely irresponsible behavior of those who had put the survival of their Nation and Race at risk for their own short-sighted self-interest. Some will cry out “*how can you claim to love your nation if you promote such contempt?*” which is a desperate attempt at muddying the waters and substituting the facts.

The contempt is promoted towards the **subhuman scum** who have ignored the responsibilities of the blood they were given and put the continuation of their Nation and Race at risk, for let us remind you once more: the Nation/Race is not only those *living*, but **all the dead and those yet to be born**. It is in defense of those honorable dead and the innocent unborn that we must practice contempt for those living, who *spit* on the former and *threaten the purity and existence* of the latter!

We’ve mentioned how it is **not enough** to be simply *born* Aryan, to be born White. That is not the start **and** end of the matter, you don’t get to smugly sit your ass and bask in self-importance, entitlement and conceit – it is but only the beginning, as it imparts a **mighty responsibility** on the one who carries that blood, **that he may prove himself to be worthy of it**, for what good is pure, noble blood if it is carried by *subhuman filth*. Conceit on the grounds of having been born into superior blood is **just as bad** as conceit on the grounds of having been born into nobility, wealth, status, etc, for it leads one **not to appreciate those things**, what they entail, nor the duties that they impart.



# ZERO TOLERANCE

*Nations who become mongrels or allow their people to be bastardized, have sinned against the will of Eternal Providence. Their collapse at the hands of a stronger force is not an injustice done to them, instead it is the restoration of justice. If a people no longer respect the qualities given to it by Nature, qualities which are deeply rooted in its blood, it surrenders its right to complain when its earthly existence is at an end.*  
 -Adolf Hitler, *Mein Kampf*, VI, Ch11

*Subhumans exist in all peoples as a leavening agent.*  
 -Paul Joseph Goebbels

*The unity of the noble soul and a noble body is the goal to which we strive. But we despise those whose noble body carries an ignoble soul.*

[...]

*Inherited honor does not last forever, but always demands work and struggle. Honor is like a crown. He who ceases to live and act like a king loses it – and has lost it, even if he still wears it on his head.*  
 -Helmut Stellrecht, “Faith and Action”


*But despise the mass man with his empty heart and shallow mind; the mass egoist, mean and pretentious, who lives only for his own well-being and for what money can buy.*  
 -Savitri Devi, “The Religion of the Strong”

*Which could be lowest, the Jewish Systematarian or White capitalist traitor, or the hordes of don't-give-a-damn “White” fun-seekers and escapists? Somehow, I can't find it in my heart to hate anyone with a direct purpose – however vile – nearly so much as an irresponsible shirker.*  
 -James Mason, *SIEGE*, 7.1

Which is all **precisely why** we do not share the same goals as our “allies,” for what they seem to prize most is **exactly** what allows for the Jewish takeover. That is to say, the individualistic, materialistic and hedonistic “culture” of modernity. They prize the very sickness of decay that invites rampant degeneracy and the rendering of blood a mere biological fact, inherited by white subhumans. They quite literally think that the **SWAMP OF THEIR SINS** would be **the most amazing thing ever IF ONLY IT WERE NOT FOR ALL THE MOSQUITOES!**

And this decay has always been initiated by conceit and it is the eternal truth that *all* such decay starts *from the top*, going back all the way to the Antique Greek concept of **Anacyclosis** and well before then, and it is that very same truth that demands a **REVOLUTION** to fix things!





Our "allies," Weak Men, idolize the Good Times which THEY have ruined and think it will take more of their WEAKNESS to fix it. Reality is that these Hard Times can only be fixed by STRONG MEN – only Fascists, only National Socialists.



*The curse of “liberal,” “humanitarian” mankind is egocentrism, conceit.  
-George Lincoln Rockwell, White Power, Ch3*

*What we are fighting has always been, and will always be, a sickness from within.*

[...]

*The White upper classes – which, make no mistake, rule this land – have long since been alienated from their own people, their own past; the great struggles and causes of White history are forgotten; today these people are merely managers and custodians, albeit damned well-paid ones at that...*

[...]

*A ruling body with its own, peculiar world view in which they believe, for they are quite sincere. The Whites of this country and indeed most of the West have been betrayed by their own rotten leaders. yes, their natural leaders, the nation's elite! That is why no renaissance can be possible here. Only revolution.*

*-James Mason, SIEGE, 3.9*

Again, let us state this to be clear: our contempt is for the degenerate subhuman filth who desecrate their blood purity. Wherever those who have **not** succumbed to the degeneracy of the Modern World will be found they will be treated as true members of their Nation and Race, and thus as true individuals rather than merely genetic material. However the likelihood of finding such survivors in this world are directly inverse to the level of affluence and decadence that is enjoyed by a given region or country. Perhaps some of the criticism towards us on this point *originates with exactly this sort of degenerate filth* that had attached itself to the wider group interests for the sake of their own security, for example the faggots (for example *Grindr Gregg*), as we've discussed earlier in this article.

This is the true extent of the awesome responsibility that all Fascists and National Socialists face. The only means left to us may be crude but they serve a higher purpose, whereas our “*allies*” boast about their *impotent* methods that just sound *swell* on paper in how easy and nonviolent they are (*how bourgeois they are*), yet are completely ineffective and are meant to serve *petty* and *contemptuous* goals.

*[Violence is] the quickest and most definitive way of reaching the revolutionary goal. [...] No bourgeois hypocrisy, no sentimentalism; action, direct and sharp, carried out to the end, at whatever cost.  
-Italo Balbo*

Before we move on to the final segment of our article let us address the ever prevalent suspicion and accusation towards various Altright circles and individuals as to their *implicit* or **explicit** friendliness or non-hostility towards **faggotry**. Now that we have established that the only goal of the Altright is restoring an affluent bourgeois-liberal society of decadence and degeneracy with no nonwhites, how is it a surprise that such suspicions can exist?

Nothing in this goal is mutually exclusive with allowing rampant faggotry. Moreover, faggotry is the very pinnacle and natural product of such aspirations. The only point where conflict





should arise is the vague racialism of the Altright, however we've already showcased how this racialism only exists in as much as it serves their liberal agenda and thus has nothing to do with survival of one's Race and Nation.

And while some figures and circles in the Altright are very explicit in their approval of faggotry, others get upset and offended at the accusation, yet repeatedly refuse to make definitive statements of opposition to faggotry, disavow known Altright faggots, think to exploit faggots in their favor (*as some believed Milo, the black cock gobbling Jew would serve as some kind of introduction to the Altright sphere – AND WHAT AN INTRODUCTION IT IS!*), or try to play down the nature of the issue if not outright attempt to attack their accusers. However, there is no smoke where there is no fire, those who make their contempt for the degeneracy of faggotry clear do not have to deal with that suspicion hanging over their heads.

Consider some of the popular ways in which people from the Altright try to ignore or downplay the fag question: *“Why do you obsess with faggots so much? There's not that many of them anyway, statistically they're not even a problem and not all fags are degenerate, in fact I know some and they're great! Some can even be of help to our movement. Frankly, your obsession with fags means there's something wrong with you!”*

Now read this: *“Why do you obsess with Jews so much? There's not that many of them anyway, statistically they're not even a problem and not all Jews are subversive, in fact I know some and they're great! Some can even be of help to our movement. Frankly, your obsession with Jews means there's something wrong with you!”*

The final level of irony being, of course, that both of these statements above are what we have come to normally expect to hear from the progressive liberal crowd in response to us on the dangers of Jews and Fags.

How much more evidence does one need to see that our Altright *“allies”* are simply a different variation of the *same liberal disease* that had ruined Western civilization? And recall our point from the start of this article: faggots will pay lip service to Western civilization and the White Race only because they happen to belong to them and wish to save themselves from the violent fate that awaits them, should the West be finally overrun by muslims. **AND HOW IS THAT SO MUCH DIFFERENT FROM THE ALTRIGHT** who only pay lip service to Western civilization and the White Race, only because they happen to belong to them and wish to save themselves and their cummies from the violent fate that awaits them, should the US be finally overrun by niggers, mexicans, muslims, etc?

Their supposed ties to the West and the White Race, however, are likewise a mere formality as they have **lost all connection with that civilization and race** and only identify with the liberal bourgeois *denigration* of it, which looks back to the height of Western civilization as nothing more than **decor**, something *nice to look at*, and evaluates it only in utilitarian terms, foremost as a **life-preserver** to try and maintain society, as they themselves cannot **continue it**.

*In truth, the West today has no culture. These consumers hang onto the language and customs of a bygone age because they can't come up with anything so organized and intricate on their own.*



-James Mason, *SIEGE*, 7.17

Of course Modern Men (*and the Altright which they have created*) wish to go back to the 50's and are constantly playing a defensive game, as they completely lack the spirit in which their civilization and culture were created in the first place, though in the case of USA the conditions are even worse, as it is an entirely artificial construct, as we've discussed earlier. They cannot build anything worthy of their ancestors, they cannot make anything *new to continue the culture further*, the creative spark of their Race is not within them and thus all they can do is try and preserve the merely material legacy, even if that same spark, that spirit, no longer dwells inside the material vessel.

Timestamped. Relevant message ends at 55:15

They are but **dead automatons**, *custodians and tourists* to a **museum of dead things**. Such is the fundamental condition of all Modern Men. They regard their own Civilization and Race as mere commodities, like antiques collectors, who simply wish to own the material object and have it be a piece of decor or a conversation piece, to be shown off. Ask them to try and make something that would be worthy of the same craftsmanship and they will either stand there in total confusion or go back to enjoying modernity in all its glorious **rot**. They are but **parasites** inasmuch as the Jews or niggers.

For all intents and purposes, the Altright can be symbolized by a pretentious and snobbish homosexual art-collector who holds a private decadent party (*no nonwhites allowed*), where he shows off his collection of antique European art before asking for everyone's attention, toasting the accomplishments of Western Civilization and the White Race that provided him with his collection as well as the security that he enjoys, and then telling his guests that the orgy will be held in the 16th century European classicism gallery wing.

**Fine**, not *all* of them are faggots, it's not *always* a *literal* gay orgy, not *all of them* approve or support of faggotry or gay orgies – but they downplay it **well enough** and support the conditions for it **well enough** to warrant all the scrutiny they get, so indignant whining in outrage over that scrutiny is mute and pointless, and the scrutiny will remain valid so long as they refuse to acknowledge that there can be no compromise on the fag question and refuse to openly condemn and attack **all faggots**, regardless of who they are, who they claim to be and what they do – this attitude must be adopted to **all** fag apologists and fag enablers.

True nationalists, and especially Fascists and National Socialists, are the only ones who still have the **living essence** of their Nations and Race, which is why their are motivated by a sense of **higher duty** and not *pragmatism* or *utilitarianism* in the service of one's own interests and pleasures. To us this struggle isn't about just saving some material objects in a museum or to ensure the safety or comfort of degenerates ( "*FASH THE BORDERS, LIBERATE THE INTERIOR!*" ), let alone *join* them in their degeneracy. To Fascists/NS the accomplishments and glories of the past are a benchmark, they are a visual and material representation of the spirit that resides in the blood, they are, in the words of **Bob Mathews**, *a call to ACTION and a DEMAND for adherence to DUTY!*





# ZERO TOLERANCE



# TOTAL ARYAN VICTORY

We've thoroughly criticized our would-be "*allies*" and have shown how little they have in common with us and how much they have in common with our enemies. The distance it takes them to travel to their end destination is so short from where they started, that they *might as well have not left at all*. However they are unable to realize that, to them it might seem like a big journey, and they would only realize how inconsequential their "*strides*" are if they knew how far us Fascists and National Socialists intend to travel. We talk about something eternal and Absolute.

To someone who has no comprehension of the *truly Absolute*, being simply *stubborn* in defense of something, anything, for purely personal gain may indeed seem like having an absolute position.

One can only truly see the full scope of things once they achieved knowledge of the existence of the Absolute and of its fundamental nature. When that is accomplished they begin an eternal struggle towards the *full* understanding of the Absolute and find themselves **at odds** with those who *still* cannot see the forest for the trees, who still gaze at the shadows in the Cave and refuse to hear talk of the Sun outside.

If they do realize how far we wish to go, then they must likewise realize how little we have in common and, moreover, as we've mentioned earlier, they'd be frightened by the prospects of our victory if our assessment of them is correct (*which indeed it is, the only question that remains is how many of them are redeemable*). For that which we strive for **does not serve man** and thus does **not** operate in a utilitarian fashion to provide one with *safety, wealth and pleasure*. Instead it is expected that the whole of society, down to the last man, serve something greater than themselves, with true joy as the reward for that service. Yet to those who seek pleasures service will only ever feel like slavery, and thus they would oppose us in our pursuits, for we don't just seek to "*fash the border,*" **but the interior as well**. Indeed, we will not stop at **any** borders, for we want to spread Fascism worldwide.

To the end goal of our "*allies*" – the all-white-liberal-playground; whites-only-gay-bathhouse; "*White ethnostate*" that simply wants to "*fash the borders and liberate the interior*"



and have a nationwide white liberal bourgeois suburbia gated community, that is to say, an *isolationist state that just wants to be left alone to die a slow and hedonistic death* – we oppose the goal of nothing less than **Total Aryan Victory**. What we mean by that is exactly what it sounds: we are not content with just a state or even all of Europe, our goals demand global totality. Total Aryan Victory means the absolute global dominance of the Fascist/NS Worldview across the entire world which is guided by the descendants of the original Aryan race who had once again lived up to the glory of their ancestors and answered the eternal call of their blood! **TOTAL ARYAN VICTORY MEANS A PAX ARYANA!**

*Make no mistake about it, I am advocating total and complete WHITE POWER in this world!*  
-George Lincoln Rockwell, *White Power*, Ch16

*For the ultimate political goal of the Movement was the establishment of an Aryan world order, a pax Aryana, as a prerequisite for the attainment of the long-term racial goals of the Movement.*  
-Dr. William Luther Pierce, *“A National Socialist Life”*

To make the whole world once again follow the eternal laws of that which we call different names: **Universal Truth, Cosmic Order, God(s), The Absolute, The One, Providence** – that which bestowed **all** with their *rightful place* in this world as per their unique nature in a grand *Hierarchy of Hierarchies* which accounts for all things that make up life as we know it, as they all are manifestations of that same force. There is a hierarchy of men in a given nation, a hierarchy of nations in a given race, and hierarchy of races in the human species. Each man, each nation, each race has their appointed place in this *Hierarchy of Hierarchies*. We are all some kind of reflection of the eternal Truth and to follow one's nature is to live in accordance with that Truth and thus to be at harmony with life. The only social organization that can successfully bring about this harmony on a grand scale is the **Organic State**, where all exist as parts of a greater whole.

The purpose of the Organic State is to create a society in accord with the Cosmic Order, to be its functional manifestation on earth, in human affairs, so that each individual may live in accord and harmony with himself and the world around him.



# fascism 101

IRONMARCH.ORG FASCIST ALMA MATER INFOGRAPHICS ON THE FASCIST WORLDVIEW

## Universal Truth, Cosmic Order



«As Above, So Below»



Your personal truth that unravels your nature in accordance to all other truths that affect you in the Cosmic Order, defining your potential (i.e. destiny). You may strive to reach your full potential or fall to your lowest point.

# Individual



The Ultimate Truth defines us all, you are a product of its manifestation in variety of lesser Truths that had come together to form your own, personal Truth.



The Organic State is meant to put each man in the place he was meant for, according to his personal Truth, so that he may serve it and that which is greater than himself. Your personal Truth is not the same as a selfish interest, for your personal Truth is an expectation that you have to live up to, to that inherent potential hidden within that is called **Destiny** (*utilizing Francis Parker Yockey's definition*).

A personal Truth is a great many truths that come together and define **you**, but these many truths pertain to things **greater than oneself**, from his family, ethnicity, race, sex, all the way up to that Ultimate Truth, God(s), etc. If you are born a man then you have expectations and obligations that come with **being a man**, and the same is true for women. Same kind of expectations and obligations exist depending on your ethnicity and race. In realizing these obligations you are striving towards the ideal of each: the ideal of man/woman, the ideal of your ethnicity/race, and in striving towards these ideals you strive towards the ideal of perfection, towards the **Absolute**.

The entirety of the Modern World and all its ills come from a **rebellion** *against* these obligations, which started with denying the Absolute itself and then with all the particular manifestations of Truth, and so you have today the progressive liberals and their chanting of “*Fuck you God, State, Mom, Dad, heteronormative cisgender binary norms! Race is a social construct!*” – a literal rebellion against each level of the Hierarchy or Hierarchies.

In the Organic State each person is nurtured, guided and evaluated according to his nature, to help him discover where he belongs, whom he was meant to become, so that he may be placed in a position to realize his potential and find true joy in that, all the while contributing to his community, for that is why it is called and *Organic State*.

*The crucial salient characteristic of the National-Socialist idea is precisely that it induces the unfolding of the configuring forces and creative values of personality within the community, and exerts them on behalf of the community.*

*-Dr. Otto Dietrich, The Philosophical Foundations of National-Socialism*

It is truly an **organism** where all cells have their role to play and in doing what they were meant for help organs fulfill *their* roles which allows the overall organism to be healthy and fulfill *its* role. It is thus **by necessity** a community based on **service** and **duty**. You have a duty to all things that make up your personal Truth and in fulfilling that duty you realize *your* Truth and gain true Joy of Life. You serve your Nation. You serve your Race. You strive for the ideal of Man or Woman and towards overcoming all that which is merely human. In doing so you have likewise fulfilled a duty to yourself and your own, personal Truth. *This*, is how one attains what men call **honor**, measured by his service and loyalty to others of his community and to that which is greater than himself. Being loyal to Truth and vanquishing falsehoods – *that* is **Justice**. And who are our enemies if not those who have rebelled against **any kind of loyalty and truth?**

*The purpose of life is not that of being more or less happy, but to make oneself and others better, and to combat injustice and error is not a right, but a duty.*

*-Italo Balbo*



*What exists exists because of loyalty. If that which exists ceases to be loyal, it returns to nothingness. That tears the bonds that hold everything together, it shatters camaraderie; it shatters leadership; it shatters honor; it shatters confidence in the law; it shatters the army; it shatters the state; it shatters everything that exists.*

*-Helmut Stellrecht, "Faith and Action"*

It is when man tries to immediately serve *himself*, his petty immediate interests and welfare, that he begins to act as a rogue against the natural order around him, upsetting it and only growing ever more *frustrated* that he cannot find happiness in his ceaseless rebellion against the "oppressive" forces that make up his nature, thus man only rebels against *himself* and can only ever experience misery for it, becoming a slave to mere material wants and desires. Such is the eternal irony, that the "freedom" he sought truly was but *slavery*, in ways that **Orwell**, of course, could never imagine. And that is the eternal choice all men face as it is the foundation of the **dual nature** in the human condition: to willingly choose to serve the path that was prepared for them and find joy as their reward, becoming more than merely human... or to rebel against it and succumb to base wants and desires, rendering one *less than human or animal*, making one **subhuman**.

*Fulfilling one's duty to the utmost is required of each of us. Who will wait until the demand comes, until it is required? He who does his duty of his own free will, he is a free man and not a slave.*

[...]

*Slaves believe that they only need food and drink to live. The free man knows that he needs honor first of all.*

[...]

*Freedom is choosing to follow the path that duty requires. The others are slaves of themselves.*

[...]

*He who thinks of himself is a slave and bound; he who thinks of others is master and free.*

*-Helmut Stellrecht, "Faith and Action"*

*The individualistic concept of freedom however wants to liberate the individual from this duty toward the community.*

[...]

*... natural freedom is the freedom of personality, which means the freedom of the man who creates for his community. This uniquely true concept of freedom was taught as far back as Aristotle, who attributed freedom only to the creative man. One can only be creative, however, for a community.*

[...]

*Only he who is conscious of his duties toward the community and acts accordingly can be creative. And therefore the concept of freedom presupposes connection to the community. Whoever possesses this sense of community and acknowledges its moral obligations is free and feels that he is free, since his free activity can never be directed against the rules of the community, but instead runs in harmony with it.*

[...]





*... whoever does not possess this sense of responsibility toward the community and does not acknowledge his moral obligations places himself outside the community. What he calls individual freedom is not freedom but rather unbridledness.*

*-Dr. Otto Dietrich, The Philosophical Foundations of National-Socialism*

*One achieves true human dignity only when one serves. Only he is great who subjects himself to taking part in the achievement of a great task.*

*-Jose Antonio Primo de Rivera*

*When men concentrate only on themselves and their own animal lusts, they begin to despise themselves, they become despicable and hated by other men, and they become unhappy and hateful, in turn.*

*-George Lincoln Rockwell, White Power, Ch2*

Any form of liberal society is thus **fundamentally incompatible** with our goals, any society that is rooted in pursuit of selfinterest and hedonism that passes for “happiness” today and is concerned with standards of living as the *alpha* and *omega* of all things is what we seek to **root out in its entirety**, for this society will only produce the kind of loathsome subhuman parasites that will inevitably subvert any and all Truth in the pursuit of their insatiable and bestial desires, from which blood purity cannot protect alone.

*We shall create a spiritual atmosphere, a moral atmosphere, in which the heroic man may be born and on which he can thrive. This hero will lead our people on the road of its greatness.*

*-Corneliu Zelea Codreanu, For My Legionaries*

So can it be any surprise that those who believe in the Absolute, in the Truth and are loyal to it, feel compelled to *do that which is necessary*, to **fight** and if need be **die** for that which is greater than themselves and in doing so actually realize *who they truly are*? Such men cannot fear death, and their actions are what defined **courage** for all times.

*He who loses his life shall find it. When you are ready to die for something, then you're alive.*

*-George Lincoln Rockwell*

*Courage means, in the last moment of life, to still show allegiance to the thought for which one stood and fell. To the devil with the times that want to take from us courage and men.*

*-Ernst Junger*

*True bravery is a constant. It is a singleness of purpose. A complete devotion to a Cause higher than one's self.*

*-James Mason, SIEGE, 9.23*

That is the kind of world we wish to build and those are the kind of men we want to populate it. So that every community is organized in an Organic State. Only such an organization can sustain the sort of structure for racial unity that is required, when all Europeans come together to form their **Imperium (Europe a Nation, Europe of Fatherlands)** – a civilization-scale organism based on common racial ties that exists as a **singular entity** towards the rest of the world, but is made up of these individual organs, these ethnic, cultural communities of France, Germany, Italy, etc., that are in turn made up of self-actualized persons, each in their proper place, like healthy cells. Other such organizations may very well form alongside it to unify the other races and their own communities, so



long as they realize their own proper place in that Hierarchy of Hierarchies, where the restored Aryan Race stands at the helm and guides the rest of the world in this new **Golden Age** of living in accord with life itself, with the eternal laws of God/Absolute/Truth etc. **This is Pax Aryana.**

*The White Man once ruled the world with an iron, relatively just and humane hand. There were abuses, but nothing like what happens when rule is turned over to the colored races the White Man has dominated and civilized.*

*-George Lincoln Rockwell, White Power, Ch11*

This vision is the true legacy of all Fascists and National Socialists of the past, as they **together** had contributed to its revelation. Even Italian Fascism that gets wrongly declared to only care about the State, in its focus on the purpose of the State had contributed very important lessons. **Mussolini's** famous quote *“all within the state, nothing outside the state, nothing against the state”* only serves to protect the Race and Nation when they are organized within the Organic State as the *“form of a nation for action”*. For this organism can only be ruined by rogue cells, by individuals who begin to think only of their own interests.

And the only *“organization”* towards which all rogue cells in an otherwise healthy organism can commit is that of **cancerous tumors** that are at war with the healthy organs and the entire organism. There can be no other force within the state that competes with its power that is against it, and worse still if it exists at the behest of some outside force. All of that is, in fact, *the very premise* of Capitalism, Liberalism, Democracy, Marxism and etc., as they throw an organism in disarray and pit all of its cells and organs against one another and at odds with the overall organism itself, as each of its individual cells or organs attempts to compete with and usurp the power of the whole, to elevate themselves over it. All of those things are none other than **diseases**.

*The Jew elevates the part over the whole.*

*-Miguel Serrano, The Golden Cord*

So long as we are talking about a **healthy organism** (*and not a mechanical monstrosity or a living and disease-ridden corpse, as all states are today*), the Organic State as the form of a nation for action, that is to say the manifestation of the **nation's will**, then there can be no question that we should not tolerate *anything* that opposes it from within or without, for it is an attack on the nation *itself*. **Francis Parker Yockey** described these same themes in more familiar terms of power dynamics when he addressed the **Laws of Totality and Sovereignty** and the **Law of Constancy of Intra-Organismic Power**. An even simpler explanation is that the Organic State, if it is healthy, cannot exist divided within itself by individuals or groups, which must exist, but all living in harmony with one another – it exists **not** as a *society*, but as a **community**.

*... no joy can exist wherever exclusivity and division of the whole dominate in place of the integrity of being, as there is no point of contact or unity with the living, breathing cosmos.*

*-Miguel Serrano, The Golden Cord*



*Universalistic thought, community-conscious thought, must take the place of individualistic thought; and the universalistic – or if one wishes, the organic – picture of the world must take the place of the mechanical picture of the world.*

*-Dr. Otto Dietrich, The Philosophical Foundations of National-Socialism*

Which inevitably brings us to the issue of the apparent opposition between the modern state, product of enlightenment and rationalism, and anything spiritual that it had purposely separated from via the principle of **secularism**. This is an artificial splitting of what used to and **always meant** to be a singular whole and one that must be done away with. The Organic State *by definition* cannot be secular as that creates one of those power conflicts that upsets the internal harmony of the organism, thus it must exist as the domain of both Spiritual and Temporal authority, either by principle of reunification of these powers, or through the Byzantine principle of **Symphonia**, where State and Church support one another, the former of the two options being the most ideal.

The issue of how exactly will this manifest is not well suited for this article least we wish to expand it to twice its current size to take into account all the nuances and caveats, so we will limit ourselves to only a couple of non-negotiable fundamental points:

- That the spiritual formation (*religion, faith, esoteric teaching*) is **legitimate**, which can be verified through what **Julius Evola** called the *Traditional method* and is otherwise referred to as Perennial Philosophy. This legitimizes, for example, Orthodox and Catholic Christianity, but disqualifies all the bastard children of Catholicism as heresies and likewise disqualifies various crackpot cults and new age nonsense;
- That a people adopt only the spiritual formation that is “*native*” to them, that is to say a people cannot be forced upon a foreign spiritual milieu that is a product of a different nation’s interpretation of the same Truth in accord to their own unique character. This matter is still under dispute for many of our comrade when it comes to the legitimacy of Christianity in Europe, and that is something that we hope to see addressed in full in the **Mysterium Fasces** podcasts and a series of articles dedicated to this and other intimately related questions. However one thing is certain, that Europeans must never accept the wholesale adoption of clear cut foreign spiritual teachings such as Islam (*the very legitimacy of which we question as per point one, with some due consideration given to Sufism, which, however does not exclude it from what has been said so far in this point*) or Buddhism (*which is legitimate, but nevertheless foreign*).

When we’ll have achieved Total Aryan Victory and thus Pax Aryana it will inevitably come to pass that new sacred monarchies shall arise from the ranks of the new Fascist and National Socialist elite, which in turn shall be formed by the struggle against this sick world we live in today and its custodians: the Jews, their System and their house goyim race traitors.

*And if a nation has no real elite – a first one to designate the second? I answer by a single phrase which contains an indisputable truth: in that case, the real elite is born out of a war with the degenerate elite, the false one. And that, also on the principle of selection.*

*-Corneliu Zelea Codreanu, For My Legionaries*



So again we must ask: **who will fight this war?** The intellectual suit and tie sort? Their entire narrative is premised on avoiding and denigrating the very idea of open conflict! Their method is appeasement, appeasement of the masses *at the cost of the Truth* to gain their passive support of inaction and appeasement of the System to avoid direct confrontation. They are cut from the same cloth as that which we must fight, which is exactly why they cannot commit to its total destruction, and yet they claim to be “*allies*” to our cause, which is nothing less than **revolutionary**, one that promotes the only **true revolution** that is possible, as opposed to the fake revolutions of *one way* to secure one’s pleasure and safety over *another*: **the revolution against one’s self-interested concerns of pleasure and safety!**

*Intellectuals turn out to be womanly and hysterical as often as the masses.*  
-Pierre Drieu la Rochelle, “Fascist Socialism”

*... we don't need any more informed people WHO WON'T STAND UP AND FIGHT TO OPPOSE TYRANNY!*  
-George Lincoln Rockwell, *White Power*, Ch12

*Nobody ever heard tell of a “noble intellect”. Intellect doesn't MOVE people, if anything it serves to hold them back from bold and daring action.*  
-James Mason, *SIEGE*, 7.16

*Forget about middle America or any one of those slobs arising out of the middle class or the “Silent Majority”. Expect them to remain silent throughout.*  
-SIEGE, 8.5

*The gallows long cry for the pseudo-revolutionaries! The mudflow has passed, the time has come for the real revolution!*  
-Ernst Junger, “Revolution and the Frontline Soldiers”

And as we have stated earlier: this conflict is now **inevitable**, the only question is *who makes the first move*, **them** or **us**, and when it *does* hit the question will be the same as the bloody struggle will determine who will decide the future of the world: **THEM** or **US**. The time for empty talk is fast approaching its end, and the coming Race War will make it impossible for the rats to pretend as to their real motivations, and the bloody nature of the struggle ahead is a terrifying prospect for them, as all conflict purifies of weakness. The cowardly talkers and frauds, the underhanded rats and degenerate subhumans who sought safety behind happenstance of belonging to the White Race will be driven out and exposed, leaving behind only those worthy and capable of fighting for **Total Aryan Victory** and establishing **Pax Aryana**.

*Just as the hardest tests cull out weak individuals, it also cuts out weakness in the strong individuals and this is what accounts for the phenomenon of the strong getting even stronger under fire.*  
-James Mason, *SIEGE*, 7.18

*REALITY has arrived and business for fakers is bad.*  
-SIEGE, 9.16



That is the harsh reality of the times ahead. Which is why we can do no less than offer the harshest of criticisms to those who refuse to accept this reality, for either they are frauds, rats and degenerates as we have said, or they are deluded and misguided, if well meaning, idiots. If the latter is true then they should recognize this article for what it is: a call for them to **WAKE UP** and start preparing, so that they are not caught off guard when the time finally comes. If there are those among our would be “allies” who **truly** search for the way out of the Cave, then they shall find it sooner or later, **however we'd prefer that it'd be sooner**, as soon as possible in fact, so they don't waste their own time. And if to do that we must bruise some egos and step on some toes **THEN SO BE IT**.


*... those who start with the Truth, though they may do so separately, ultimately end up together with the Truth, regardless whether they be hailed or cursed by the masses.  
-James Mason, SIEGE, 9.17*

*... if you cannot make a revolution in your own mind, in your daily life and habits, then you certainly cannot do it in any other way.  
-SIEGE, 9.35*

*When chaos prevails, as it does now in the right-wing, it is inevitable that people get hurt when you apply that force to establish order, but the hurt to one or two people who claim to believe in Our Holy Cause will mean nothing later, when we have demonstrated, as we are doing, our ability to help even those we might now 'hurt' to win, beside which even a severe 'hurt' is nothing.*

*If we cannot win the most desperate battle for survival in the history of humanity, it will not make me proud to have been a 'good guy' and to have failed to bring order and victory to the pitiful right-wing. Even those who may be personally angered at the exposures here will know that they are true, and those with which they are not familiar are equally true.  
-George Lincoln Rockwell, This Time the World, Ch14*





Our vision is clear, the path stretches out before us, and so we must **commit** to it. Let those who are **afraid** of what dangers lie in wait for us, and of that which awaits us at the path's end **speak no more** of being our "allies." Let their futile reproaches and criticisms fall on deaf ears for we will hear no more – let those who are true join us, and those who are false hide on the sidelines and stay out of our way, that is all that we have left to offer. It doesn't matter *if someone swings to the left or swings to the right*, come **Day of the Rope** anyone who does **not** share our vision and stands in its way will swing **by the neck**.

Ahead is only **Total Aryan Victory** or **Total Aryan Defeat**, but in either case the world will *tremble* by how loudly each of us had proclaimed our oath to this Struggle: **I FIGHT!**



*We do not argue with those who disagree with us, we destroy them.*  
-Benito Mussolini

*... it is our duty to ignore all reproaches and begin the offensive.*  
-Ernst Junger, "The Frontline Soldier and Wilhelm's Era"

*No, we won't be judged by our success – they will only ask how loud was our "Yes," how brightly the flame of will burned in us.*  
-Ernst Junger, "Blood"

*Defeat never. Victory forever!*  
-Bob Mathews

*Hitler or hell.*  
-Savitri Devi, "Gold in the Furnace"

*... I want to ask the young to look up to their predecessors in the Movement as examples so that they will realize that there is nothing superficial in being a National Socialist. It is not a matter of clothes, meetings and stars... but it is the heart that matters! ...For them it is not enough to simply confess "I believe" but to take the oath: "I Fight".*  
-Adolf Hitler



# ZERO TOLERANCE







## COMMENTS:

47 THOUGHTS ON "ZERO TOLERANCE"

[Hyperborean Shitlord](#)

JANUARY 14, 2017 AT 02:44

History was made today.

[Problem Glasses](#)

JANUARY 14, 2017 AT 05:56

"The practice of mixing Truth with lies in an effort to formulate something that the sick masses might accept without much struggle has resulted in the Right having built for itself an insurmountable obstacle of self-imposed hang-ups and restrictions"

That's the best quote I've ever read for summing both the old conservative right and where the altright is going. It's so damn arrogant for these people to think they are exempt from that process which compromise inevitably entails.

[Jar!](#)

JANUARY 16, 2017 AT 04:34

Recent events have really highlighted this point. With Enoch's wife being outed as the worst sort of leftist Jew there are people now coming out of the woodwork defending it, basically saying "not all far left Jews," and accusing everyone of "purity spiralling." As an aside, whoever coined that term needs to be shot.

[Akhnaton](#)

JANUARY 16, 2017 AT 17:36

Now that I think about it, it might have been Mike

[Jar!](#)

JANUARY 14, 2017 AT 13:59

I started reading this during a break and then realized it is a damn book. Will finish later.

[Middernacht](#)

JANUARY 14, 2017 AT 21:40

I am not writing this as a self-described 'altright', rather someone that looks positively on the alt right and negatively on what I have seen from Ironmarch so far.

This entire article makes the assumption you can't be National Socialist and in support of the alt right. That it is natural, or necessary, for the alt right to condemn open National Socialism.

Like the (former) founder of National Action uses the TRS forums, appreciating the modern cultural shift isn't endorsing the weakest positions of certain e-celebs. You can be National Socialist and be interested in the alt right. There is something brewing and it'll at the very least be a White Nationalist uprising that's going to happen. I fully intend to contribute my part in making it a National Socialist one.

As it currently seems, you're only going to attract people that already are fascist or National Socialist. That's a gigantic problem.



Hitler's main source of support was gained from converting the red masses and that was the strategy he pursued. Most people that have heard of you only have done so because they either heard you criticise a movement or group of people they're in themselves, or because they're fascists looking for a group.

I've not heard of any public events you have held. Yet from the public is where you'll need support. I agree that a rock solid base is needed and that you need to sway the public rather than sway for the public, but is that really happening? Is anyone hearing about Ironmarch that isn't themselves already on the far right?

In that sense, the cultural shift the alt right is in part creating (in part also exploiting) is a god-sent to you.

The alt right as a cultural phenomena is what's going to allow a National Socialist movement to take off. Arguing solely from a point of weakness (criticising the more popular) isn't going to give you the final victory you require. The numbers you require aren't going to appear through personal political journeys like most of us considering themselves National Socialist today have gone through. You're going to need to shove the faces of the masses into it, like National Action was doing.

You've not shown yourself worthy of being a self-described fascist movement.

All I've seen from you is some drama-mongering Minecraft Let's Players. A very bad image that will prevent thousands of self-described fascists from joining your ranks. Were you all like National Action, your criticism would seem to carry more power than it does today.

However as long as you are a bunch of people badly photoshopping pictures to attack everyone on the lighter side of White Nationalism, you're not going to live up to the aesthetic you've created.

Your interpretation and conglomeration of fascism and National Socialism also seems off. National Socialism IS a race-based Germanic state. The only mention of 'race-based' in this entire article are longer quotes from Hitler where the relevant point lies somewhere else. Testimony to how important Hitler viewed that definition and a refutation of yours. Your interpretation of National Socialism is therefore wrong. The focus on 'Truth' as a definition might apply more to fascism, but certainly not to National Socialism. Fascism is not National Socialism. They're certainly similar doctrines, but they are not the same. Whilst I myself am guilty of conflating the two too, it's important to recognise the distinction. The only reason they're so inseparable now is because Stalin didn't want to call the Germans 'Socialists' and chose to turn fascism into an umbrella-term instead. It stuck in the West too. Anyone that has read Mein Kampf knows how much Hitler despised the mindset of objectivity. Truth is important, but not everything needs to be subjected to the curse of 'objectivity'. Hitler was clear that the state is a means to an end and would employ whatever is proven to work best, but the ideology certainly didn't consist of simply the line 'whatever is best'. The definition should be, as Hitler intended, the creation and maintenance of a race-based Germanic state. Hitler was very clear National Socialism was for the Germanic people, so changing the Germanic to another European race is already a bit of a stretch, but acceptable still. That's as far of a stretch as it'll get. The alt right isn't an end station, National Socialism is. You do not get to lay claim to that term and subvert it to your own meaning, however. Right now, party politics is still far away. If you subscribe to the definition Hitler himself gave, IM doesn't seem to be the way to go.

[Problem Glasses](#)

JANUARY 14, 2017 AT 22:29

I remember you from TRS and you were one of the less intolerable people there. Why don't you actually make an IM account and look around before you pass judgment on the guys who hang out there. Zeiger, Natt and Florian are all doing good work and reaching plenty of people. Lots of IM guys live in Europe and are involved in IRL stuff. Your description of IM is like copy pasta from Lothar. Anyway given that we now know Mike is literally married to a very degenerate jewess I'm not sure how much longer they will be seen as the cutting edge of anything.

[HangmanNOOSE](#)

JANUARY 14, 2017 AT 23:29

The entire article does make a definitive case that you can't be a National Socialist and support the altright, all the while providing extensive quotes that prove exactly that. Ben wasn't the founder of National Action but one of key persons to get in on the project at its infancy, however his actions and reasons are his own, on which I argue with him personally whenever I get the chance. Being interested in the altright is not the same as being supportive of it in some "alliance" setup where being supportive demands cucking. One can be a National Socialist interested in a nigger riot, but not because one supports niggers. The strategy is to attract born National Socialists, there is no problem in that in the slightest, such people can come from the communist circles, as so often did happen, however they won't be joining NS



because it tried to appeal to them on a communist narrative, it appealed to them solely on the National Socialist narrative all the while fighting commies in the streets and beating their skulls in. There is also the term “Beefsteak Nazi” to consider. The strategy he pursued was fighting terror with terror and attracting fanatics while pushing away the cowardly moderates, as was showcased in the article via direct quotations. Furthermore, you, just as the rest of the TRS crowd, seem to be utterly confused as to what IronMarch even is, so you assume we are following movement dynamics. Had any of you ever actually read our Mission statement you’d know exactly we are and what we do and hence why we “only attract Fascists/NS” . Altright isn’t creating jack shit, its riding the waves that had been created regardless of its own existence, but they mistake all of that for personal success, which is a grave delusion. National Socialism does not need the Altright to take off, because everything about the Altright is counterproductive to NS goals and the methods they employ are in direct contradiction to literally everything actual NS Champions preached, as was likewise proven with extensive quotations. >weakness > as defined by who is more or less POPULAR You are not a National Socialist if you think this is a popularity contest with the masses, as was proven with extensive quotations provided in this article. And National Action did not achieve what it had via altright methods, but via NS methods which have been explained in great detail in this very article, methods which had been read up on in the first place on IronMarch, your example of success comes FROM principles and methods upheld by IronMarch. >self described fascist movement See the previous point on how you don’t even get what IronMarch actually is. And you don’t want to start comparisons based on drama-mongering considering where you come from. Nobody had once denied NS being race-based, that goes without saying and doesn’t need to be proven, hence why it wasn’t addressed like some contested point – to contest that much would brand one a complete imbecile. You didn’t prove us wrong at all by claiming that we omitted its race-based nature, seeing how we had a dedicated quote and point on how National Socialism is the ONLY WORLDVIEW that can claim TOTAL MONOPOLY on any “racialist” outlooks, as the ONLY logical conclusion of those outlooks. This much here proves that you didn’t really read the article in the first place but rather skimmed it for things that seemed to be easy to criticize. Fascism and National Socialism ARE the same, an entire segment is dedicated to explaining how and why and in what sense the definitions are used, it would seem that you skimmed over and ignored this segment as well. >Anyone that has read Mein Kampf knows how much Hitler despised the mindset of objectivity. HAHAAHAHAHAHAHA! Clearly stated by someone who hasn’t read Mein Kampf. Would you like a direct quote that contradicts this claim? Hitler upheld absolute Truth over “reality of the moment” which was a point on not cucking the worldview for short term practicalities, subjecting the absolute Truth to merely utilitarian constraints. When one seeks to apply the Truth to reality there is only one way it can work so “whatever works” becomes only one singular option. Quotations on the development of National Socialism to a European Worldview was provided, you likewise missed that apparently, moreover the legacy of NS is that which transcends just Germany, as was likewise elaborated on. Obviously the altright isn’t an end station, that is our very contention and the point of the article – we can’t be allies with those who cannot go all the way and will try to stall at some midway point. >subvert NS to your own meaning By providing extensive quotations predominantly from actual champions of National-Socialism including Hitler himself? Party politics are not far away, they are dead. Only solution now is SIEGE and TOTAL ARYAN VICTORY.

Middernacht

JANUARY 15, 2017 AT 06:43

Fascism is not National Socialism. Hitler never referred to National Socialism as fascism and that should say enough. All you’re doing is giving fascism your own definition and saying that National Socialism therefore is fascism. I’m sure there’s some sunken cost fallacy at play here, but you’re going to have a hard time conflating the two when the leaders of both would’ve told you differently had they had the chance. Again, you’re admitting you’re not personally converting anyone to be Fasnationalsocialist™, you’re relying on people to come to that point themselves. Good luck with that. And regarding your fight against Hitler’s view on ‘objectivity’, that’s a rather odd fight to pick. He certainly hated people who prized themselves on being objective. The word objectivity is almost always used negatively. You’re subverting National Socialism to your own meaning when you claim it is all about ObjectiveTruth™. Every movement says they care about the truth above all. You’ll find the same claims being made in communist circles. It doesn’t give you any aura of authority. Hell, Sargon of Cuckad prizes himself on him having no ideology but the truth. Hitler clearly defined National Socialism as the race-based Germanic state. Hitler also clearly defined ‘objectivism’ as a curse and a disease plagueing Germans. Quotes from Mein Kampf regarding ObjectiveTruthism™: “Its name is not objectivity (read weakness), but will and power.” “It is much harder, on the other hand, to induce dear political thinking in a man whose previous education in this field was no less devoid of any reason and logic, but on top of all this had also sacrificed his last remnant of



# ZERO TOLERANCE

natural instinct on the altar of objectivity.” “Indeed, this is an advisable procedure in dealing with an institution which, in the person of its representatives, feels obliged to bring up ‘objectivity’ in every second sentence as the only proper basis for every investigation and opinion.” “Let the German people be raised from childhood up with that exclusive recognition of the rights of their own nationality, and let not the hearts of children be contaminated with the curse of our ‘objectivity,’ even in matters regarding the preservation of their own ego.” “In this focus of the most varied nationalities, it immediately becomes clearly apparent that the German pacifist is alone in always attempting to view the interests of his own nation objectively, but that the Jew will never regard those of the Jewish people in this way; that only the German Socialist is ‘international’ in a sense which forbids him to beg justice for his own people except by whimpering and whining in the midst of his international comrades, but never a Czech or a Pole, etc.; in short, I recognized even then that the misfortune lies only partly in these doctrines, and partly in our totally inadequate education in national sentiment and a resultant lack of devotion to our nation.” “Not only that in view of our well-known ‘objectivity’ they had no need to fear any intervention on the part of the Reich government, but, by pointing to the alliance, they could also silence any embarrassing voice among the Austrian-Germans which might rise in German quarters against Slavization of an excessively disgraceful character.” “The function of propaganda is, for example, not to weigh and ponder the rights of different people, but exclusively to emphasize the one right which it has set out to argue for. Its task is not to make an ‘objective’ study of the truth, in so far as it favors the enemy, and then set it before the masses with academic fairness; its task is to serve our own right, always and unflinchingly.” “And particularly a people that suffers from the mania of objectivity as much as the Germans.” National Socialism isn’t racist because Hitler was a RationalObjectivist™ and decided that was the way to go after a lot of pondering on all ideologies. National Socialism is racist because the Germanic people were the bedrock from which the ideology was shaped — he loved Germany and that’s the core that was built upon.

[HangmanNOOSE](#)

JANUARY 15, 2017 AT 08:10

Except that Fascism IS National Socialism. Can you into actually reading the article before you make a predictable point that misses the core of that statement AS IT WAS COVERED in the article? Otherwise you’ll be arguing a strawman of your own making. Otherwise have another quote here: “Italian Fascism is akin to the National-Socialist mindset (Geisteshaltung).” - The Philosophical Foundations of National-Socialism By Reichsleiter Dr. Otto Dietrich. He goes into detail on the similarities and dissimilarities when thinking of Italian Fascism specifically which do not contradict our own position but rather reinforces it. Key issue is still, however, that the actual point of the statement eludes you completely, most likely by wilful ignorance through selective reading of the article “here’s a statement I think will be easy to criticize, I won’t read into the explanation of it however and make a fool of myself” . You’re likewise conflating what we rely on when it comes to conversion because you still don’t get what IM is and does. Just as you are conflating Truth with Objectivity. Hitler prized Truth in the sense of an existing Absolute to which he often referred to as (the Will of) Providence. Here is a quote from Mein Kampf: “The moment the plan’s creator attempts to consider so-called “convenience” and “reality” instead of ABSOLUTE TRUTH, his work will no longer be a star seeking humanity and will become nothing more than an everyday procedure. The program-maker of a movement must determine its goal; the politician must attempt to reach it. Accordingly, the thinking of the plan’s creator is determined by ETERNAL-TRUTH and the action of the politician is determined by the practical reality of the moment. The greatness of one man lies in the truth behind the theory of his idea and in his proper approach to the given facts. The greatness of the other lies in his practical use of them.” The objectivity he criticized, however, had nothing to do with that, it was a criticism of trying to be fair to your opponents and trying to see things from their point of view, which is what sunk the German war effort in WW1 as people at home in Germany were too objectively minded and gave their enemies positive considerations i.e. “well to them we’re the bad guys” , which is >objectivelyYet I’m the one supposedly subverting NS > When you can’t even read Mein Kampf Yes, every movement claims that, we addressed that at the beginning of the article, and I can likewise provide a Mein Kampf quote on why a movement must be fanatical that IT



ALONE holds the Truth. However, what distinguishes NS from other movements and outlooks, as was explained in the article HAD YOU ACTUALLY READ IT, is that NS does NOT prize comfort and pleasure, like all the rest, it does not serve that inherently selfish and egotistical goal of man-centric self-service, which is counter to any notion of an Absolute Truth by definition. Our enemies claim to have the Truth on their side, but what they mean is simply that they hold an “objectively” best utilitarian methodology towards achieving those egotistical goals (as you say, Sargon of Akkad is a prime example of this sort of rationalist objectivity). That is how you know that NS stands apart from all the rest and is the sole herald of the Absolute Truth. Nobody denied NS being race-based, this point was already covered in the previous reply, did you not read that either And your last paragraph is laughable as you again conflate and substitute context for objectivity and Truth. National Socialism is racist because a people are by definition, objectively in that normal non-jew context, are the only foundation that the society can exist on, hence the strong emphasis on community. Anyway, all you've done is repeatedly make a fool of yourself despite all the best efforts to initially guide you away from doing so.

Problem Glasses

JANUARY 15, 2017 AT 10:46

Autistic hair splitting over the differences between Fascism and NS only works at TRS. We are talking about world views and life affirming philosophies not the specific functions of government. We all know that the expression of this world view will look different for different nations but the spirit driving it is the same. A government that exist in accordance with natural law and as a healthy organic expression of the people is NS/Fascism. I suspect your here splitting hairs because of where you are from. Why not get of the bathhouse and stop hanging out with Bill and Lothar and come over here where you will be better received if you are an honest seeker? As for objective truth...if Hitler didn't believe in it he likely would have been a social democate or communist. It was in fact his fanatical belief in objective truth that made who he was.

Jarl

JANUARY 16, 2017 AT 04:46

I see “the alt-right” as sort of analogous to the right in Germany that the NSDAP eventually arose from. It was a vague category ranging from old Prussian conservatives to racialists to national Bolsheviks. The alt right is an undefined mass that may possibly be molded in our direction, but the only way to do that is by holding firm in our beliefs and converting those open to it to our side. This seems to be the strategy you see daily stormer using when they call themselves “the number one alt right website” while everything they write is gtkrwn. Some of the TRS guys understand this too. Probably the same thing that the national action guys are thinking. Nothing good can come from compromising the essentials. We need to keep our position and bring people to us, not shift our positions and give up ground to artificially inflate the number of people on our side.

Max Macro

JANUARY 15, 2017 AT 03:34

“I've not heard of any public events you have held” Plenty of IM people are involved in IRL shit. Hell, I was just at a Chicago rally w/ like 25 other people, and am helping organize future events in Michigan and Ohio. What are you doing again?

ToBeOrNotToBe

JANUARY 16, 2017 AT 09:02

To be honest, I use to be apart of the Alt Right crowd and I used to think “oh yeah those IM dudes are just a bunch of edgy LARPer” but over the past couple weeks as I began to actually check out IM ,the Rope Culture articles and so on and I realized your criticisms of the Alt Right are so spot on. The Alt Right has broken every “principle” it claimed to have. And with Enoch's wife being proven to be Jewish and such and yet people are still supporting him just drives that point home. Not to mention, the flimsy and broken idea of “white nationalism” . I initially started off liking Hitler and “Fashy” ironically until I began to listen to his speeches, what he actually achieved, the worldview of Fascism, and so on. I feel embarrassed to have ever had used the phrase fashy. I've also began to study (and still am studying) the people mentioned in this article. Fascism bulldozes all of the nonsensical concepts, the confusion, and the mindlessness and replaces it with something true. I only regret not taking IM seriously sooner. I haven't lurked enough nor have I become familiar enough with the “introductory material” yet to become a member on IM. I'd probably just end



up sounding autistic if I joined right now lol. I wouldn't have anything of value to contribute to the site but I do intend to join in the future. Fascism is the only thing that makes sense. Keep up the good work. Hopefully more people will eventually see the light.

[HangmanNOOSE](#)

JANUARY 16, 2017 AT 15:23

You are the example of someone who is actually looking for a way out of the Cave. The key element of that is that you yourself had to take the active steps towards finding it, we just provide a possible catalyst to get your started and then guidance along the way, but if one is being willfully ignorant there's nothing that can be done. Feel free to join the forums whenever you like, we don't harp on newcomers who don't yet understand all the ins and outs if they are willing to learn and make that obvious in their introduction, it's only dense fuckers who are too conceited and self-important to learn and outright autists who get special treatment.

[ToBeOrNotToBe](#)

FEBRUARY 2, 2017 AT 03:36

Thank you for the reply. Indeed, I'm still learning and do want to keep learning. There's truly a lot to learn with regards to Fascism and how it relates to all aspects of society, race, civilization, etc. I'll probably make an account on IM within the next couple days when I have the time to write a proper introduction. I believe they're a few people on the Alt Right who will eventually stop listening to their "figure heads" and check out IM for themselves. A lot of the Alt Right "figure heads" have a big problem with IM. I'd see it all the time. Someone would have a sincere question or make a comment about IM and/or Fascism/National Socialism, and there'd be a huge REEEEEEE fest over it. I simply got tired of the making excuses for Jews, the disgusting indirect/ direct support for homosexuals and sodomy, the Big "If you're pro-white, it's alright!" Tent, allowing degenerates into the "movement" and even giving them prominent positions, no ideological consistency and the nondevelopment of an ideology, the unironic viewing of Trump as a savior, the backlashes over criticizing the bad behavior of white people (Needless to say, Common Filth is one disliked individual over there lol), etc. Any "Big Tent movement" is doomed to fail. Hopefully, at least a few people on the Alt Right will find their way to IM and Fascism.

[nyashmyash](#)

JANUARY 18, 2017 AT 21:48

Ant ó nio de Oliveira Salazar, 1933: "Our Dictatorship clearly resembles a fascist dictatorship in the reinforcement of authority, in the war declared against certain principles of democracy, in its accentuated nationalist character, in its preoccupation of social order. However, it differs from it in its process of renovation. The fascist dictatorship tends towards a pagan Caesarism, towards a state that knows no limits of a legal or moral order, which marches towards its goal without meeting complications or obstacles. The Portuguese New State, on the contrary, cannot avoid, nor think of avoiding, certain limits of a moral order which it may consider indispensable to maintain in its favour of its reforming action."

[euro crap](#)

JANUARY 19, 2017 AT 13:28

European goat sniffers bring 5000BC race religion into 21st century but dont want to remember how english and french races raped millions of german women and childern using the same doctrine!! Why not find out those videos and pictures which those psychopaths made while raping those women?

[euro crap](#)

JANUARY 19, 2017 AT 13:38

Revival of the 5000BC doctrine of race realism!! But would never talk about rapes of millions of women and childern of germans at the hands of english and french races!!

[Hyperborean Shitlord](#)

JANUARY 20, 2017 AT 01:05

What?

[https://uploads.disquscdn.com/images/](https://uploads.disquscdn.com/images/eecec29e6322e0ade018b0cf77a098c3fbd5f95eaf492872b1dac58ac4e8d673.jpg)

[eecec29e6322e0ade018b0cf77a098c3fbd5f95eaf492872b1dac58ac4e8d673.jpg](https://uploads.disquscdn.com/images/eecec29e6322e0ade018b0cf77a098c3fbd5f95eaf492872b1dac58ac4e8d673.jpg)

[euro crap](#)

JANUARY 20, 2017 AT 18:16

Gaylord its the doctrine you goat sniffing europeans follow.

[Hyperborean Shitlord](#)

JANUARY 20, 2017 AT 19:56

pls tell more [https://uploads.disquscdn.com/images/](https://uploads.disquscdn.com/images/feb8732db99d91ac83aad36483a83286a20e8583017bdee332cf8f1091425a26.png)

[feb8732db99d91ac83aad36483a83286a20e8583017bdee332cf8f1091425a26.png](https://uploads.disquscdn.com/images/feb8732db99d91ac83aad36483a83286a20e8583017bdee332cf8f1091425a26.png)

[ScourgeFall](#)

JANUARY 20, 2017 AT 10:04



“That the spiritual formation (religion, faith, esoteric teaching) is legitimate, which can be verified through what Julius Evola called the Traditional method and is otherwise referred to as Perennial Philosophy. This legitimizes, for example, Orthodox and Catholic Christianity, but disqualifies all the bastard children of Catholicism as heresies” More on this?

[HangmanNOOSE](#)

JANUARY 20, 2017 AT 10:28

For more specifics when it comes to Christianity you'd have to listen to Mysterium Fasces or otherwise talk to its host Florian, he goes into the subject at great length and detail and is far more apt to answer this side of the question. As for the Traditional Method purported by Evola, it is simply a comparative study of different religions, faiths, myths and esoteric teachings and their symbolic languages, once you understand the fundamental nature of how symbols work you can trace the parallels between them and discover that all legitimate formations talk about exactly the same stuff but in different symbolic languages that may appear to be entirely different at face value (what is symbolized by a spirit or god in one, can be symbolized by a process or series of events in another). He explained this method in some detail in his work *Mystery of the Grail*, however if one reads his main body of work dedicated to different traditions (Hermetic Tradition, Yoga of Power, Doctrine of Awakening, Mithratic Mysteries, etc) you'll see him utilize great number of parallels between the primary tradition being researched and a multitude of other traditions, further showcasing this method in action. In effect this is the same situation as with material sciences, namely that different scientists can independently of each other discover the same phenomena, proving its objective reality, different traditions, provided they are valid, likewise point to the same answers. That is how Perennial Philosophy got started, as its primary claim is that all valid religious teachings are actually talking about the same thing. The difference of symbolic languages utilizes is rooted in the spiritual and psychological character of a people who developed that tradition/teaching/faith.

[Three Stars](#)

JANUARY 28, 2017 AT 15:21

The author seems to have no consciousness of history and context. When he cites Hitler and Mussolini, he conveniently forgets that one was vying for power in a humiliated, desperate nation who's population was highly demanding for any semblance of order, and the other was leading a backward, highly divided (both socially and geographically) Italy that desperately needed unity and homogeneous development. The American, and the Anglo soul in general NEVER experienced those needs, and I'm willing to bet that deep down inside, your average American is more closed to the authoritarian aspect of NS/Fascism than to its racial one. And he has the gall to quote Spengler and talk about an “organic” fascist nation for the American soul... Second, Rockwell and Pierce only “proved” how you can completely fail, if anything. They haven't so much as dented the cultural and political ascension of progressivism during the 60s and 70s, yet this guy keeps giving them as authoritative examples of success (!?!). If anything Carlton Putnam, that stalwart constitutional lawyer and defender of Southern Rights managed to achieve a few local victories against the Civil Rights movement while not caring a whiff for such esoterica as Fascism and NS. The comparison between Rockwell's unsupportive \*millionaires\* and today's “moderate” Alt-right figureheads is a joke. If anything, people like Richard Spencer and Mike Enoch invested far more of themselves into the advancement of their ideals than anyone at Iron March ever did. Overall a bloviated, repetitive text. The author manages to say very little with a lot of words. Couldn't read more than 1/3rd of it, not for getting “triggered” , but because I got bored.

[HangmanNOOSE](#)

JANUARY 28, 2017 AT 16:41

I was going to write a long and elaborate answer but then I saw you write “people like Richard Spencer and Mike Enoch invested far more of themselves into the advancement of their ideas” and figured that you're a spencerian pleasureboi and enoch toesucker so there's no need to bother. Besides, you didn't actually read the article, by your own admission, so your comment hardly deserves a thorough response.

[Three Stars](#)

JANUARY 29, 2017 AT 01:16

I commented on as much as I've read. Your cop-out is as pathetic as your writing style.

[HangmanNOOSE](#)

JANUARY 29, 2017 AT 10:51

Your entire initial comment is a cop-out m8. Here it is summed up:

- > hitler and mussolini? Out of context
- > rockwell and pierce? Failures
- > DICKLESS DICKIE AND KIKE ENOCH THO!
- > P.S. I didn't actually read the article

[Hyperborean Shitlord](#)

JANUARY 28, 2017 AT 16:55

>Mike Enoch



# ZERO TOLERANCE

> Spencer  
> ideals

[Max Macro](#)

JANUARY 28, 2017 AT 22:17

“Rockwell and Pierce only “proved” how you can completely fail” LOL, typical alt frighter showing how little he knows, par for the course I guess. The National Alliance fell apart due to internal mismanagement and associated power struggles and purges after Pierce’s death, not due to any failure of its program. The NA was the single most successful thing we’ve had and outcompeted all this alt right bullshit by lightyears. Where we’re at with the alt fright is a setback compared to where we were with the NA. The National Alliance was a multimillion \$\$\$ enterprise with wealthy benefactors rivaling those of the alt fright, owned enough stock in telecom companies like AT&T to where they were able to field motions at board meetings where they coordinated fights against diversity hiring policies, organized multiple triple digit protests, had judges (Haywood Turner), senators (Russel Pearce), city council members (Marc Moran) on board, multiple university profs- likely significantly more than the alt fright, a massive team of lawyers, and programs established to infiltrate the police, military, and FBI, all while being uncompromisingly radical and explicitly oriented around National Socialism without becoming the LARPy self-parody alt frighters like yourself hold up as a strawman for their cowardice. Hell, the NA’s American Dissident Voices radio program had 250,0000 regular listeners, so even by that metric alone, it beats the alt fright. Spencer pulls a hundred or so trust fund kids afraid of their own shadow for biannual conferences with the same pointless bloviating speeches no one gives a shit about. Big deal. NA chapter leader Chester Doles was pulling those same numbers locally for meetings on a monthly basis and coordinating them into on the ground activities.

[Three Stars](#)

JANUARY 29, 2017 AT 01:26

The aim is to reverse the trends affecting the white race, not to build a scale version of the Church of Scientology for us and our wacky buddies. One that can easily turn to dust when its only worthwhile leader dies, no less. If you’re any indication of what your average NA member was like, then I can see why it was so frail.

[Max Macro](#)

JANUARY 29, 2017 AT 01:33

Church of Scientology actually sounds way closer to what Dickie and Greggje are doing with the alt right. yes goyim, shell out \$1000+ over the course of a weekend to attend some clandestine dinner party where we learn about meme magic and [buzzword]. Yes goyim, if my shitty blog meets itz goal of having 6 figure annual income we’ll magically ascend to victory by the sheer power of our thoughts and memes without having to shed a single drop of blood or be in any actual position of power! NA had established organizational infrastructure and the beginnings of institutional power, all the things the alt fright fantasizes itself as having but well, just doesn’t. Alt Fright? Vapor. How many judges do Spencer and Greggje have in their pockets? 0. Plenty of worthwhile people were in the NA, the right ones just didn’t get the right spot and got purged. Go play with peepee the frog or whatever you do.

[aqwxszedc](#)

JANUARY 30, 2017 AT 21:34

Max Macro, did you see that Dickie let himself be punched by – literally – a shit eating cuckold and after has asserted that it will lead him and the alt-right to victory ? What do you think about that ?

[Max Macro](#)

JANUARY 30, 2017 AT 23:46

” did you see that Dickie let himself be punched by – literally – a shit eating cuckold and has after asserted that it will lead him and the alt-right to victory ? What do you think about that ?” Laughing my ass off. Writing about it in a piece Im finishing up

[Hyperborean Shitlord](#)

JANUARY 29, 2017 AT 19:14

>NA was so frail

> but alt-right with faggots and race mixers in leadership positions is so strong

[Three Stars](#)

JANUARY 29, 2017 AT 19:34





NA is a done deal. We can look at it in retrospect and call it with certainty “frail”. The Alt-right is still playing. Too early to say where they’ll end up, since they don’t really fit any of the historic analogies drawn in the article (Weimar era LARPy “racialists”; respectability-enclined Conservatives contemporary to Rockwell; I don’t remember the author providing any other examples) Finished the article, btw. It was everything it promised to be. Bombastic, long-winded, vague, unintelligent and mostly wrong on the points that required any sort of depth of thought to make. Right on Spencer’s speech though. Playing “harmless” and “buddy” to the college crowd was a big miscalculation (I have my doubts as to Spencer being able to play anything else on stage). Spencer has his qualities, but intuition and sense of the moment are not among them. He shouldn’t be any sort of figurehead.

[Max Macro](#)

JANUARY 30, 2017 AT 23:48

“The Alt-right is still playing.” Yeah Three Stars the Alt Right’s so resilient it’s currently ripping itself apart like I said it would a year ago while being utterly confused as to what it even is. Your mom’s pussy is frail.

[Three Stars](#)

FEBRUARY 1, 2017 AT 11:27

You mad bro?

[aqwxszedc](#)

FEBRUARY 1, 2017 AT 22:05

- Thinking that Putin and Trump are “Great White Saviors” .
- Worshipping drawings of a frog.
- Disserting on “good Jews” .
- Saying that homosexuality “has always be a part of “European” culture” .
- Asserting that letting yourself be punched by shit eating cuckolds will lead you to victory.

Who is insane?

[Dissident5](#)

FEBRUARY 1, 2017 AT 15:22

The term ‘purity spiralling’ is a canard. Yes, no doubt there are some paranoid people who even think the Fuhrer was a Jew but this term is used to take down anyone who states that the movement must be based on truth and firm nonnegotiable principles, morals, racial facts and genuine leaders. We have seen at the ‘He will not divide us’ wall in NY mystery meats, mixed race who are now, due to the confusion created by the liberal invasion of our movement, identifying for the first time as white and doing so obviously with the support of TRS and other posters on 4chan. When guests on TRS podcasts said they were x% percent negro or such I used to think it was just a joke but now I realize that what we were listening to were mixed race people being embraced by TRS liberals as white. We have Millennial Woes and others saying we should never punch right but who are willing to accept the notion of ‘right’ as some mysterious, anarchic, ill defined cloud broadly representing the white cause which will one day take some shape or form. Of course such a cloud of confusion is no more than an open gateway to subversion of everything we stand for including the notion of the European race itself. The idea that it does not matter if Jared Taylor, Richard Spencer or Greg Johnson (none of whom incidentally identify as Christians or even as volkish) are anti-fascist, Jew and homosexual friendly because they are ‘making a contribution’ is false. What did Fox News, the National Review and other sites supposedly the voice of white America do for us in the past? Nothing but subvert us and work with our enemies to destroy us. What we see in TRS and the so-called altright leaders is yet another generation of pied pipers leading us down the wrong path, luring us into the acceptance of ‘good Jews’ homosexual tolerance and a dilution of the truth of what it is to be white. The Daily Stormer, Aryan Radio and Iron march and all who identify with a movement who’s goals and principles must be clearly defined need to align.

[Adünai](#)

FEBRUARY 6, 2017 AT 12:41

A pretty cute article, our lord and saviour Caesar Tort approves. You didn’t mention degenerate jazz/rock/rap/disco/etc music, however. Very few people object to the non-White subversion of art, and you should do it if you’re a NS. The most important, you’re trying to paint Christianity as permissible in a NS country. That’s utterly ridiculous after the atrocities Christians have committed against the White race since WW2.



# ZERO TOLERANCE

Degrelle

FEBRUARY 10, 2017 AT 12:17

That fact that you measure something's worth on how many white people it killed means your worldview is out of line with National Socialism.

ben

FEBRUARY 16, 2017 AT 00:13

I want to fight. RIGHT NOW. but how?

Wutra

FEBRUARY 26, 2017 AT 20:31

This was an interesting and thought provoking article, thankyou.

cool guy

APRIL 21, 2017 AT 08:33

fag

Angry Rambo

OCTOBER 31, 2017 AT 09:56

The "Truth" with a capital "T" requires Evidence with a capital "E."

